# COURT REVENUE Appellate and Superior Courts Narrative Summary

The revenue data provided here is for the Appellate and Superior Court of Arizona. The figures were compiled from probation fee reports and the quarterly revenue surveys distributed by the Supreme Court during FY 2003. Major revenue categories shown represent monies collected from four sources:

FINES, SANCTIONS, FORFEITURES: Revenue from monetary penalties assessed in criminal and juvenile matters, and bond monies that were forfeited;

SURCHARGES: Revenue from monetary assessments authorized by statute above and beyond criminal penalties and certain civil and other fees;

FEES: Revenue from collectible fees for services of the court and chargeable aspects of case processing;

OTHER REVENUE: Revenue from sources not otherwise specified.

Total revenue collected by the Supreme Court increased from \$4,316,525 in FY 2002 to \$4,544,737 in FY 2003, an increase of 5.3%. The majority of this revenue is Defensive Driving and JCEF Diversion fees sent directly to the Administrative Office of the Courts. The revenue for the Court of Appeals decreased from \$249,359 in FY 2002 to \$241,431 in FY 2003, a decrease of 3.2%. Superior Court revenue (including probation) decreased from \$60,817,165 in FY 2002 to \$55,193,589 in FY 2003, a decrease of 9.2%. Revenue in Superior Court for FY2002 included a one time settlement of \$10 million.

On the Superior Court table, Maricopa County accounts for 57.4% of all revenue, followed by Pima County with 17.8%. Fees make up the largest segment of Superior Court revenue, a total of \$46,292,023, or 83.9%. The Fines category is second with \$6,756,094, or 12.2%.

The Annual Trust Money Collection Summary shows that the Arizona Superior Court processed \$99,540,565 in "pass-through" trust monies in FY 2003, the majority (65,3%) being other trust payments. Again, most trust money collections were in Maricopa County (71.5%), followed by Pima County (15.2%).

Some of the FY 2002 figures may not correspond to figures published in last year's Data Report due to corrected information received subsequent to publication of the Report.

### ANNUAL REVENUE SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR 2003

#### SUPREME COURT

	FINES/ FORFEITURES	SURCHARGES	FEES	OTHER	TOTAL	FISCAL YEAR 2002 TOTAL
SUPREME COURT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE [1]			\$ 26,161 4,518,576	\$ 0   \$ 0	26,161 4,518,576	,
TOTAL			\$ 4,544,737	\$ 0   \$	4,544,737	\$ 4,316,525

<sup>[1]</sup> REVENUE SHOWN FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS INCLUDES JCEF DIVERSION AND DEFENSIVE DRIVING FEES SENT DIRECTLY FROM THE DRIVING SCHOOLS.

#### COURT OF APPEALS

	FINES/	SURCHARGES	 FEES	OTHER	 TOTAL	CAL YEAR
DIVISION ONE DIVISION TWO			\$ 187,507 53,924	\$ 0	\$ 187,507 53,924	190,484 58,875
TOTAL		1.100-447-0-11-4-11-2	\$ 241,431	\$ 0	\$ 241,431	\$ 249,359

### $\frac{1}{\infty}$

### ANNUAL TRUST MONEY COLLECTION SUMMARY [1] FISCAL YEAR 2003

#### SUPERIOR COURT

	BAIL		CHILD SUP	PORT			FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BONDS	RESTITUTION	NON IV-D [2]	IV-D [2]	OTHER	TOTAL	2002 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 78,844	\$ 74,309	\$ 0 \$	0 \$	0	\$ 153,153	\$ 147,618
COCHISE	549,235	153,829	0	0	215,246	918,310	901,926
COCONINO	435,175	232,763	0	0	0	667,938	1,127,807
GILA	140,501	157,101	0	0	11,464	309,066	407,998
GRAHAM	20,000	104,190	0	0	91,762	215,952	479,191
GREENLEE	22,985	41,964	0	9,232	0	74,181	49,894
MARICOPA	8,330,001	9,199,976	0	365,085	53,272,237	71,167,299	74,829,992
MOHAVE [1]	397,872	571,154	0	0	746,260	1,715,286	1,318,321
NAVAJO	159,700	170,735	0	0	53,955	384,390	510,834
PIMA	8,349,206	1,697,737	45,406	171,326	4,878,584	15,142,259	17,750,761
PINAL	j	230,331	0	0	3,561,859	3,792,190	1,441,385
SANTA CRUZ	78,526	201,365	0	0	573,812	853,703	882,439
YAVAPAI	984,915	478,546	0	0	1,100,726	2,564,187	1,842,382
YUMA	278,410	340,352	0	0	506,243	1,125,005	1,804,935
LA PAZ	415,622	42,024	0	0	0	457,646	224,582
		***************************************					
TOTAL	  \$20,240,992	\$13,696,376	\$ 45,406 \$	545,643	65,012,148	\$ 99,540,565	  \$103,720,065

<sup>[1]</sup> SOME ZEROES ON THIS TABLE APPEAR BECAUSE SOME JURISDICTIONS CANNOT REPORT EACH TRUST ACCOUNT COLLECTION SEPARATELY.

<sup>[2]</sup> THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CENTRAL SUPPORT PAYMENT CLEARINGHOUSE TO RECEIVE, DISBURSE AND MONITOR SUPPORT PAYMENTS
PURSUANT TO TITLE IV-D OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, WHICH STARTED TO TAKE EFFECT IN FY 98, HAS MOVED ALL CHILD SUPPORT
PAYMENTS FROM THE COURTS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY.

# COURT EXPENDITURES Appellate and Superior Courts Narrative Summary

The expenditure information provided here is for the Appellate Courts, Superior Court, and probation departments of Arizona. These data were compiled from Supreme Court financial records, program fund revertment reports, and annual expenditure surveys submitted to the Supreme Court for FY 2003. Major expenditure categories shown represent monies expended from five sources:

PRIMARY BUDGET: Expenditures of appropriated funds from the court's primary funding source, either the state (Supreme Court and Court of Appeals) or the county (Superior Courts and probation)--categories are kept as general as possible because line-item definitions for the state and counties vary widely;

STATE FUNDS: Expenditures of state program monies begun or renewed by the Arizona legislature and distributed to the courts;

FEDERAL FUNDS: Expenditures of federal program monies begun or renewed by the U.S. Congress and distributed to the courts (often via state agencies);

PRIVATE FUNDS: Expenditures of additional grants from other sources;

LOCAL FUNDS: Examples are expenditures from collections authorized by statute to reimburse all or part of the expenses of probation, automation, and other court services.

Total expenditures in the Supreme Court increased from \$39,003,932 in FY 2002 to \$40,272,488, an increase of 3.3%. Most of these are costs of the Administrative Office of the Courts associated with the administration of Arizona's court system. The Court of Appeals (both divisions) had a 1.1% decrease in expenditures, from \$10,887,818 FY 2002 to \$10,768,102 in FY 2003.

Total expenditures in the Superior Court (including administration and the clerk's office) increased from \$143,345,752 last fiscal year to \$144,567,399 in FY 2003, up 0.8%. Primary budget (county) expenditures of \$122,241,622 account for 84.6% of the total expenditures.

Expenditures in Superior Court probation (including adult, juvenile and combined departments, and juvenile detention) increased from \$199,352,285 in FY 2002 to \$200,480,592 in FY 2003, an increase of 0.6%. State fund expenditures of \$87,755,502 account for 43.8% of the total probation expenditures.

Some of the FY 2002 figures may not correspond to figures published in last year's Data Report due to corrected information received subsequent to publication of the Report.

### 2

# ANNUAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR 2003

### SUPREME COURT

	PRIMARY BUDGET	STATE FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS	PRIVATE FUNDS	   TOTAL	FISCAL YEAR
SUPREME COURT ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	  \$ 3,254,682   7,379,323	\$ 0 27,542,836	\$ 0 1,853,406	\$ 0 242,241	  \$ 3,254,682   37,017,806	
TOTAL	  \$10,634,005	\$27,542,836	\$ 1,853,406	\$ 242,241	  \$ 40,272,488 	\$ 39,003,932

#### COURT OF APPEALS

	PRIMARY BUDGET	STATE FUNDS	FEDERAL FUNDS	PRIVATE FUNDS	TOTAL	FISCAL YEAR
DIVISION ONE DIVISION TWO	  \$ 7,075,183   3,286,619	\$ 283,134 123,166	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 7,358,317 3,409,785	!
TOTAL	\$10,361,802	\$ 406,300	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 10,768,102	  \$ 10,887,818 

SUPERIOR COURT TOTAL
(INCLUDES SUPERIOR COURT/ADMINISTRATION AND SUPERIOR COURT CLERK)

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS[1]	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2002 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 1,160,251	\$ 111,909	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 22,447	\$ 1,294,607	\$ 1,239,944
COCHISE	3,083,053	93,658	0	0	434,820	3,611,531	3,280,175
COCONINO	2,426,464	466,194	0	0	410,363	3,303,021	3,201,181
GILA	2,963,444	348,426	0	0	168,326	3,480,196	3,521,875
GRAHAM	999,284	169,282	0	0	90,048	1,258,614	1,183,363
GREENLEE	468,467	109,953	0	0	22,113	600,533	570,082
MARICOPA	62,593,005	843,265	0	0	10,524,133	73,960,403	76,140,937
MOHAVE	3,469,846	712,903	0	0	282,747	4,465,496	4,491,410
NAVAJO	2,109,879	77,038	0	0	100,084	2,287,001	2,571,740
PIMA	24,926,782	587,142	0	0	3,095,493	28,609,417	27,124,771
PINAL	6,852,989	661,927	0	0	241,625	7,756,541	6,918,673
SANTA CRUZ	1,593,388	68,559	0	0	172,084	1,834,031	1,587,769
YAVAPAI	4,326,568	912,654	0	0	672,114	5,911,336	5,735,956
YUMA	4,563,754	316,589	0	0	492,191	5,372,534	4,959,063
LA PAZ	704,448	104,019	0	0	13,671	822,138	818,813
TOTAL	\$122,241,622	\$ 5,583,518	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 16,742,259	\$144,567,399	\$143,345,752
	1						

<sup>[1]</sup> STATE PROGRAM DATA INCLUDE DRUG ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC DEFENDER TRAINING FUND EXPENDITURES FOR SOME COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS AND OTHER INDIGENT DEFENSE PROGRAMS. THEY ALSO INCLUDE ESTIMATES OF THE STATE JUDICIAL SALARY SUPPORT ERE EXPENDITURES IN EACH COUNTY. IF REVERTMENT REPORTS WERE NOT RECEIVED IN TIME FOR PUBLICATION, EXPENDITURES FROM THOSE PARTICULAR FUNDS WERE ESTIMATED USING FY 2003 DISBURSEMENT FIGURES.

#### SUPERIOR COURT/ADMINISTRATION

\$ 690,286 1,944,719	FUNDS[1] \$ 111,909	بح	FUNDS		FUNDS	<u>FUNDS</u>	TOTAL	2002 TOTAL
	\$ 111,909	ہے						
	\$ 111,909	بے				1		
1,944,719		Ą	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$ 802,195	\$ 804,633
•	93,658		0		0	330,125	2,368,502	2,198,565
1,625,487	466,194		0		0	360,196	2,451,877	2,387,058
2,159,624	348,426		0		0	124,439	2,632,489	2,664,339
704,275	169,282		0		0	44,411	917,968	839,096
313,809	109,953		0		0	22,113	445,875	435,086
41,545,917	843,265		0		0	3,459,400	45,848,582	50,314,463
2,493,509	712,903		0		0	282,747	3,489,159	3,531,980
1,422,882	77,038		0		0	99,584	1,599,504	1,902,197
16,295,911	587,142		0		0	794,857	17,677,910	17,812,276
4,852,157	661,927		0		0	131,408	5,645,492	4,920,263
1,061,532	68,559		0		0	27,769	1,157,860	1,049,315
2,817,262	912,654		0		0	639,409	4,369,325	4,218,618
3,385,395	316,589		0		0	433,694	4,135,678	3,952,928
449,574	104,019		0		0	9,421	563,014	487,158
		····	***************************************					***************************************
\$ 81,762,339 \$	5,583,518	\$	0 \$	\$	0 \$	6,759,573	\$ 94,105,430	\$ 97,517,975
	2,159,624 704,275 313,809 41,545,917 2,493,509 1,422,882 16,295,911 4,852,157 1,061,532 2,817,262 3,385,395 449,574	2,159,624 348,426 704,275 169,282 313,809 109,953 41,545,917 843,265 2,493,509 712,903 1,422,882 77,038 16,295,911 587,142 4,852,157 661,927 1,061,532 68,559 2,817,262 912,654 3,385,395 316,589 449,574 104,019	2,159,624 348,426 704,275 169,282 313,809 109,953 41,545,917 843,265 2,493,509 712,903 1,422,882 77,038 16,295,911 587,142 4,852,157 661,927 1,061,532 68,559 2,817,262 912,654 3,385,395 316,589	2,159,624 348,426 0 704,275 169,282 0 313,809 109,953 0 41,545,917 843,265 0 2,493,509 712,903 0 1,422,882 77,038 0 16,295,911 587,142 0 4,852,157 661,927 0 1,061,532 68,559 0 2,817,262 912,654 0 3,385,395 316,589 0 449,574 104,019 0	2,159,624 348,426 0 704,275 169,282 0 313,809 109,953 0 41,545,917 843,265 0 2,493,509 712,903 0 1,422,882 77,038 0 16,295,911 587,142 0 4,852,157 661,927 0 1,061,532 68,559 0 2,817,262 912,654 0 3,385,395 316,589 0 449,574 104,019 0	2,159,624       348,426       0       0         704,275       169,282       0       0         313,809       109,953       0       0         41,545,917       843,265       0       0         2,493,509       712,903       0       0         1,422,882       77,038       0       0         16,295,911       587,142       0       0         4,852,157       661,927       0       0         1,061,532       68,559       0       0         2,817,262       912,654       0       0         3,385,395       316,589       0       0         449,574       104,019       0       0	2,159,624       348,426       0       0       124,439         704,275       169,282       0       0       44,411         313,809       109,953       0       0       22,113         41,545,917       843,265       0       0       3,459,400         2,493,509       712,903       0       0       282,747         1,422,882       77,038       0       0       99,584         16,295,911       587,142       0       0       794,857         4,852,157       661,927       0       0       131,408         1,061,532       68,559       0       0       27,769         2,817,262       912,654       0       0       639,409         3,385,395       316,589       0       0       433,694         449,574       104,019       0       0       9,421	2,159,624       348,426       0       0       124,439       2,632,489         704,275       169,282       0       0       44,411       917,968         313,809       109,953       0       0       22,113       445,875         41,545,917       843,265       0       0       3,459,400       45,848,582         2,493,509       712,903       0       0       282,747       3,489,159         1,422,882       77,038       0       0       99,584       1,599,504         16,295,911       587,142       0       0       794,857       17,677,910         4,852,157       661,927       0       0       131,408       5,645,492         1,061,532       68,559       0       0       27,769       1,157,860         2,817,262       912,654       0       0       639,409       4,369,325         3,385,395       316,589       0       0       433,694       4,135,678         449,574       104,019       0       9,421       563,014

<sup>[1]</sup> STATE PROGRAM DATA INCLUDE DRUG ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC DEFENDER TRAINING FUND EXPENDITURES FOR SOME COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS AND OTHER INDIGENT DEFENSE PROGRAMS. THEY ALSO INCLUDE ESTIMATES OF THE STATE JUDICIAL SALARY SUPPORT ERE EXPENDITURES IN EACH COUNTY. IF REVERTMENT REPORTS WERE NOT RECEIVED IN TIME FOR PUBLICATION, EXPENDITURES FROM THOSE PARTICULAR FUNDS WERE ESTIMATED USING FY 2003 DISBURSEMENT FIGURES.

### 23

# ANNUAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR 2003

### SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2002 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 469,965 \$	0 \$	0 \$	0	¢ 22 447	Ć 400 470	Å 40E 044
COCHISE	1,138,334	0	0		\$ 22,447	•	•
COCONINO	800,977	0	-	0	104,695		• •
GILA	803,820	0	0	0	50,167		•
GRAHAM		_	0	0	43,887		•
GREENLEE	295,009	0	0	0	45,637	•	
	154,658	0	0	0	0	154,658	•
MARICOPA	21,047,088	0	0	0	7,064,733	28,111,821	25,826,474
MOHAVE	976,337	0	0	0	0	976,337	959,430
OLAVAJO	686,997	0	0	0	500	687,497	669,543
PIMA	8,630,871	0	0	0	2,300,636	10,931,507	9,312,495
PINAL	2,000,832	0	0	0	110,217	2,111,049	1,998,410
SANTA CRUZ	531,856	0	0	0	144,315		538,454
YAVAPAI	1,509,306	0	0	0	32,705		•
AMUY	1,178,359	0	0	0	58,497		•
LA PAZ	254,874	0	0	0	4,250	259,124	331,655
TOTAL	\$ 40,479,283 \$	0 \$	0 \$	0 \$	9,982,686	\$ 50,461,969	\$ 45,827,777

SUPERIOR COURT PROBATION TOTAL (INCLUDES ADULT, JUVENILE, AND COMBINED DEPARTMENTS AND JUVENILE DETENTION)

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS[1]	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2002 TOTAL
N D N CILIT		4					
APACHE	\$ 998,960	\$ 752,518	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 68,905	\$ 1,820,383	\$ 2,408,045
COCHISE	1,795,575	2,704,344	0	0	350,540	4,850,459	4,791,376
COCONINO	2,481,791	2,887,329	0	0	654,488	6,023,608	5,828,485
GILA	1,240,479	1,535,069	0	0	244,633	3,020,181	
GRAHAM	1,255,396	970,807	0	0	97,344		
GREENLEE	193,096	448,729	0	0	27,109		
MARICOPA	36,043,243	45,349,400	0	0	18,353,129		
MOHAVE	1,898,840	2,981,908	0	0	383,463	5,264,211	5,184,714
OLAVAIO	1,566,310	1,994,444	0	0	118,763		
PIMA	23,860,084	14,864,142	0	0	4,822,379		42,731,027
PINAL	2,635,174	3,132,185	0	0	585,260		6,204,156
SANTA CRUZ	782,481	1,199,598	0	0	31,904		
YAVAPAI	2,727,668	3,871,458	0	0	1,089,964		
AMUY	5,886,615	4,668,486	0	0	2,212,872	· ·	, ,
LA PAZ	178,046	395,085	0	0	140,579	713,710	749,270
TOTAL	\$ 83,543,758	\$ 87,755,502 \$	0 \$	0	\$ 29,181,332	\$200,480,592	\$199,352,285

<sup>[1]</sup> IF REVERTMENT REPORTS WERE NOT RECEIVED IN TIME FOR PUBLICATION, EXPENDITURES FROM THOSE PARTICULAR FUNDS WERE ESTIMATED USING FY 2003 DISBURSEMENT FIGURES.

#### 5

# ANNUAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR 2003

#### ADULT PROBATION

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS[1]	 FUNDS	 FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2002 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 291,446	\$ 477,512	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 68,905	\$ 837,863	\$ 928,186
COCHISE	289,547	1,348,033	0	0	293,280	1,930,860	1,865,573
COCONINO	982,791	1,636,230	0	0	391,071	3,010,092	2,982,003
MARICOPA	12,745,991	26,337,063	0	0	11,328,948	50,412,002	53,142,325
PIMA	5,448,610	7,977,387	0	0	1,792,194	15,218,191	15,716,376
PINAL	620,428	1,431,639	0	0	490,815	2,542,882	2,361,133
YAVAPAI	1,166,575	2,308,594	0	0	522,128	3,997,297	3,895,556
AMUY	1,378,060	2,487,506	0	0	401,711	4,267,277	4,154,891
			 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	 			
TOTAL	\$ 22,923,448	\$ 44,003,964	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 15,289,052	\$ 82,216,464	\$ 85,046,043

<sup>[1]</sup> IF REVERTMENT REPORTS WERE NOT RECEIVED IN TIME FOR PUBLICATION, EXPENDITURES FROM THOSE PARTICULAR FUNDS WERE ESTIMATED USING FY 2003 DISBURSEMENT FIGURES.

### JUVENILE COURT/PROBATION

	PRIMARY	STATE	 FEDERAL	PRIVATE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS[1]	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2002 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 282,366	\$ 275,006	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 557,372	\$ 1,228,669
COCHISE	595,613	1,356,311	0	0	57,260	' '	• •
COCONINO	556,150	1,251,099	0	0	240,185		1,970,089
MARICOPA	10,342,935	19,012,337	0	0	6,587,950	35,943,222	
PIMA	11,070,254	6,886,755	0	0	3,030,185	20,987,194	•
PINAL	748,151	1,700,546	0	0	94,445	2,543,142	2,659,208
YAVAPAI	626,126	1,562,864	0	0	567,836	2,756,826	2,652,763
AMUY	2,667,241	2,180,980	0	0	1,811,161	6,659,382	3,365,882
TOTAL	\$ 26,888,836	\$ 34,225,898	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 12,389,022	\$ 73,503,756	\$ 71,986,344

<sup>[1]</sup> IF REVERTMENT REPORTS WERE NOT RECEIVED IN TIME FOR PUBLICATION, EXPENDITURES FROM THOSE PARTICULAR FUNDS WERE ESTIMATED USING FY 2003 DISBURSEMENT FIGURES.

### COMBINED PROBATION

COUNTY	PRIMARY BUDGET	STATE FUNDS [1]	FEDERAL FUNDS	PRIVATE FUNDS	LOCAL   FUNDS	   JATOT	FISCAL YEAR
GILA GRAHAM GREENLEE MOHAVE NAVAJO SANTA CRUZ LA PAZ	\$ 475,370 33,748 17,839 1,185,255 909,551 325,906 178,046	\$ 1,535,069 \$ 970,807 448,729 2,981,908 1,994,444 1,199,598 395,085	0 \$ 0 0 0 0	0 : 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 244,633 \$ 97,344  27,109  383,463  118,763  31,904  100,259		\$ 2,000,101 1,063,096 512,081 4,540,274
TOTAL	\$ 3,125,715		0 \$	0 \$	1,003,475 \$	13,654,830	\$ 13,584,304

<sup>[1]</sup> IF REVERTMENT REPORTS WERE NOT RECEIVED IN TIME FOR PUBLICATION, EXPENDITURES FROM THOSE PARTICULAR FUNDS WERE ESTIMATED USING FY 2003 DISBURSEMENT FIGURES.

### 28

# ANNUAL EXPENDITURE SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR 2003

#### JUVENILE DETENTION

	PRIMARY	STATE	FEDERAL	PRIVATE	LOCAL		FISCAL YEAR
COUNTY	BUDGET	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	TOTAL	2002 TOTAL
APACHE	\$ 425,148 \$	0 \$	<b>0</b>	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 425,148	\$ 251,190
COCHISE	910,415	0	0	0	0	910,415	:
COCONINO	942,850	0	0	0	23,232	966,082	
GILA	765,109	0	0	0	0	765,109	!
GRAHAM	1,221,648	0	0	0	0	1,221,648	
GREENLEE	175,257	0	0	0	0	175,257	
MARICOPA	12,954,317	0	0	0	436,231	:	!
MOHAVE	713,585	0	0	0	. 0	713,585	, ,
NAVAJO	656,759	0	0	0	0	656,759	
PIMA	7,341,220	0	0	0	0	:	!
PINAL	1,266,595	0	0	0	0	1	! '
SANTA CRUZ	456,575	0	0	0	0	456,575	!
YAVAPAI	934,967	0	0	0	0	934,967	·
YUMA	1,841,314	0	0	0	0		!
LA PAZ	0	0	0	0	40,320	÷ .	!
<u> </u>							
TOTAL	\$ 30,605,759 \$	0 \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 499,783	\$ 31,105,542	\$ 28,735,594

# COURT PERSONNEL Appellate and Superior Courts Narrative Summary

This summary shows all appellate court, superior court and adult/juvenile probation positions as of June 30, 2003, including positions funded from both primary and non-primary budget sources. The information was reported by the individual courts in response to the Supreme Court personnel survey distributed in July 2003.

The total number of persons working full-time in the Supreme Court decreased from 391 last fiscal year to 370 in FY 2003. Most of the full-time employees in the Supreme Court work for the Administrative Office of the Courts (329 of the 370). Full-time employees in the Court of Appeals (both divisions) increased by six positions, from 126 in FY 2002 to 132 in FY 2003. Full-time employees in Superior Court (including the clerk's office) increased from 2,616 to 2,754 this fiscal year, an increase of 5.3%. Superior Court Probation (comprising adult, juvenile, and combined departments) full-time employees increased from 3,772 in FY 2002 to 3,777 in FY 2003, an increase of 0.1%.

The Supreme Court had the services of 1,570 regular volunteers in FY 2003. The Superior Court had the services of 124 regular volunteers, while Superior Court Probation utilized the services of 511 regular volunteers. These people include professionals and non-professionals who serve in many different capacities.

Additionally, Superior Court utilized 133,573 hours of temporary personnel assistance, and the Superior Court Probation utilized 22,225 hours of temporary personnel assistance.

Some of the FY 2002 figures may not correspond to figures published in last year's Data Report due to corrected information received subsequent to publication of the Report.

### PERSONNEL ABBREVIATIONS

ADMProbation Administrative Support
ADM CLK
BLIFF Bailiff/Court Security/Court Warrant Officer
CLK CRT
CONSTB
CRT ADM
CRT REP
CPO JCD
DEP CLK
DTN ADM Juvenile Detention Administrative Staff
DTN EDU
DTN OFF
DTN SPV Juvenile Detention Supervising Officer
DTN SUP
FLD OFF Field Probation Officer
GEN SUP
JUD   Judge/Justice/Magistrate
JUD SEC
LEG Legal Research/Assistance
OTH ADM Other Court Administrative Staff
OTH MAG Other Magisterial (e.g., Judge Pro Tempore, Commissioner, Hearing Officer)
OTH OFF Other Probation Officer
OTH SUROther Probation Surveillance Officer
PPO
PRG SUP
SUP STF Probation General Support Staff
SPV Probation Supervisors
SUR OFF
TCH SUP
TRT EDU Probation Treatment and Education Staff

### SUPREME COURT

															***************************************	P	ART			
	<u> </u>							TIME							TOTAL	T	IME	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
			CRT								ADM				FULL		NON	PART		TOTAL
	JUD	MAG	ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD	JUD	TIME	FULL	
SUPREME COURT	5	0	0	16	0	6	0	1		1	2	10	0	0	   41	0	8	8	38	8
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	0 	0	1	2	9	0	0	238		0	0	0	59	20	329	0	15	15	353	28
TOTAL	5	0	1	18	9	6	0	239	***************************************	1	2	10	59	20	370	0	23	23	391	36
TOTAL VOLU: TOTAL HOUR:								IZATI	ON:				70 [E			1,592 6,420	-	I <del></del>	***************************************	

#### COURT OF APPEALS

																	PA	RT			
								FULL	TIME	<u> </u>						TOTAL	TI	ME	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
			OTH	CRT		$_{ m BL}$	JUD	CRT	OTH	CON	CLK	ADM	DEP	TCH	GEN	FULL		NON	PART		TOTAL
		JUD	MAG	ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD	JUD	TIME	FULL	PART
DIVISION ONE		16	0	0	39	0	16	0	4		1	2	16	2	0	96	0	5	5	92	5
DIVISION TWO		6	0	0	16	0	4	0	0		1	4	5	0	0	36	0	1	1	34	5
TOTAL		22	0	0	55	0	20	0	4		2	6	21	2	0	132	0	6	6	126	10
	TOTAL VOLUM								IZATI	ON:				2 [E			0	1	l I	***************************************	

SUPERIOR COURT TOTAL (INCLUDES SUPERIOR COURT/ADMINISTRATION AND SUPERIOR COURT CLERK)

																PA	ART			
	************					]	FULL	TIM							TOTAL	T	ME	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
			CRT			JUD			CON		ADM	DEP	TCH	GEN	FULL		NON	PART		
COUNTY	JUE	MAG	ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD	JUD	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0		1	2	8	0	2	17	0	4	4	16	1
COCHISE	4	0	1	0	11	4	4			1	4	25	0	1	64	0	7	7	l 63	8
COCONINO	4	1	2	0	4	5	5			1	3	15	0	0	44	0	3	3	48	3
GILA	2	1	1	0	0	2	4	8		1	3	16	4	0	42	0	1	1	41	1
GRAHAM	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	1		1	0	7	1	0	14	0	1	1	14	1
GREENLEE	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		7	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0
LA PAZ	1 1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		1	1	5	0	0	9	0	2	2	9	2
MARICOPA	90	34	4	2	198	111	73	229		6	67	569	-	•	1,636	0	31		1,549	29
MOHAVE	5	2	1	0	3	7	6	9		1	1	28	1	1	65	0	13	13	70	16
NAVAJO	3	0	1	0	0	4	3	1		1	2	15	0	0	30	0	1	1	30	1
PIMA	27	14	1	26	11	38	36	142		1	26		30	28	530	0	29	29	487	29
PINAL	·   6	1	1	0	8	9	6	21		1	8	51	4	2	118	0	28	28	114	25
SANTA CRUZ	2	1	0	0	0	3	1	8		1	1	9	0	1	27	0	0	0	26	1
YAVAPAI	6	2	1	0	2	7	7	8		1	5	36	0	4	79	0	25	25	77	22
YUMA	.   5	1	1	1	10	6	6	8		1	4	29	2	0	74	0	10	10	67	8
																		***************************************		***************************************
TOTAL	159	57	16	29	247	199	153	448		20	127	965	120	214	2,754	0	155	155	2,616	147
1	TOTAL VOLUNTEER	PER	SONNI	EL U	TILI	ZATI	ON:					12	24 [I	FY 20	002:	10	7]			····
	TOTAL HOURS OF	TEMP	ORAR	Y PEI	RSONI	NEL U	JTIL	IZAT:	ION:		13	33,57	73 [I	FY 20	002: 10	9,044	1]			

<sup>[1]</sup> SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2002 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO PUBLICATION.

<sup>[2]</sup> BEGINNING IN FY 2003, SOME MARICOPA COUNTY JUSTICE COURT ADMINISTRATION POSITIONS ARE REPORTED UNDER MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT.

#### SUPERIOR COURT/ADMINISTRATION

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						***************************************		***************************************				1	PA	ART			
						E	ULL	TIME	<u> </u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-114			TOTAL	T	IME	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
		OTH				JUD			-	CLK	ADM	DEP	TCH	GEN	FULL		NON	PART		
COUNTY	JUD	MAG	ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD	JUD	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0				0	0	0	4	0	1	1	3	1
COCHISE	4	0	1	0	11	4	4	9				1	0	1	35	0	3	3	34	4
COCONINO	4	1	2	0	4	5	5	4				0	0	0	25		2	2	27	2
GILA	2	1	1	0	0	2	4	6				0	4	0	20	0	1	1	19	1
GRAHAM	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0				0	1	0	5	0-	1	1 1	5	1
GREENLEE	i – I 1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0				0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
LA PAZ	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0				0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	2
MARICOPA	90	34	4	2	198	111	73	229				9	56	175	981	0	7	7	991	5
MOHAVE	5	2	1	0	3	7	6	9				0	1	1	35	0	11	11	32	14
NAVAJO	3	0	1	0	0	4	3	1				0	0	0	12	0	1	1	12	1
PIMA	27	14	1	26	11	38	36	138				0	23	1	316	0	26	26	305	28
PINAL	6	1	1	0	8	9	6	12				0	0	2	45	0	26	26	40	24
SANTA CRUZ	2	1	0	0	0	3	1	5				0	0	1	13	0	0	0	12	1
YAVAPAI	6	2	1	0	2	7	7	7				2	0	4	38	0	23	23	37	21
AMUY	5	1	1	1	10	6	6	8				0	1	0	39	0	6	6	36	5
														l		l				
TOTAL	   159	57	16	29	247	199	153	428				12	86	185	1,572	0	110	   110	1,557	110
TOTAL VOLU	I NTEER	PERS	SONN	EL U	rili:	ZATIO	ON:					13	L9 [1	FY 20	002:	10	3]	I	I	
TOTAL HOURS	5 OF 7	TEMPO	DRAR	Y PEI	RSON	NEL U	JTIL	IZAT:	ON:	***		87,46	54 []	FY 20	002: 9	99,62	2]			

<sup>[1]</sup> SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2002 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO PUBLICATION.

<sup>[2]</sup> BEGINNING IN FY 2003, SOME MARICOPA COUNTY JUSTICE COURT ADMINISTRATION POSITIONS ARE REPORTED UNDER MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT.

#### SUPERIOR COURT CLERK

										····						PART			<del></del>
						<u> </u>	TULL	TIME	3				****		TOTAL	<u>TIME</u>	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
		07	CH CRT		$_{ m BL}$	JUD	CRT	OTH	CON	CLK	ADM	DEP	TCH	GEN	FULL	NON	PART		
COUNTY		JUD MA	AG ADM	LEG	IFF	SEC	REP	ADM	STB	CRT	CLK	CLK	SUP	SUP	TIME	JUD JUD	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE				0	0	0	0	0		1	2	8	0	2	13	3	3	13	0
COCHISE		 		0	0	0	0	0		1	4	24	0	0	29	4		13 29	0 4
COCONINO		} [		0	0	0	0	0		1	3	15	0	0	19	1	$egin{array}{cccc} 4 & & \ & 1 & \ & \end{array}$	21	1
GILA		-		0	0	0	0	2		1	3	16	0	0 1	22	0	0	22	0
GRAHAM		<b>5</b>		0	0	0	0	1		1	0	7	0	0	9	0	0	9	0
GREENLEE		-		0	0	0	0	0		1	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
LA PAZ		1		0	0	0	0	0		1	1	5	0	0	7	0	0	7	0
MARICOPA				0	0	0	0	0		6	67	560	22	0	655	24	24	558	24
MOHAVE				0	0	0	0	0		1	1	28	0	0	30	2	2	38	2
NAVAJO				0	0	0	0	0		1	2	15	0	0	18	0	o	18	0
PIMA				0	0	0	0	4		1	25	150	7	27	214	3	3	182	1
PINAL				0	0	0	0	9		1	8	51	4	0	73	2	2	74	1
SANTA CRUZ				0	0	0	0	3		1	1	9	0	0	14	0	0	14	0
YAVAPAI				0	0	0	0	1		1	5	34	0	0	41	2	2	40	1
AMUY				0	0	0	0	0		1	4	29	1	0	35	4	4	31	3
																***************************************		·	
TOTAL		-		0	0	0	0	20		20	126	953	34	29	1,182	45	45  	1,059	37
	TOTAL VOLUM	NTEER PE	ERSONNI	EL U	rili:	ZATIO	ON:						5 [E	Y 20	02:	4]		<u> </u>	<del></del>
	TOTAL HOURS	S OF TEN	IPORAR	Y PEI	RSON	NEL U	JTIL:	[ZAT]	ON:		4	46,1	09 [E	Y 20	002:	9,422]			

<sup>[1]</sup> SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2002 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO PUBLICATION.

<sup>[2]</sup> BEGINNING IN FY 2003, SOME MARICOPA COUNTY JUSTICE COURT ADMINISTRATION POSITIONS ARE REPORTED UNDER MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT.

SUPERIOR COURT PROBATION TOTAL (INCLUDES ADULT, JUVENILE, AND COMBINED PROBATION DEPARTMENTS)

																			P	ART		***************************************	
										TIME			T					TOTAL	T	IME	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
	CPC				FLD		OTH					SUP						FULL			PART		
COUNTY	_JCI	) <u>S</u>	PV	ADM	OFF	PPO	OFF	OFF	SUR	EDU	SUP	STF	SPV	ADM	OFF	EDU	SUP	TIME	PRB	DTN	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE		)	7	4	10	2	2	3	0	1	3	9	2	0	7	1	0	47	5	8	13	39	3
COCHISE			7	10	31	3	9	5	0	2	7	10	2	1	19	4	1	113	6	15	21	107	21
COCONINO			7	12	27	5	14	9	0	3	1	14	2	1	23	- <b>T</b>	0	120		2	13	107	13
GILA		_	5	3	18	0	5	3	0	3	2	13	0	1	18	0	0	72	5	6	11	77	4
GRAHAM	1		0	2	10	1	3	3	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	24	1	0	1 1	22	1
GREENLEE	1	L	0	2	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	3	0	3	9	4
LA PAZ	j 1	L	0	2	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	1	0	1	15	1
MARICOPA	1 2	2	96	107	620	93	160	152	0	85	20	276	15	3	194	0	33	1,856	47	111	158		163
MOHAVE	į j	L	8	5	34	5	7	12	0	1	3	20	1	0	18	0	0	115	0	0	0	100	0
NAVAJO	1	L	0	6	28	3	5	5	1	0	2	8	4	1	12	0	0	76	6	9	15	72	19
PIMA	2	2	37	24	143	85	39	76	5	10	15	175	7	3	137	0	8	766	19	31	50	771	63
PINAL	2	2	3	8	32	7	24	11	0	1	3	25	4	3	25	0	0	148	1	6	7	129	17
SANTA CRUZ	1	L	2	5	14	2	0	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	10	0	0	45	1	0	1	46	1
YAVAPAI	2	2	9	3	49	5	13	10	2	0	3	30	1	0	21	0	2	150	0	0	0	147	2
YUMA	2	2	8	13	33	6	22	20	3	14	9	43	5	0	36	5	1	220	6	0	6	187	9
TOTAL	23	1	.83	206	1,058	218	304	315	11	120	71	636	43	14	520	10	45	3,777	112	188	300	3,772	321
1011111	2-	, 1		200	1,000	2.10	204	ر بد ر		± <b>∠</b> ∪	, 1	0.50	±2	T-4	J20	10	40		1 112	100	300		J 21 JL
	TOT	CAL	, VC	LUNT	EER PI	ERSO	NNEL	UTII	JIZA	TION						511	[FY 2		58	5]	1		
	TOT	OTAL VOLUNTEER PERSONNEL UTILIZATION: OTAL HOURS OF TEMPORARY PERSONNEL UTILIZATION:													22	, 225	[FY 2	002: 2	28,57	6]			

<sup>[1]</sup> SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2002 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO PUBLICATION.

### ADULT PROBATION

			PART			
	FULL TIME	TOTAL	TIME	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
COTTATENT	CPO FLD OTH SUR OTH TRT PRG SUP DTN DTN DTN DTN	FULL		PART	0,50	, 2002
COUNTY	JCD SPV ADM OFF PPO OFF OFF SUR EDU SUP STF SPV ADM OFF EDU SUP	TIME	PRB DTN	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE						
	1 0 2 5 2 0 2 0 1 6	19	1	1	20	1
COCHISE	1 3 3 17 3 1 5 0 2 6	41	2	2	39	3
COCONINO	1 3 7 16 5 8 7 0 1 6	54	4	4	51	4
MARICOPA	1 66 50 436 65 39 107 0 80 208	1,052	38	! !	1,154	
PIMA	1 23 17 97 30 10 44 5 10 69	307	2	: :		32
PINAL	1 0 3 20 6 4 5 0 0 8	: :	2	2	301	11
YAVAPAI	1 6 2 29 5 8 10 2 0 19	47	Ţ	1	47	1
YUMA		82	0	0	80	0
	1 5 5 17 6 12 11 1 3 20	83	2	2	82	3
		-				
TOTAL	8 106 89 637 122 82 191 8 97 342	1,685	50	   50	1,774	55
	TOTAL VOLUNTEER PERSONNEL UTILIZATION: 31 [FY 2	.		li		
	of the second se	1002:	41]			
	TOTAL HOURS OF TEMPORARY PERSONNEL UTILIZATION: 2,550 [FY 2	1002:	7,304]			

<sup>[1]</sup> SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2002 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO PUBLICATION.

### JUVENILE COURT/PROBATION

																		P	ART	1		
				·····			]	FULL	TIME	3	~~~		**********				TOTAL	T	IME	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
	CPO			FLD		OTH	SUR	OTH	TRT	PRG	SUP	DTN	DTN	DTN	DTN	DTN	FULL			PART		
COUNTY	JCD	SPV	ADM	OFF	PPO	OFF	OFF	SUR	EDU	SUP	STF	SPV	ADM	OFF	EDU	SUP	TIME	PRB	DTN	TIME	FULL	PART
APACHE		-			•	_	_															
	1 1	7	2	5	0	2	1		0	3	3	2	0	7	1	0	28	4	8	12	19	2
COCHISE	ļ	4	7	14	0	8	0		0	7	4	2	1	19	4	1	72	4	15	19	68	18
COCONINO	1	4	5	11	0	6	2		2	1	8	2	1	23	0	0	66	7	2	9	58	9
MARICOPA	1	30	57	184	28	121	45		5	20	68	15	3	194	0	33	804	9	111	120	788	131
PIMA	1	14	7	46	55	29	32		0	14	106	7	3	137	0	8	459	17	31	48	470	52
PINAL	1	3	5	12	1	20	6		1	3	17	4	3	25	0	0	101	0	6	6	82	16
YAVAPAI	1	3	1	20	0	5	0		0	3	11	1	0	21	0	2	68	0	0	l 01	67	2
YUMA	1	3	8	16	0	10	9		11	8	23	5	0	35	5	1	137	4	0	4		6
A STATE OF THE STA						~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					······				······		.					
TOTAL	8	62	92	308	. 84	201	95		19	59	240	38	11	461	10	45	1,735	45	173	218	1,657	236
	I TOT2	AL V	OLUNT	EER P	ERSO	NNEL	UTII	LIZA	rion	:	<del></del>				480	[FY 2	.   002:	54	4]		***************************************	
	TOTA	λL H	OURS	OF TEI	MPOR.	ARY I	PERS	ONNE	L UT	LLIZZ	OITA	<b>1</b> :		18	,175	[FY 2	002: 2	20,80	6]			

[1] SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2002 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO

PUBLICATION.

### ADULT/JUVENILE COMBINED PROBATION

	1						****															
																		P.F	\RT			
							]	FULL	TIME	3							TOTAL	T]	ME	TOTAL	6/30	/2002
	CPO			FLD		OTH	SUR	OTH	TRT	PRG	SUP	DTN	DTN	DTN	DTN	DTN	FULL			PART		
COUNTY	_JCD	SPV	ADM	OFF	PPO	OFF	OFF	SUR	EDU	SUP	STF	SPV	ADM	OFF	EDU	SUP	TIME	PRB	DTN	TIME	FULL	PART
GILA	1	5	3	18	0	5	3	0	3	2	13	0	1	18	0	0	72	5	6	11	77	4
GRAHAM	1	0	2	10	1	3	3	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	24	1	0	1	22	1
GREENLEE	1	0	2	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	3	0	3	9	4
LA PAZ	1	0	2	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	15	1	0	1	15	731 71
MOHAVE	1	8	5	34	5	7	12	0	1	3	20	1	0	18	0	0	115	0	0	0	100	0
NAVAJO	1	0	6	28	3	5	5	1	0	2	8	4	1	12	0	0	76	6	9	15	72	19
SANTA CRUZ	1	2	5	14	2	0	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	10	0	0	45	1	0	10	46	1.5
							_	•	ŭ	Ū	Ū	J		-L-O	Ŭ	Ü	1 42	_L	ا	<u> </u>	40	Т
								***************************************					<del></del>				-	***************************************	!			
TOTAL	7	15	25	113	12	21	29	1	4	10	54	5	3	58	0	0	357	17	15	32	341	30
																				-	3	20
	TOTA	T AC	DLUNT	EER PE	RSO	NEL	UTII	LIZA	rion:						0	[FY 2	2002:	C	' )]			
	TOTA	AL HO	OURS (	OF TEM	IPOR <i>I</i>	ARY 1	PERSO	ONNE	UT	LIZZ	OITA	V:		1	,500	[FY 2	2002:	466	5]			

<sup>[1]</sup> SOME FIGURES DIFFER FROM THOSE IN THE FY 2002 DATA REPORT DUE TO CORRECTED INFORMATION RECEIVED SUBSEQUENT TO

PUBLICATION.