SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER)	Supreme Court	
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)	No.	SB-09-0047-D
)		
)	Disci	plinary Commission
)	No.	08-0407
VICTORIA R. MIRANDA	,)		
Bar No. 018511)		
)		
	RESPONDENT.)	JUD	GMENT AND ORDER
)		

This matter came before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and no timely petition for review was filed, but the Court has received an "Objection to Language of Draft Form of Judgment" filed by Respondent **VICTORIA R. MIRANDA** with no response having been filed. Upon consideration,

IT IS ORDERED granting *sua sponte* review to consider the language of the original and the amended draft forms of judgment and order submitted to the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Respondent **VICTORIA R. MIRANDA**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby suspended from the practice of law for a period of ninety days, effective thirty days from the date of this order, for conduct in violation of her duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent **VICTORIA R. MIRANDA** shall be placed on probation for a period of two years beginning on the date of her reinstatement. The terms of probation are as follows:

- a) Within thirty days of reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the Director of MAP and submit to a MAP assessment. Respondent shall thereafter enter into a MAP contract based on the recommendations made by the MAP director or designee. Respondent shall comply with all the terms of the MAP contract after signing and throughout the remaining period of probation.
- b) Within thirty days of reinstatement, Respondent shall contact the Director of LOMAP and schedule a LOMAP audit. The LOMAP director or designee shall conduct the audit within 60 days thereafter. Respondent shall enter into a LOMAP contract based on the recommendations made by the LOMAP director or designee. Respondent shall comply with all the terms of the LOMAP contract after signing and throughout the remaining period of probation.

Arizona Supreme Court No. SB-09-0047-D Page 2 of 3

- c) Respondent shall find a qualified practice monitor within thirty days of the effective date of probation, approved by bar counsel and LOMAP director.
- d) Respondent shall be responsible for any costs associated with MAP and LOMAP.
- e) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives such information, bar counsel shall file with the imposing entity a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest practicable time, but in no event later than thirty days after the receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of the terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent **VICTORIA R. MIRANDA** shall pay restitution prior to reinstatement in the following total amount to the following individual:

Javier Padilla \$3,175.90

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all the provisions of Rule 72, Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona, including, but not limited to, Rule 72(a), which requires that Respondent notify all of her clients, within ten days from the date hereof, of her inability to represent them and that she should promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided in Rule 72(e).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall comply with all rule provisions regarding reinstatement proceedings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Respondent shall be assessed costs and expenses of the disciplinary proceedings as provided in Rule 60(b).

DATED this ______ day of ______, 2009.

RUTH V. McGREGOR Chief Justice Arizona Supreme Court No. SB-09-0047-D Page 3 of 3

TO:

Victoria R. Miranda, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail) Ralph W. Adams, Respondent's Counsel Amy K. Rehm, Bar Counsel Honorable H. Jeffery Coker, Hearing Officer 6R Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy) Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy) Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy) Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy) West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman) Lexis/Nexis