SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA _____ COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

PRELIMINARY PROTECTIVE HEARING Minute Entry Order Indian Child Welfare Act Compliance

Case Name:		Case No:	
Hearin	g Date: _	Hearing Scheduled Time: Judicial Officer:	
1.	Indian C	hild Welfare Act Inquiry.	
		to A.R.S. § 8-815(A), the Court has reason to believe the child may be an Indian oject to the Indian Child Welfare Act.	
	verification location determin	d's Indian status is undetermined, therefore, the Petitioner is ordered to obtain ion of the child's Indian status prior to the next hearing. If the identity or of the parent(s) or Indian custodian(s) and the Indian child's tribe cannot be led, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 1912(a), the Petitioner is ordered to provide notice to etary of the Interior.	
2.	Applicat	oility of the Indian Child Welfare Act.	
	The child	d is an Indian child within the meaning of 25 U.S.C. § 1903(4).	
	The Cou	rt finds either :	
	_	the child is a member of the Indian tribe; or	
	- c	the child is eligible for membership in an Indian tribe and is the biological hild of, who is a member of the Indian tribe.	
3.	Exclusiv	e or Concurrent Jurisdiction of the Tribal Court.	
	The resid	dence or domicile of the child is on the reservation of the Indian	
		ne Indian tribe of the child has exclusive jurisdiction pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 911(a).	
	2	ne child is temporarily located off the reservation and State custody pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 1922 is necessary in order to prevent imminent physical damage or arm to the child.	
	_ Indian t	standing residence or domicile, the child is a ward of the court of the tribe, and therefore the Indian tribe of the child has exclusive jurisdiction to 25 U.S.C. § 1911(a).	

	The residence or domicile of the child is not on an Indian reservation and the child is not a ward of the tribal court, therefore, the Indian tribe of the child has concurrent jurisdiction with this Court pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 1911(a).
4.	Notice to the Child's Indian Tribe.
	Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 1912(a), the Petitioner has provided written notification of these proceedings to the Indian tribe of the child on (date) by: fax; mail; registered mail, return receipt requested; other
	Petitioner has not provided written notification of these proceedings to the Indian tribe of the child as required by 25 U.S.C. § 1912(a), and therefore this preliminary protective hearing must be rescheduled to at
	The Indian tribe of the child has has not appeared for this hearing.
	The Indian tribe of the child waives the requirement for ten days' prior written notification of these proceedings, and therefore this preliminary protective hearing may proceed.
	The Indian tribe of the child does not waive the requirement for ten days' prior written notification of these proceedings, and therefore this preliminary protective hearing must be rescheduled to at
	The Indian tribe of the child has requested additional time to prepare for these proceedings pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 1912(a), and therefore this preliminary protective hearing must be rescheduled to at
5.	Intervention of the Child's Indian Tribe.
	Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 1911(c), the child's Indian tribe has moved to intervene, which motion is granted denied set for hearing on
	The child's Indian tribe has not moved to intervene at this time.
6.	Transfer of Jurisdiction.
	Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 1911(b), the child's parent/Indian custodian/Indian tribe has moved to transfer jurisdiction to the child's Indian tribe, which motion is granted set for hearing on
	No motion for transfer of jurisdiction is made at this time.

2

7.

Consent to Foster Care Placement.

	Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 1913(a), the child's parent(s) or Indian custodian(s) have voluntarily consented to foster care placement of the child. The Court certifies that the consent is in writing and recorded before this Court, that the consent was given more than 10 days after the birth of the child, that the terms and consequences of the consent were explained in detail to the child's parent(s) or Indian custodian(s) in English, or translated into a language they understand, and that the terms and consequences of the consent were understood by the child's parent(s) or Indian custodian(s).
	[If consent is given, skip to § 10.]
	The child's parent(s) or Indian custodian(s) have not consented to foster care placement of the child.
	[If consent is not given, continue with §8.]
8.	Testimony of Qualified Expert Witnesses.
	Petitioner has presented the testimony of
	Petitioner has not presented the testimony of qualified expert witnesses.
9.	Active Efforts.
	Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 1912(d), the Court finds that the Petitioner has has not made active efforts to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of the Indian family and that these efforts have have not proved unsuccessful.
10.	Placement.
	The child is placed in accordance with the placement preferences of 25 U.S.C. § 1915(b).
	The child is not placed in accordance with the placement preferences of 25 U.S.C. § 1915(b), but there is good cause to deviate from the placement preferences of 25 U.S.C. § 1915(b).
Dated:	Indicial Officer
	Judicial Officer