

**FILED**

FEB 28 2005

DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION OF THE  
SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

**BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION  
OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA**

1			
2			
3	IN THE MATTER OF A SUSPENDED MEMBER )	Nos. 02-1151, 02-1590, 02-1676,	
4	OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA, )	02-1694, 03-0568, 03-0843,	
5	<b>ADAM P. WEBER,</b> )	03-1608	
6	<b>Bar No. 017546</b> )		
7	RESPONDENT. )	<b>DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION</b>	
		<b>REPORT</b>	

8 This matter came before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of  
9 Arizona on February 12, 2005, pursuant to Rule 58(e), Ariz. R. S. Ct., for consideration of  
10 the Hearing Officer's Report filed January 10, 2005, recommending acceptance of the  
11 Tender of Admissions and Agreement for Discipline by Consent (Agreement) and Joint  
12 Memorandum in Support of Agreement for Discipline by Consent (Joint Memorandum)  
13 providing for a six month and one day suspension, probation upon reinstatement with the  
14 terms and duration to be determined at the time of reinstatement, restitution and costs of  
15 these disciplinary proceedings.

**Decision**

18 The nine<sup>1</sup> members of the Commission unanimously recommend accepting and  
19 incorporating by reference the Hearing Officer's findings of fact, conclusions of law and  
20 recommendation for a six month and one day suspension, probation upon reinstatement  
21 with the terms and duration to be determined at the time of reinstatement, restitution and  
22 costs of these disciplinary proceedings. The Hearing Officer's Report is attached as  
23 Exhibit A.<sup>2</sup>

24  
25  
26 <sup>1</sup> One lawyer seat remains vacant. Bruce G. Macdonald, a Hearing Officer from Tucson, participated as an ad hoc member.

<sup>2</sup> The Hearing Officer inadvertently found that the matter was set for trial on January 24, 2004 instead of January 24, 2002. See Report, p. 21, Finding of Fact #125.

