## SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

SUFFEME COURT OF ARIZONA			SEP 0 1 2005
N THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,	)	Supreme Court No. SB-05-0129-D	NOEL K. DESSAINT OLERK SUPREME COURT BY
COMPANDA	)	Disciplinary Commaission No. 03-1114	1
VICTORIA R. MIRANDA, Bar No. 018511	)		
RESPONDENT	· )	JUDGMENT AND ORDER	

This matter having come on for hearing before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision and there having been no discretionary review,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that VICTORIA R. IMIRANDA, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of her duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that VICTORIA R. MIRANDA shall be placed on probation for a period of one year effective upon the signing of the probation contract. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1) Respondent shall contact the director of the State Bar's Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP) within 30 days of the date of the final judgment and order. Respondent shall submit to a LOMAP audit of her office's trust account procedures and calendaring procedures. The director of LOMAP shall develop a probation contract, and its terms shall be incorporated herein by reference.
- 2) The LOMAP contract will also include a practice monitor for Respondent. Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of Professional Conduct or other rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.
- 3) Respondent will contact the State Bar's fee arbitration program to initiate a fee arbitration process with Johnny Chandler, Jr. and Johnny Chandler Sr. within 30 days of the final judgment and order in this matter.
- 4) In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing conditions, and the State Bar receives information, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a Notice of Non-Compliance, pursuant to Rule 60(a)5, Ariz. R. S. Ct. The Hearing Officer shall conduct a hearing within thirty days after receipt of said notice, to determine whether the terms of probation have been violated and if an additional sanction should be imposed. In the event there is an allegation that any

Arizona Supreme Court No. SB-05-0129-D Judgment and Order

> of these terms have been violated, the burden of proof shall be on the State Bar of Arizona to prove non-compliance by clear and convincing evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against VICTORIA R. MIRANDA for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$632.35, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

day of September, 2005. DATED this 1st

The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

Noel K. Dessaint, Clerk of the Supreme Court

State of Arizona

NOËL K. DESSAINT

Clerk of the Court

Victoria R. Mirarida, Respondent (Certified Mail, Return Receipt and Regular Mail)

Deputy

Ralph W. Adams, Respondent's Counsel

Amy K. Rehm, Senior Bar Counsel

John Pressley Todd, Hearing Officer 7X

Donna Lee Elm, Settlement Officer 6N

Patricia Seguin, Disciplinary Clerk (Cert. Copy)

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

Cathy Catterson, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Cert. Copy)

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona (Cert. Copy)

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis-Nexis

NKD/drs