SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT OF A SUSPENDED MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,))	Supreme Court No. SB-07-0148-R
COLE DEAN SORENSON, Bar No. 013097)))	Disciplinary Commission No. 05-6000
APPLICANT.)) _)	ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT

Applicant COLE DEAN SORENSON has established to the satisfaction of the Disciplinary

Commission and this Court that he is qualified for reinstatement to active bar membership; now,

therefore, pursuant to Rule 65 of the Rules of the Supreme Court,

IT IS ORDERED that COLE DEAN SORENSON be and hereby is reinstated as a member

of the State Bar of Arizona effective the date of this Order, and is placed on probation for a period of

two years, under the terms and conditions as listed below:

- 1) Within 30 days of the Order of Reinstatement, Applicant shall contact the Member Assistance Program Director and enter into a Memorandum of Understanding or Probation Contract that includes all terms and reporting requirements, particularly the assignment of a sobriety monitor and submission to random drug testing.
- 2) Within 30 days of the Order of Reinstatement, Applicant shall contact the Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP) Director and enter into a Memorandum of Understanding that will include all terms and reporting requirements, particularly the assignment of an approved practice monitor, and an audit of his system practices related to ERs 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.
- 3) Should Applicant decide to practice as a sole practitioner during the period of probation, he shall be required to meet with his practice monitor no less than semi-monthly; the reporting period to LOMAP by Applicant and the practice monitor shall be no less than bi-monthly; and LOMAP shall conduct an annual audit during the remainder of the probation period of Applicant's office management.
- 4) If engaged in the private practice of law during the period of probation, Applicant shall maintain malpractice insurance.

Arizona Supreme Court No. SB-07-0148-R Page 2 of 2

- 5) Within 30 days of the Order of Reinstatement, Applicant shall contribute \$500.00¹ to the Arizona Bar Foundation. Upon such payment, Applicant shall be absolved from his obligation to pay restitution to Mr. Van Sickle.
- 6) In the event that Applicant fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

DATED this _____ day of _____, 2007

RUTH V. McGREGOR Chief Justice

TO:

Cole Dean Sorenson, Applicant (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail) J. Scott Rhodes, Applicant's Counsel Daniel P. Beeks, Hearing Officer 7M Nancy Swetnam, Acting Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy) Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy) Perry Thompson, Director, United States Supreme Court (Cert. Copy) Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy) Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy) West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman) Lexis-Nexis

tel

¹ This represents the amount of restitution ordered in the underlying matter that Applicant was unable to pay because he could not locate Mr. Van Sickle.