SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA



COUNCIL ON JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE ON VIDEO TRANSCRIPTION

Administrative Order No. 89-13

In accordance with Administrative Order 87-17, the Chief Justice may establish advisory committees to the Council on Judicial Administration to assist the Council in carrying out its responsibilities. Now, therefore,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. An advisory committee on video transcription is hereby established as a committee to the Council on Judicial Administration and shall be known as the Committee on Video Transcription.

2. The purpose of the Committee is to review and report on the feasibility of using video transcription as a means of providing records of proceedings in the trial courts.

3. The following persons are appointed to the Committee on Video Transcription beginning June 30, 1989 to serve until completion of the Committee's work:

Hon. Sarah D. Grant, Chair Chief Judge Court of Appeals, Division I

Hon. B. Michael Dann Presiding Judge Superior Court in Maricopa County

Hon. John F. Foreman Superior Court in Maricopa County

Hon. John R. Sticht Superior Court in Maricopa County

Larry Blankenship Court Administration Superior Court in Maricopa County

Mark Jensen Clerk of Court's Office Superior Court in Maricopa County Page Two

Al Braun Arizona Court Reporter's Association

Merilyn Sanchez Arizona Court Reporter's Association

William R. Jones, Jr. Jones, Skelton & Hochuli Attorney at Law

Jefferson L. Lankford Jennings, Strouss & Salmon Attorney at Law

Neil V. Wake Beus, Gilbert, Wake & Morrill Attorney at Law

Janice A. Wezelman Miller & Pitt, P.C. Attorney at Law

Ann Sterling Court Commissioner Superior Court in Yavapai County

DATED AND ENTERED this <u>19th</u> day of June, 1989, at the State Capitol, Phoenix, Arizona.

> FRANK X. GORDON, JR. Chief Justice

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PINAL

IN RE: ASSIGNMENT OF)) COURT DIVISIONS OF ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER NO. 89-12)) THE SUPERIOR COURT) 1

Pursuant to Rule I, Uniform Rules of Practice of the Superior Court of Arizona,

IT IS ORDERED, effective October 10, 1989, that the Judges of the Pinal County Superior Court shall be assigned ١. to the Divisions of the Court as follows:

> Honorable Robert R. Bean Division I Honorable James E. Don Division II Honorable Frank D. Coxon Division III Vacant (due to retirement of Division IV Honorable E. D. McBryde to be filled by appointment by the Governor)

> > Dated This $\frac{1}{1000}$ day of October, 1989, at

Florence, Arizona.

ROBERT R. BEAN, PRESIDING JUDGE Certified Copies Mailed This

Day Of October, 1989, To:

Hon. Rose Mofford, Governor

Hon. Frank X. Gordon, Jr., Chief Justice, Supreme Court of Arizona

William L. McDonald, Administrative Director, Supreme Court of Arizona

Hon. Lloyd Fernandez, Chief Judge, Court of Appeals, Division Two Hon. Jim Shumway, Secretary of State, State of Arizona Hon. James E. Don, Judge of the Superior Court

Hon. Franklin D. Coxon, Judge of the Superior Court

Hon. Alma Haught, Clerk of the Superior Court

Hon. Bill Mathieson, Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Pinal County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, Pinal County

Additional Copies To:

All Attorneys, Pinal County Hon. Jim Turnbull, Pinal County Treasurer Director of Elections, Pinal County Director of Finance, Pinal County Director of Personnel, Pinal County

ST. FERRARDINA STR O ADDIV OF PRIMAL

1 ALMA JENNINGS HARDARD Show of the Superior Court, State of Arizona, in and for the County of Straty do haraby service that a here a compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the compared the compared the foregoing cours of a Communication of the communication

and of the endorsement data as as which the original records of the series remaining in this office, and that not starte are context transmitter therefrom, and the whole of section ignal records.

Witness my hand and acc of said Court attixed this <u>s</u> day of <u>contractor</u> to <u>said Court</u> attixed to a non-induced of a Court of the Cherk

By DEVE THE DEPUNY CORK