

ARIZONA SUPREME COURT ORAL ARGUMENT CASE SUMMARY



STATE OF ARIZONA v. DAVID LEE GREEN CR-18-0537-PR

PARTIES:

| Petitioner/Appellee: | State of Arizona |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Respondent/Appellant: | David Lee Green |

FACTS:

After Green was convicted of two counts of personal possession of a narcotic drug and one count of possession of drug paraphernalia, the court sentenced him to prison.

Under A.R.S. § 13-901.01(A) and (F), a sentence of probation is mandated for people convicted of first and second offenses involving "the personal possession or use of a controlled substance or drug paraphernalia." However, under A.R.S. § 13-901.01(H)(1) people convicted "three times of personal possession of a controlled substance or drug paraphernalia" are not eligible for mandatory probation.

Green appealed and the Arizona Court of Appeals agreed that he was entitled to mandatory probation because his past conviction for solicitation to sell a narcotic drug was not a conviction for personal possession of a controlled substance or drug paraphernalia and therefore did not count as a "strike" against him under A.R.S. § 13-901.01(H)(1).

ISSUE:

Whether solicitation to sell a narcotic drug is a "strike" under A.R.S. § 13-901.01(H)(1))?

This Summary was prepared by the Arizona Supreme Court Staff Attorneys' Office solely for educational purposes. It should not be considered official commentary by the Court or any member thereof or part of any brief, memorandum, or other pleading filed in this case.