

Hon. Judge Connors
 Appointment date: 6/1/2007
 Bench assignment: Probate

2024 Judicial Performance Review
 Attorney Survey Responses

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Legal Ability														
1. Legal reasoning ability	13	68%	5	26%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.63	19	2	100%
2. Knowledge of substantive law	18	86%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	21	0	100%
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	12	86%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.79	14	7	100%
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	15	75%	4	20%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	20	1	100%
Category Total	58	78%	13	18%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	74		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Integrity														
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	15	75%	5	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	20	1	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	16	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	17	4	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	18	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.95	19	2	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	15	6	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	16	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	17	4	100%
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	17	94%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.94	18	3	100%
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	18	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.95	19	2	100%
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	16	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	16	5	100%
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	17	89%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.89	19	2	100%
Category Total	148	93%	12	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.93	160		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Communication														
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	17	81%	4	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	21	0	100%
15. Clear and logical written decisions	14	82%	2	12%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	3.76	17	4	100%
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	17	81%	4	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	21	0	100%
Category Total	48	81%	10	17%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	59		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Temperament														
17. Understanding and compassion	19	95%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.95	20	1	100%
18. Dignified	18	86%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	21	0	100%
19. Courteous	19	90%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.90	21	0	100%
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	18	86%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	21	0	100%
21. Patient	17	85%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	20	1	100%
Category Total	91	88%	12	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.88	103		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
5. Admin Performance														
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	16	76%	5	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.76	21	0	100%
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	15	75%	5	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	20	1	100%
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	18	86%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	21	0	100%
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	18	86%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.86	21	0	100%
26. Efficient management of the calendar	15	71%	6	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	21	0	100%
Category Total	82	79%	22	21%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.79	104		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
6. Settlement Activities														
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	9	90%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	10	11	100%
Category Total	9	90%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	10		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Connors

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	12	80%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	1	7%	3.47	15	0	87%
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	11	85%	1	8%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	13	2	100%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	11	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	12	3	100%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	10	91%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.91	11	4	100%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	10	91%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.91	11	4	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	11	79%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	1	7%	3.43	14	1	86%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	11	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.92	12	3	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	10	91%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.91	11	4	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	11	79%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	1	7%	3.43	14	1	86%
Category Total	97	86%	9	8%	1	1%	3	3%	3	3%	3.72	113		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Communication														
10. Explained proceedings	11	73%	2	13%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	15	0	100%
11. Explained reasons for delays	8	67%	2	17%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	12	3	100%
Category Total	19	70%	4	15%	4	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.56	27		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Temperament														
12. Understanding and compassion	12	80%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	1	7%	3.47	15	0	87%
13. Dignified	12	80%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	3.53	15	0	93%
14. Courteous	12	80%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	3.53	15	0	93%
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	12	80%	1	7%	0	0%	2	13%	0	0%	3.53	15	0	87%
16. Patient	12	80%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	3.53	15	0	93%
Category Total	60	80%	5	7%	3	4%	3	4%	4	5%	3.52	75		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Admin Performance														
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	12	80%	1	7%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	15	0	100%
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	12	80%	1	7%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	3.60	15	0	93%
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	12	80%	1	7%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	15	0	100%
Category Total	36	80%	3	7%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0%	3.64	45		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Staff Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Connors

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section I: Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	1	11%	5	56%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2.78	9	0	100%
2. Equal Treatment regardless of race	1	11%	5	56%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2.78	9	0	100%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	1	11%	5	56%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2.78	9	0	100%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	1	13%	4	50%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	2.75	8	1	100%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	1	13%	4	50%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	2.75	8	1	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	2	22%	4	44%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2.89	9	0	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	2	22%	4	44%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2.89	9	0	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	1	13%	4	50%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	2.75	8	1	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	1	11%	5	56%	3	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2.78	9	0	100%
Category Total	11	14%	40	51%	27	35%	0	0%	0	0%	2.79	78		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section II: Communication Skills														
10. Clear and logical communications	1	11%	2	22%	5	56%	1	11%	0	0%	2.33	9	0	89%
Category Total	1	11%	2	22%	5	56%	1	11%	0	0%	2.33	9		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section III: Judicial Temperament														
11. Understanding and compassion	3	33%	2	22%	4	44%	0	0%	0	0%	2.89	9	0	100%
12. Dignified	1	11%	4	44%	4	44%	0	0%	0	0%	2.67	9	0	100%
13. Courteous	2	22%	2	22%	4	44%	1	11%	0	0%	2.56	9	0	89%
14. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the Court and commissioner's ability	2	22%	3	33%	4	44%	0	0%	0	0%	2.78	9	0	100%
15. Patient	1	11%	2	22%	5	56%	1	11%	0	0%	2.33	9	0	89%
Category Total	9	20%	13	29%	21	47%	2	4%	0	0%	2.64	45		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section IV: Administrative Performance														
16. Punctual in conducting proceedings	2	25%	4	50%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	8	1	100%
17. Maintained proper control in the courtroom	3	38%	2	25%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	8	1	100%
18. Was prepared for proceedings	3	38%	2	25%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	8	1	100%
19. Respectful treatment of staff	1	11%	2	22%	4	44%	2	22%	0	0%	2.22	9	0	78%
20. Cooperation with peers	1	14%	2	29%	3	43%	1	14%	0	0%	2.43	7	2	86%
21. Efficient management of the calendar	1	13%	2	25%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	2.50	8	1	100%
Category Total	11	23%	14	29%	20	42%	3	6%	0	0%	2.69	48		