SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER)	Supreme Court	
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)	No.	SB-06-0146-D
)		
)	Disci	plinary Commission
)	No. ()3-1592
SARA JANE ODNEAL,)		
Bar No. 009230)		
)		
	RESPONDENT.)	JUD	GMENT AND ORDER

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, there having been no discretionary review and *sua sponte* review having been declined by the Court,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **SARA JANE ODNEAL**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of thirty (30) days, effective thirty (30) days from the date of this judgment and order, for conduct in violation of her duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **SARA JANE ODNEAL** shall be placed on probation for a period of two (2) years effective upon the signing of both probation contracts. Bar Counsel shall notify the Disciplinary Clerk of the date on which the probation begins. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1) Respondent shall obtain an approved practice monitor.
- 2) Respondent shall submit to a Member Assistance Program (MAP) assessment. If the MAP director recommends that Respondent enter into a MAP contract, Respondent shall do so, under the terms recommended by the MAP director.
- 3) Respondent shall participate in the Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP). Respondent shall undergo a LOMAP audit and thereafter enter into a LOMAP contract.
- 4) Respondent shall pay all costs associated with MAP and LOMAP. Respondent shall be credited \$187.50 toward the cost of the LOMAP assessment.
- 5) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information to that effect, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

Arizona Supreme Court No. SB-06-0146-D Page 2 of 2

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, including, but not limited to, Rule 72(a), which requires that Respondent notify all of her clients, within ten (10) days from the date hereof, of her inability to represent them and that she should promptly inform this Court of her compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 72(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **SARA JANE ODNEAL** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$600.00, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this day of	, 2006.	
	NOEL K. DESSAINT	_
	Clerk of the Court	

TO:

Sara Jane Odneal, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)

Ralph Adams, Respondent's Counsel

Patricia A. Sallen, Bar Counsel

Martin Lieberman, Hearing Officer

Lauren E. Eiler, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis

tel