## SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

FILED			
FEB - 1 2005			
NOEL K. DESSAINT CLERK SUPREME COURT BY			

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,		)	Supreme Court No. SB-05-0016-D
JAMAL A. HARRISON, Bar No. 017262		•	Disciplinary Commission No. 03-1633
	RESPONDENT.	) )	JUDGMENT AND ORDER

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision and no discretionary review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that JAMAL A. HARRISON, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that JAMAL A. HARRISON shall be placed on probation for a period of two (2) years. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1) Respondent shall comply with the court's assessment of attorneys' fees against him, subject to any remedies legally available to Respondent.
- 2) Respondent shall contact the director of LOMAP. The LOMAP director or designee will conduct an audit of Respondent's law office. The recommendations of the LOMAP director shall then be incorporated as additional terms of probation ordered pursuant to the agreement.
- 3) Respondent shall obtain a practice monitor acceptable to the State Bar. The practice monitor with whom Respondent is currently working is acceptable to the State Bar.
- 4) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. S. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

Arizona Supreme Court No. SB-05-0016-D Page 2 of 2

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against JAMAL A. HARRISON for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$740.70, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this <u>lst</u> day of <u>February</u>	y, 2005.
The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.	Voil W. Demant
Noei K. Dessaint, Clerk of the Supreme Court State of Arizona	NOEL K. DESSAINT Clerk of the Court

TO:

Jamal A. Harrison, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt)

Denise M. Quinterri, Bar Counsel

Daniel P. Beeks, Hearing Officer 7M

Patricia Seguin, Acting Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)

Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis

lib