SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER)	Supreme Court
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)	No. SB-10-0028-D
)	
)	Disciplinary Commission
)	No. 08-0020
PATRICK C. COPPEN,)	
Bar No. 014756)	FILED 04/02/2010
RESPONDENT.)	JUDGMENT AND ORDER
)	

This matter having come before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and there having been no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **PATRICK C. COPPEN**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **PATRICK C. COPPEN** shall be placed on probation for a period of one year. The terms of probation are as follows:

- 1. Respondent shall contact the State Bar's Law Office Management Assistance Program ("LOMAP") within thirty (30) days of the date of the final Judgment and Order. Respondent shall submit to a LOMAP audit of his office, specifically focused on his conflicts checking system. The director of LOMAP shall develop a probation contract, and its terms shall be incorporated herein by reference. The probation period will begin to run at the time of the final Judgment and Order, and will conclude one year from the date of the final Judgment and Order.
- 2. Respondent shall contact the State Bar of Arizona publications at (602) 340 7318 and either obtain and listen to the CD or obtain and view the DVD entitled "*Ten Deadly Sins of Conflict*" within 120 days of the date of the final Judgment and Order. Respondent may alternatively go to the State Bar website and complete the self-study online version. Respondent shall provide bar counsel with evidence of completion by providing copies of hand written notes. Respondent shall be responsible for the cost of the CD, DVD, or online self-study area.
- 3. Respondent shall refrain from engaging in any conduct that would violate the Rules of professional Conduct or other Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.
- 4. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing probation terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar of Arizona, Bar Counsel shall file a Notice of Non-Compliance with the imposing entity, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct

a hearing at the earliest practical date, but in no event later than 30 days after receipt of notice, to determine whether a term of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the State Bar of Arizona bears the burden of proof to prove noncompliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against **PATRICK C. COPPEN** for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$1,531.00, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this	day of, 2010.	
	Suzanne D. Bunnin	
	Chief Deputy Clerk	

TO:

Patrick C. Coppen
Paul J. McGoldrick, Respondent's Counsel
Matthew McGregor, Bar Counsel
Hon. H. Jeffrey Coker, Hearing Officer 6R
Leticia V. D'Amore, Disciplinary Clerk
Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Recor

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona

Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Attn: Don Lewis

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona

Attn: Beth Stephenson

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis

chj