## SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A SUS	SPENDED MEMBER	)	Supreme Court
OF THE STATE BAR OF A	RIZONA	)	No. SB-03-0123-D
		)	
		)	Disciplinary Commission
		)	No. 01-2324
SCOTT F. SAIDEL,		)	
Bar No. 016296		)	
		)	
	RESPONDENT.	)	JUDGMENT AND ORDER
		)	

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision and no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **SCOTT F. SAIDEL**, a suspended member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of six (6) months, retroactive to March 12, 2001, for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the commission report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **SCOTT F. SAIDEL** shall be placed on probation for a period of one (1) year, upon reinstatement, under the following terms and conditions:

- 1) Respondent shall contact the Director of the Member Assistance Program (MAP) within thirty (30) days of the date of the final judgment and order. Respondent shall submit to a MAP assessment. If deemed appropriate as a result of the assessment, the Director of MAP shall develop a probation contract, and its terms shall be incorporated herein by reference.
- Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.
- 3) Respondent shall comply with all MCLE requirements and cure the MCLE suspension prior to reinstatement.
- 4) In the event Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing terms and information thereof is received by the State Bar, bar counsel shall file a Notice of Non-Compliance with the Disciplinary Commission. The Disciplinary Commission may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest possible date, but in no event later than thirty (30) days following receipt of said notice. If the matter is referred to a hearing officer, the hearing officer shall determine whether the terms of probation have been breached, and if so, to recommend appropriate action and response to such breach.

5) If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar to prove non-compliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **SCOTT F. SAIDEL** shall pay restitution to the passengers in his car in accordance with any civil judgment arising from the collision and in accordance with any restitution order entered in the criminal case.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall pay in full any and all claims paid by the Client Protection Fund, not to exceed the maximum permissible payment of \$100,000.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 63, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, including, but not limited to, Rule 63(a), which requires that Respondent notify all of his clients, within ten (10) days from the date hereof, of his inability to represent them and that he should promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 63(d).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 52(a)8, the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **SCOTT F. SAIDEL** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$797.70, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this day	of, 2003.	
	NOEL K. DESSAINT, Clerk	

TO:

Scott F. Saidel, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt)

James J. Belanger, Respondent's Counsel

John A. Furlong, Bar Counsel

Jeffrey B. Messing, Hearing Officer 9X

Douglas M. Brooks, Clerk, Disciplinary Commission (Cert. Copy)

Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis