

Appendix A

Since 2000, the Court has ruled on requests to stay the issuance of a mandate under ARCAP 24(d)(1), or its criminal analog, Ariz. R. Crim. P.

31.22(e), as indicated below:

Year	Case Name	Case Number	Disposition
2005	<i>State v. Carreon</i>	CR-03-0160-AP	Denied*
2005	<i>State v. Martinez</i>	CR-04-0435-PR	Granted
2005	<i>State v. Prasertphong</i>	CR-01-0100-AP	Granted
2006	<i>State v. Ellison</i>	CR-04-0073-AP	Denied**
2006	<i>State v. Berger</i>	CR-05-0101-PR	Granted
2007	<i>State v. Garza</i>	CR-04-0343-AP	Denied as moot
2007	<i>State v. Gant</i>	CR-06-0385-PR	Granted
2010	<i>State v. Gomez</i>	CR-09-0339-PR	Granted
2015	<i>Howell v. Howell</i>	CV-15-0030-PR	Granted°
2015	<i>In re marriage of Merrill Abbott v. Banner Health Network</i>	CV-15-0028-PR	Granted°
2016	<i>State v. Adair</i>	CV-15-0013-PR	Granted°
2016	<i>State v. Holle</i>	CR-15-0337-PR	Granted
2016	<i>State v. Escalante-Orozco</i>	CR-15-0348-PR	Granted
2017	<i>McLaughlin v. Jones</i>	CR-13-0088-AP	Denied**
2017	<i>State v. McKinney</i>	CV-16-0266-PR	Granted°
2018	<i>State v. Johnson</i>	CR-93-0362-AP	Granted
2019	<i>State v. Nunez-Diaz</i>	CR-16-0261-AP	Denied as moot
2019	<i>State v. Martin</i>	CR-18-0514-PR	Granted
2019	<i>State v. Trujillo</i>	CR-18-0380-PR	Granted
2020	<i>State v. Lietzau</i>	CR-18-0531-PR	Granted
2020	<i>State v. Poyson</i>	CR-19-0132-PR	Granted
2020	<i>State v. Mixton</i>	CR-98-0510-AP	Granted**
2021	<i>State v. Porter</i>	CR-19-0276-PR	Granted
2021	<i>State v. Porter</i>	CR-20-0147-PR	Granted
2021	<i>Rogers v. Hon. Mroz/Young</i>	CV-21-0001-PR	Granted°
2022	<i>Morgan/Neff v. Hon. Dickerson/State</i>	CV-21-0198-PR	Granted°
2024	<i>State v. Bassett</i>	CR-22-0227-PR	Granted

° Indicates the motion was made pursuant to ARCAP 24(d).

* Indicates the motion was a combined motion to stay issuance of the mandate, suspend appeal, and remand the case to the trial court.

** Indicates the motion was a combined motion to recall and stay issuance of the mandate.

This table was generated from a review of matters between 2000 and 2024 in which a party filed a petition for certiorari with the United States Supreme Court after an Arizona Supreme Court decision. The table lists instances in which a party filed a request to stay the issuance of the mandate either under ARCAP 24(d) or ARCP 31.22(e). It does not reflect the Court's disposition of motions seeking *only* to recall a mandate. Nor does this table reflect the Court's treatment of motions in attorney discipline matters which are governed by other rules.