

Hon. Judge Espino
 Appointment date: 2/26/2021
 Bench assignment: Juvenile

2024 Judicial Performance Review
 Attorney Survey Responses

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Legal Ability														
1. Legal reasoning ability	16	53%	9	30%	4	13%	1	3%	0	0%	3.33	30	0	97%
2. Knowledge of substantive law	17	59%	9	31%	2	7%	1	3%	0	0%	3.45	29	1	97%
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	16	55%	10	34%	3	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	29	1	100%
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	18	60%	9	30%	3	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	30	0	100%
Category Total	67	57%	37	31%	12	10%	2	2%	0	0%	3.43	118		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Integrity														
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	16	53%	6	20%	5	17%	3	10%	0	0%	3.17	30	0	90%
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	23	79%	4	14%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	29	1	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	20	71%	5	18%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	28	2	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	23	85%	3	11%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	27	3	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	23	79%	4	14%	1	3%	1	3%	0	0%	3.69	29	1	97%
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	22	85%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	26	4	100%
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	22	81%	4	15%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	27	3	100%
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	23	82%	4	14%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.79	28	2	100%
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	23	82%	3	11%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	28	2	100%
Category Total	195	77%	36	14%	17	7%	4	2%	0	0%	3.67	252		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Communication														
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	17	57%	6	20%	6	20%	1	3%	0	0%	3.30	30	0	97%
15. Clear and logical written decisions	17	63%	6	22%	3	11%	1	4%	0	0%	3.44	27	3	96%
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	19	63%	6	20%	3	10%	2	7%	0	0%	3.40	30	0	93%
Category Total	53	61%	18	21%	12	14%	4	5%	0	0%	3.38	87		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Temperament														
17. Understanding and compassion	18	62%	3	10%	7	24%	1	3%	0	0%	3.31	29	1	97%
18. Dignified	20	67%	6	20%	4	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.53	30	0	100%
19. Courteous	20	67%	6	20%	3	10%	1	3%	0	0%	3.50	30	0	97%
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	17	57%	7	23%	5	17%	1	3%	0	0%	3.33	30	0	97%
21. Patient	18	60%	9	30%	2	7%	1	3%	0	0%	3.47	30	0	97%
Category Total	93	62%	31	21%	21	14%	4	3%	0	0%	3.43	149		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
5. Admin Performance														
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	21	70%	8	27%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	30	0	100%
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	18	60%	10	33%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.53	30	0	100%
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	17	57%	12	40%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.53	30	0	100%
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	19	63%	11	37%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.63	30	0	100%
26. Efficient management of the calendar	17	61%	9	32%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.54	28	2	100%
Category Total	92	62%	50	34%	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.58	148		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
6. Settlement Activities														
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	12	63%	3	16%	4	21%	0	0%	0	0%	3.42	19	11	100%
Category Total	12	63%	3	16%	4	21%	0	0%	0	0%	3.42	19		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Espino

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	18	72%	4	16%	0	0%	2	8%	1	4%	3.44	25	0	88%
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	19	79%	2	8%	1	4%	1	4%	1	4%	3.54	24	1	92%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	21	84%	1	4%	1	4%	1	4%	1	4%	3.60	25	0	92%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	20	87%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.70	23	2	96%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	20	87%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.70	23	2	96%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	20	87%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.70	23	2	96%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	20	83%	2	8%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.67	24	1	96%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	19	86%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	1	5%	3.68	22	3	95%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	21	84%	1	4%	2	8%	0	0%	1	4%	3.64	25	0	96%
Category Total	178	83%	14	7%	9	4%	4	2%	9	4%	3.63	214		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Communication														
10. Explained proceedings	21	84%	1	4%	2	8%	0	0%	1	4%	3.64	25	0	96%
11. Explained reasons for delays	21	84%	1	4%	2	8%	0	0%	1	4%	3.64	25	0	96%
Category Total	42	84%	2	4%	4	8%	0	0%	2	4%	3.64	50		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Temperament														
12. Understanding and compassion	19	76%	3	12%	0	0%	2	8%	1	4%	3.48	25	0	88%
13. Dignified	20	83%	2	8%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.67	24	1	96%
14. Courteous	20	80%	2	8%	2	8%	0	0%	1	4%	3.60	25	0	96%
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	19	76%	3	12%	0	0%	1	4%	2	8%	3.44	25	0	88%
16. Patient	20	80%	3	12%	0	0%	1	4%	1	4%	3.60	25	0	92%
Category Total	98	79%	13	10%	3	2%	4	3%	6	5%	3.56	124		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Admin Performance														
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	21	84%	2	8%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	3.68	25	0	96%
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	21	84%	1	4%	1	4%	1	4%	1	4%	3.60	25	0	92%
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	21	84%	1	4%	2	8%	0	0%	1	4%	3.64	25	0	96%
Category Total	63	84%	4	5%	4	5%	1	1%	3	4%	3.64	75		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Staff Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Espino

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section I: Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
2. Equal Treatment regardless of race	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	0	100%
Category Total	45	83%	0	0%	9	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	54		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section II: Communication Skills														
10. Clear and logical communications	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	5	1	100%
Category Total	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.80	5		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section III: Judicial Temperament														
11. Understanding and compassion	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	6	0	100%
12. Dignified	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	6	0	100%
13. Courteous	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	6	0	100%
14. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the Court and commissioner's ability	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	6	0	100%
15. Patient	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	6	0	100%
Category Total	29	97%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.97	30		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section IV: Administrative Performance														
16. Punctual in conducting proceedings	3	75%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	4	2	100%
17. Maintained proper control in the courtroom	3	75%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	4	2	100%
18. Was prepared for proceedings	3	75%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	4	2	100%
19. Respectful treatment of staff	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	6	0	100%
20. Cooperation with peers	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	5	1	100%
21. Efficient management of the calendar	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	5	1	100%
Category Total	24	86%	0	0%	4	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	28		