

Arizona Supreme Court
Steering Committee on Data-Based Court Performance and Data Standards
AGENDA

March 6, 2024, 1:30 – 3:00 p.m., Meeting in Room 331
Arizona State Court Building, 1501 W. Washington, Phoenix, AZ 85007

[Zoom Webinar Link](#)

Conference Call Line 888-788-0099, Webinar ID 992 0928 4888, Passcode 789991

Meeting Room is open at 1:15 p.m.

Meeting Materials located at [Meeting Information \(azcourts.gov\)](#)

| | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|
| 1:15 pm | Zoom Webinar and Room 331 Open for Members, Attendees and Presenters | | Laura Ritenour |
| | | | |
| 1:30 pm | Call to Order - Welcome, Roll Call, Opening Remarks | | Hon. James Beene, Chair |
| 1:32 pm | Approval | Draft Minutes from December 2023 Meeting | Hon. James Beene |
| 1:35 pm | Update | NCSC Technical Assistance Project - Report-out from Pinal County - Report-out from Mohave County | Todd Zweig and Kip Anderson |
| 1:50 pm | Review | Felony Case Processing Time Standards Review Data Collection Concept – What cases are being disposed within 180 days? | Hon. Pamela Gates and Laura Ritenour |
| 2:15 pm | Update | Family Law Time Standards Workgroup – Collaboration with Family Court Improvement Committee (FCIC) | Hon. James Beene, Cathy Clarich and Laura Ritenour |
| 2:20 pm | Update | Data Standardization Advisory Committee | Michael Malone |
| 2:25 pm | Update | DUI Case Processing Training for Judicial Officers | Cathy Clarich |
| 2:30 pm | Update | Draft Post-Conviction Relief Notice and Petition Filings Instructions | Mary Bellefeuille |
| 2:35 pm | Update | Required Data Elements – 2024 Checklist Process and Administrative Directive 2023-10 | Laura Ritenour |
| 2:45 pm | Review | Proposed Wendell Page for Time Standards | Laura Ritenour |
| 2:50 pm | Call to the Public | | Hon. James Beene |
| | Next Meeting: Wednesday, June 5, 2024, 1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m., Hybrid format – Zoom Webinar and Room 331 | | Hon. James Beene |
| 2:55 pm | Adjournment | | Hon. James Beene |

All times are approximate. The Chair reserves the right to set the order of the agenda. For any item on the agenda, the Committee may vote to go into executive session as permitted by Arizona Code of Judicial Administration §1-202. Please contact Laura Ritenour at (602) 452-3675 with any questions concerning this agenda. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation by contacting Laura Ritenour at (602) 452-3675. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange for the accommodation.

STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE AND DATA STANDARDS

December 6, 2023

1:30 p.m. – 3:30 p.m., Hybrid Meeting

DRAFT MINUTES

Present: Hon. James Beene, Ms. Shelly Bacon, Hon. Thomas L. Chotena, Ms. Jennifer Curtiss, Hon. Pamela Frasher-Gates, Ms. Shawn Friend, Hon. Ken Lee, Ms. Tina Mattison, Hon. Heidi Owens, Hon. Michael Peterson, Ms. Nancy Rodriguez, Hon. Don Taylor, and Ms. Valerie Wyant as proxy for Ms. Donna McQuality

Absent: Mr. Marcus Reinkensmeyer

Presenters, Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) and Guests: Ms. Theresa Barrett (AOC), Ms. Mary Bellefeuille (AOC), Mr. Stewart Bruner (AOC), Ms. Cathy Clarich (Presenter, AOC), Ms. Jennifer Ferguson (Superior Court for Maricopa County), Mr. Michal Malone (Presenter, AOC), Ms. Victoria Murray (Superior Court for Maricopa County), Dr. Brian Ostrom (Presenter, NCSC), Ms. Susan Pickard (Presenter, AOC) and Ms. Laura Ritenour (Staff, AOC) and Hon. Greg Sakall (Presenter, Pima County)

I. CALL TO ORDER

A. Welcome and Opening Remarks

The December 6, 2023, meeting of the Steering Committee on Data-Based Court Performance and Data Standards was called to order by the Chair, Justice James Beene, at 1:31 p.m. The Chair conducted member roll call and members were thanked for their attendance and service.

B. Approval of the September 6, 2023, Minutes

The draft minutes from the September 6, 2023, meeting of the Steering Committee on Data-Based Court Performance and Data Standards were presented for approval. The Chair called for any omissions or corrections to the minutes, and none were made. A motion was made by Shelly Bacon and seconded by Jennifer Curtiss to approve the minutes. The motion passed unanimously by the members.

II. REGULAR BUSINESS

A. Effective Criminal Case Management Project Presentation

In October, Dr. Brian Ostrom presented his criminal case management research to the superior court presiding judges in Flagstaff. The Effective Criminal Case Management project was a national initiative designed to discover and document

effective practices that drive high performance in handling felony and misdemeanor cases in the state courts. Based on felony and misdemeanor case data gathered from over 130 state courts in 21 states, this project analyzed key factors thought to shape criminal case flow and time to disposition. Dr. Ostrom gave an overview of the research and its findings. Members asked questions and gave their perspectives on the findings. Justice Beene thanked Dr. Ostrom when the presentation was complete and then the members discussed possible next steps regarding the research. Justice Beene will discuss the ideas raised by members with AOC staff and will bring next steps to the March 2024 meeting.

B. Update on Proposed DUI Case Processing Training for Judicial Officers

Justice Beene reminded the members that at the committee's June meeting, the committee reviewed the results from the FY22 limited jurisdiction courts' time standards data. DUI case processing continues to be an issue for most limited jurisdiction courts. At the September meeting, Cathy Clarich reviewed with the committee a 2020 report from the DUI Case Processing Workgroup and the members discussed several recommendations. Cathy Clarich reported that AOC Judicial Education Unit staff facilitated a meeting with the Governor's Office of Highway Safety (GOHS) conference staff and a video on DUI case processing was added to the December 1st presentation. The video, narrated by Laura Ritenour, reviewed the DUI time standards, and discussed how caseflow management concepts can assist judicial officers in improving court case process. Members discussed creating a caseflow management concepts training, and the creation of a workgroup to develop this training. Judge Taylor made a motion for the committee to form a DUI case processing and caseflow management workgroup to develop this training. The motion was seconded by Judge Owens and passed unanimously. Members can contact Laura Ritenour to volunteer for this workgroup or can send her a designee from their court.

C. Family Law Time Standards Workgroup – Collaboration with Family Court Improvement Committee (FCIC)

This item was taken out of order, as Judge Sakall was available. Justice Beene welcomed Judge Sakall and Susan Pickard to the meeting. Judge Sakall is the chair of the Family Court Improvement Committee and Susan Pickard staffs the committee. FCIC is currently researching ideas to help expedite the resolution of temporary orders. Family Law Motions for Temporary Orders is one of three family law time standards. The other two are Dissolution and Parental Responsibility and Post-Judgment Motions. The AOC has about 5 years of data on family law time standards, however because reports are still in draft status, per Arizona Supreme Court Rule 123, this data cannot be openly shared with members of the FCIC committee. Judge Sakall gave an overview of the work being done by FCIC and how collaboration with the steering committee may assist them in their efforts. Judge Peterson made a motion for the steering committee to collaborate with FCIC and form a workgroup to develop recommendations for process improvements and time standards updates. The motion was seconded by Judge Taylor and passed

unanimously by the members. Members can contact Laura Ritenour to volunteer for this workgroup or can send her a designee from their court.

D. Draft Post-Conviction Relief Notice and Petition Filing Instructions

Justice Beene reminded the committee members that over this past year, AOC staff have researched felony Post-Conviction Relief time standards. At the September meeting AOC staff recommended the creation of Post-Conviction Relief Notice and Petition filing instructions for publication on the Self-Service Center website. By making these instructions readily available, the goal is to reduce the number of post-conviction relief cases from aging prematurely. Mary Bellefeuille, AOC Data Standards Analyst, presented a brief overview of the instructions and members gave their feedback. Next steps will include receiving feedback from other subject matter experts, having the instructions translated into Spanish, and posting the instructions on the Self-Service section of www.azcourts.gov.

E. Data Standardization Advisory Committee Update and Recommendations on Required Data Elements

Michael Malone, chair of the Data Standardization Advisory Committee, provided an update on the workgroup's progress. The Data Standardization Advisory Committee meets monthly to discuss and recommend standardized docket codes and data element definitions. The goal of their work is to improve court data, by making data more consistent and accurate, for court leadership decision-making and for sharing with our justice system partners. Members had no questions about the summary provided. Laura Ritenour then presented the advisory committee's recommendations for updated and new required data elements. After discussion, Judge Peterson made a motion for the steering committee approved the required data elements change recommendations. The motion was seconded by Nancy Rodriguez and passed unanimously by the members.

F. Required Data Elements – 2024 Checklist Process and Administrative Directive 2023-10

Justice Beene introduced this topic by reminding members that the Required Data Elements project is a multi-year effort to improve court data collection by requiring all court case management systems to store common data elements. The goal is improved data collection, reporting, and system integration. Laura Ritenour provided an overview of the 2024 checklist process, detailing specific deadlines for courts and court leadership. She then notified the member of the newly published Administrative Directive 2023-10, which covers Phase Two of the Required Data Elements project.

G. Call to the Public

Justice Beene made a call to the public for comments. There was no answer.

H. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 3:14 p.m. with a motion by Judge Gates.

E. NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING DATE

March 6, 2024, 1:30 – 3:30 p.m., Hybrid - State Courts Building Room 331 & Zoom Webinar

DRAFT

**STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE
AND DATA STANDARDS**

| Date of Meeting: | Type of Action Required: | Subject: |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| March 6, 2024 | <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other | NCSC Technical Assistance Project - Report-out from Pinal County and Mohave County |

PRESENTER(S):

Todd Zweig, Court Administrator, Pinal County Superior Court and Kip Anderson, Court Administrator, Mohave County Superior Court

DISCUSSION:

Back in 2021, Judge Gates chaired the Felony Time Standards Workgroup and presented their work and recommendations on the current felony time standards to this committee and the Presiding Judges. As a result of that report, the AOC entered into a consulting contract with National Center for State Courts and Retired Judge Coker to provide technical assistance on criminal case management in seven counties. Judge Coker and his team conducted detailed data analysis, facilitated county stakeholder meetings, and drafted reports and recommendations for system improvement in the counties that participated. Judge Coker presented to the committee at the June 2023 meeting. Today, court administrators from two of the counties will give a report-out on about the technical assistance their courts received from NCSC and Judge Coker and what next steps are planned.

RECOMMENDED ACTION OR REQUEST (IF ANY):

For Information Only

**STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE
AND DATA STANDARDS**

| Date of Meeting: | Type of Action Required: | Subject: |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| March 6, 2024 | <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other | Felony Case Processing Time Standards Review Data Collection Concept – What cases are being disposed within 180 days? |

PRESENTER(S):

- Hon. Pamela Gates, Committee Member and Associate Presiding Judge, Maricopa County Superior Court
- Laura Ritenour, Caseflow Management Specialist, AOC Court Services, and Staff to Committee

DISCUSSION:

Back in 2021, Judge Gates chaired the Felony Time Standards Workgroup and presented their work and recommendations on the current felony time standards to this committee and the Presiding Judges. The committee has discussed felony case processing time standards at several meetings. Dr. Brian Ostrom presented on the Effective Criminal Caseflow Management project at the December 2023 committee meeting. In February, Judge Gates and Laura Ritenour discussed a potential data collection project they would like committee feedback on.

RECOMMENDED ACTION OR REQUEST (IF ANY):

For Information Only

FELONY CASE PROCESSING TIME STANDARDS

FELONY TIME STANDARDS

CASE PROCESSING TIME STANDARDS EST. IN 2015

- √ 65% within 90 days
- √ 85% within 180 days
- √ 95% within 365 days

FELONY TIME STANDARDS WORKGROUP - 2021

Met to evaluate the current time standards, discuss best practices for efficient case management of felony cases, and analyze whether to recommend amendment of the existing standards.

CASE PROCESSING TIME STANDARDS PROPOSED IN 2021

- √ 65% within 120 days
- √ 85% within 210, 240, or 270 days
- √ 95% within 365 days

WORKGROUP RECOMMENDATIONS

Adjust the earliest time standard to account for the many factors outside of the court's control in early management of felony cases.

The primary factors out of the court's control that dictate early resolution are:



Disclosure of relevant evidence including body cam footage and lab results



The decision by the prosecuting agency to extend a plea offer



TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROJECT

In 2022, the AOC partnered with National Center for State Courts on a felony case processing technical assistance project. Judge Coker (retired) and his team visited seven counties, analyzed their felony case processing, and provided recommendations for improving caseload management

Chief Justice Brutinel requested the Steering Committee hold off on further discussion of the workgroup's recommendations until a technical assistance project between AOC and National Center for State Courts was completed and time given for possible improvements to be displayed in future time standards data

While the Steering Committee waits for the technical assistance project and its results to be completed, the Steering Committee has had discussion about what factors might be causing cases to exceed the time standards

PROPOSED DATA COLLECTION CONCEPT

WHAT CASES ARE BEING DISPOSED WITHIN 90 OR 180 DAYS?

**WHAT PERCENTAGE OF CASES ARE MEETING THE
65% WITHIN 90 DAYS TIME STANDARD (TIER ONE)?**



**WHAT PERCENTAGE OF CASES ARE MEETING THE
85% WITHIN 180 DAYS TIME STANDARD (TIER TWO)?**



**WHAT CHARACTERISTICS DO THESE CASES HAVE IN
COMMON?**



**DO COURTS HAVE ENOUGH OF THESE CASES TO MEET
THESE STANDARDS?**



PROPOSED APPROACH

1. USE THE
FRAMEWORK OF THE
TIME TO DISPOSITION
REPORT

2. INCLUDE THE
CHARGE AND
DISPOSITION DATA FOR
EACH CASE

3. AOC (GJ AJACS
COURTS), MARICOPA
AND PIMA COUNTY
WOULD COLLECT AND
ANALYZE THIS DATA BY
JUNE 5TH MEETING FOR
DISCUSSION

WHAT OTHER DATA DO YOU SUGGEST?

EXAMPLE REPORT

| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J |
|-------------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|
| Case Number | Case Title | Total Days | Charge Count | Charge Sequence | Charge Code | Charge Class | Description | Disposition | Other columns? |
| CR123 | Gomez | 34 | 1 | 1 | 13-1304A4 | Felony 4 th Degree | Kidnap – Apprehension of Injury | Guilty | |
| | | | 2 | 1 | 13-1204A4 | Felony 6 th Degree | Aggravated Assault-Victim Bound/Restrained | Court Dismissal | |
| | | | 3 | 1 | 13-1202A1 | Misd. 1 st Degree | Threaten-Intimidate | Court Dismissal | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| CR124 | Smith | 90 | 1 | 1 | 13-3407A2 | Felony 2 nd Degree | Dangerous Drug-Poss for Sale | Court Dismissal | |
| | | | 2 | 1 | 28-622.01 | Felony 5 th Degree | Unlawful Flight From Pursuing | Court Dismissal | |
| | | | 2 | 2 | 213-2508A2 | Felony 6 th Degree | Resist Arrest-Risk Phys Injury | Guilty | |

EXAMPLE REPORT

| | |
|----------------|---|
| | J |
| Other columns? | |
| | |

- Priors listed in plea agreement?
- In custody at time of plea?
- Is the court a “direct file” court?
- Other ideas?

**WHAT QUESTIONS,
COMMENTS, OR
CONCERNS DO YOU
HAVE WITH THIS
PROPOSAL?**



**STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE
AND DATA STANDARDS**

| Date of Meeting: | Type of Action Required: | Subject: |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| March 6, 2024 | <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other | Collaboration with Family Court Improvement Committee |

PRESENTER(S):

- Hon. James P. Beene, Justice, Arizona Supreme Court, and Chair, Steering Committee on Data-Based Court Performance and Data Standards (DSSC)
- Cathy Clarich, Manager, Court Operations Unit, AOC Court Services Division

DISCUSSION:

At its December meeting, the Steering Committee voted unanimously for the creation of a collaborative FCIC-DSSC workgroup to develop recommendations for process improvements and potential Family Law time standard changes. The workgroup would consider statutory requirements, court rules, court data, and any other relevant factors in developing their recommendations.

The proposal was brought to the February Family Court Improvement Committee for their consideration. Justice Beene and Cathy Clarich will provide an update.

RECOMMENDED ACTION OR REQUEST (IF ANY):

For information only.

**STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE
AND DATA STANDARDS**

| Date of Meeting: | Type of Action Required: | Subject: |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| March 6, 2024 | <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other | Data Standardization Advisory Committee |

PRESENTER(S):

Michael Malone, Director, AOC Court Services Division

DISCUSSION:

Michal Malone, chair of the Data Standardization Advisory Committee, will give an update on the committee's progress since the December 2023 meeting.

RECOMMENDED ACTION OR REQUEST (IF ANY):

For Information Only

STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE AND DATA STANDARDS

| Date of Meeting: | Type of Action Required: | Subject: |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| March 6, 2024 | <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other | Update on Proposed DUI Case Processing Training for Judicial Officers |

PRESENTER(S):

Cathy Clarich, Manager, AOC Court Operations Unit

DISCUSSION:

At the September 2023 committee meeting, members discussed the misdemeanor DUI case processing time standards and possible solutions regarding training of judicial officers. Ms. Clarich will update the committee members on current and future plans.

RECOMMENDED ACTION OR REQUEST (IF ANY):

For information only

STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE AND DATA STANDARDS

| Date of Meeting: | Type of Action Required: | Subject: |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| March 6, 2024 | <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other | Draft Post-Conviction Relief Notice and Petition Filing Instructions |

PRESENTER(S):

Mary Bellefeuille, Data Standards Analyst, AOC Court Operations Unit

DISCUSSION:

At the December 2023 meeting, Mary Bellefeuille presented members with draft Post-Conviction Relief Notice and Petition filing instructions for publication on the Self-Service Center website. Mary Bellefeuille will give an update on the project.

RECOMMENDED ACTION OR REQUEST (IF ANY):

For information only

STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE AND DATA STANDARDS

| Date of Meeting: | Type of Action Required: | Subject: |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| March 6, 2024 | <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other | Update on Required Data Elements and Administrative Directive 2023-10 on Phase Two Data Collection |

PRESENTER(S):

Laura Ritenour, Caseflow Management Specialist, AOC Court Services, and Staff to Committee

DISCUSSION:

The Required Data Elements Initiative is guided by ACJA § 1-508 and its goal is for all court case management systems to have a common set of data elements for improved data collection and reporting.

Ms. Ritenour will provide an overview on the project’s implementation, the 2024 Required Data Elements Checklists, and Administrative Directive 2023-10, Phase Two of Required Data Elements.

RECOMMENDED ACTION OR REQUEST (IF ANY):

For information only

REQUIRED DATA ELEMENTS - IMPLEMENTATION OVERVIEW

Laura Ritenour,
AOC Court Services Division

March 2024





BACKGROUND

In 2018, NCSC published NODS and in 2020-21, Data Standards Steering Committee developed a list of ~250 Required Data Elements (RDEs) for Arizona courts

Goal - Work towards all case management systems having a common set of data elements for improved data collection and reporting

- ACJA § 1-508 adopted by AJC in April 2022
- Administrative Directive 2022-12 signed in October 2022
- Administrative Directive 2023-10 signed in December 2023



IMPLEMENTATION PHASES

- 1) **Define** each RDE— done during Data Standardization Advisory Committee meetings
- 2) **Confirm** each RDE is in each case management system – done via yearly checklist process
- 3) **Direct** courts to utilize standard codes for each RDE – being developed
- 4) **Audit** each RDE in each case management system – being developed now
- 5) **Train** on each RDE in each case management system – being developed



CHECKLIST TIMETABLE

Started with 250 RDEs

- 20 confirmed on 2023 checklists
- 64 confirmed on 2024 checklists
- 30 to be confirmed on 2025 checklists
- 30 to be confirmed on 2026 checklists
- 106 remaining for future checklists
 - New RDEs or changes to checklists may occur as needed for new projects

The background features a dark blue scene with two computer monitors. The left monitor is in the foreground, and the right one is slightly behind it. Both screens display a blue background with white binary code (0s and 1s) floating across them. The overall aesthetic is digital and professional.

QUESTIONS? PLEASE CONTACT US -

Laura Ritenour, Court Services Division

lritenou@courts.az.gov

Mary Bellefeuille, Court Services Division

mbellefeuille@courts.az.gov

[Data Elements and Code Standardization \(azcourts.gov\)](http://azcourts.gov)

Phase Two - Appendix A
Required Data Elements to be accounted for by January 31, 2025

| Data Element | Civil | Family | Probate | Dependency | Juvenile | Criminal | Traffic |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Specialty Court Assignment | X | | | X | X | X | |
| Case Manner of Disposition | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Filing Type | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Race | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Ethnicity | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Gender | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Driver License Country | | | | | X | X | X |
| License Class Code | | | | | | | X |
| Answer/Response | X | X | X | | | | |
| Cross-Claim | X | | | | | | |
| Counterclaim | X | | | | | | |
| Interpreter Language | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| With Prejudice | X | | | | | X | X |
| Record transmitted to MVD | | | | | X | X | X |
| Bond Hearing Type | | | | | | X | X |
| Bond Type | | | | | | X | X |
| Bond Amount Ordered | | | | | | X | X |
| Bond Amount Posted | | | | | | X | X |
| Summons Issued | | | | | | X | X |
| Sentence Conditions | | | | | | X | X |
| Concurrent/Consecutive Sentence Flag | | | | | | X | X |
| Payment Plan Flag | | | | | X | X | X |
| Community Restitution Hours | | | | | X | X | X |
| Inventory Filed Date | | | X | | | | |
| Accounting Filed Date | | | X | | | | |
| Bond Ordered/Set Date | | | X | | | | |
| Bond Type | | | X | | | | |
| Bond Filed Date | | | X | | | | |
| Offense location | | | | | | | X |
| Court | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Court Case Identifier | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |

Phase Two - Appendix B
Required Data Elements to be accounted for by January 31, 2026

| Data Element | Civil | Family | Probate | Dependency | Juvenile | Criminal | Traffic |
|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Procedural Track | X | | | | | | |
| Relationship to Action - Civil | X | | | | | | |
| FBI Number | | | | | X | X | X |
| Endorsement Code | | | | | | | X |
| License Restriction Code | | | | | | | X |
| Pro Hac Vice | X | X | X | | | X | |
| 3rd-Party Claim | X | | | | | | |
| Answer to Cross Claim | X | | | | | | |
| Answer to Counter Claim | X | | | | | | |
| Answer to 3rd-Party Claim | X | | | | | | |
| Intervenor Claim | X | | | X | | | |
| Answer to Intervenor claim | X | | | | | | |
| Amended | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Amount in Controversy | X | | | | | | |
| Subsequent Probate Pleading Type | | | X | | | | |
| Motion/Filing Type (Future-grouping) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Pleading/Motion Outcome | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Agreed/Stipulated | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Competency Evaluation ordered | | X | X | X | | X | |
| Competency Evaluation party | | X | X | X | | X | |
| Competency Evaluation type | | X | X | X | | X | |
| Charge Dismissal Actor | | | | | X | X | X |
| Diversion Entry | | | | | X | X | X |
| Diversion Exit | | | | | X | X | X |
| Diversion Exit Type | | | | | X | X | X |
| Rule 11 Flag | | | | | | X | |
| Arrest Date | | | | | X | | X |
| Disposition NCIC Code | | | | | X | X | X |
| Case Disposition Category | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |

STEERING COMMITTEE ON DATA-BASED COURT PERFORMANCE AND DATA STANDARDS

| Date of Meeting: | Type of Action Required: | Subject: |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| March 6, 2024 | <input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other | Proposed Wendell Page for Time Standards |

PRESENTER(S):

Laura Ritenour, Caseflow Management Specialist, AOC Court Services, and Staff to Committee


DISCUSSION:

In order to raise awareness among judicial officers on the time standards and their reports, AOC staff recommends a Wendell page be created. Laura Ritenour will present ideas for resources for committee member feedback.

RECOMMENDED ACTION OR REQUEST (IF ANY):

For information only

Raising Time Standards Awareness with Judicial Officers



SB1197 - Juvenile Offenders; Monetary Sanctions; Repeal

2023 Legislation removes juvenile court-ordered fees except for victim restitution, monetary assessments/fines, surcharges (title 28 violations) associated with specific violation-based offenses. In addition, this legislation creates a petition process to vacate outstanding juvenile monetary obligations for past juvenile cases.

New Forms: New petition and order form to process vacating eligible unpaid juvenile monetary obligations or an unsatisfied civil judgment. Note: the form will provide a list of the eligible monetary obligations to vacate. Forms to be provided in future communications.

Train staff: Do not assess specific standalone monetary obligations after 10/30/2023 on juvenile cases.

Time Payment Fee: Effective 10/30/2023, courts should no longer impose the \$20.00 time payment fee (§12-116) on juvenile cases.

Modeled on SB1197 Wendell Page

Proposed Time Standards Wendell Page

- Display Time Standards by Jurisdiction (Superior, Juvenile and Justice/Municipal)
- Short videos on how to “read” time standards reports
 - Age of Active Pending Report
 - Time to Disposition Report
- Short video on history and importance time standards
- Information on how to graph time standards data over time to view trends
- A document judges can share with justice partners regarding time standards
 - Not yet developed - would like feedback on this idea
- What else??