

Hon. Judge Knauer
 Appointment date: 3/30/2022
 Bench assignment: Family Law

2024 Judicial Performance Review
 Attorney Survey Responses

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Legal Ability														
1. Legal reasoning ability	1	20%	3	60%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	5	0	100%
2. Knowledge of substantive law	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	5	0	100%
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	5	0	100%
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	1	20%	3	60%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	5	0	100%
Category Total	4	20%	14	70%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.10	20		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Integrity														
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	5	0	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	5	0	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	5	0	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	5	0	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	5	0	100%
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	5	0	100%
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	5	0	100%
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	4	1	100%
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.60	5	0	100%
Category Total	25	57%	19	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	44		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Communication														
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	5	0	100%
15. Clear and logical written decisions	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	5	0	100%
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	1	20%	3	60%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	5	0	100%
Category Total	5	33%	7	47%	3	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.13	15		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Temperament														
17. Understanding and compassion	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	3.00	5	0	100%
18. Dignified	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	5	0	100%
19. Courteous	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	5	0	100%
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	5	0	100%
21. Patient	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	5	0	100%
Category Total	13	52%	6	24%	6	24%	0	0%	0	0%	3.28	25		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
5. Admin Performance														
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	5	0	100%
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.20	5	0	100%
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	5	0	100%
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	5	0	100%
26. Efficient management of the calendar	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	5	0	100%
Category Total	9	36%	15	60%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.32	25		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
6. Settlement Activities														
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	3	60%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	3.20	5	0	80%
Category Total	3	60%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	3.20	5		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Knauer

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	14	64%	3	14%	0	0%	3	14%	2	9%	3.09	22	0	77%
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	14	67%	2	10%	1	5%	2	10%	2	10%	3.14	21	1	81%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	15	68%	1	5%	1	5%	3	14%	2	9%	3.09	22	0	77%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	12	71%	2	12%	2	12%	0	0%	1	6%	3.41	17	5	94%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	13	65%	2	10%	2	10%	1	5%	2	10%	3.15	20	2	85%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	12	67%	2	11%	2	11%	1	6%	1	6%	3.28	18	4	89%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	15	71%	2	10%	0	0%	3	14%	1	5%	3.29	21	1	81%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	13	65%	2	10%	1	5%	2	10%	2	10%	3.10	20	2	80%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	15	68%	1	5%	1	5%	2	9%	3	14%	3.05	22	0	77%
Category Total	123	67%	17	9%	10	5%	17	9%	16	9%	3.17	183		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Communication														
10. Explained proceedings	16	73%	1	5%	2	9%	1	5%	2	9%	3.27	22	0	86%
11. Explained reasons for delays	12	63%	2	11%	3	16%	1	5%	1	5%	3.21	19	3	89%
Category Total	28	68%	3	7%	5	12%	2	5%	3	7%	3.24	41		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Temperament														
12. Understanding and compassion	15	68%	0	0%	3	14%	1	5%	3	14%	3.05	22	0	82%
13. Dignified	15	68%	1	5%	2	9%	1	5%	3	14%	3.09	22	0	82%
14. Courteous	15	68%	3	14%	2	9%	1	5%	1	5%	3.36	22	0	91%
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	15	68%	1	5%	3	14%	0	0%	3	14%	3.14	22	0	86%
16. Patient	16	73%	2	9%	3	14%	0	0%	1	5%	3.45	22	0	95%
Category Total	76	69%	7	6%	13	12%	3	3%	11	10%	3.22	110		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Admin Performance														
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	17	77%	1	5%	2	9%	0	0%	2	9%	3.41	22	0	91%
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	16	73%	2	9%	1	5%	1	5%	2	9%	3.32	22	0	86%
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	16	73%	1	5%	2	9%	0	0%	3	14%	3.23	22	0	86%
Category Total	49	74%	4	6%	5	8%	1	2%	7	11%	3.32	66		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Staff Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Knauer

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section I: Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	6	67%	1	11%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	3.33	9	0	89%
2. Equal Treatment regardless of race	6	67%	1	11%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.44	9	0	100%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	7	78%	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.56	9	0	100%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	5	63%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	8	1	100%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	5	63%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	8	1	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	5	63%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	8	1	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	6	67%	1	11%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.44	9	0	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	5	63%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	8	1	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	7	78%	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.56	9	0	100%
Category Total	52	68%	7	9%	17	22%	1	1%	0	0%	3.43	77		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section II: Communication Skills														
10. Clear and logical communications	4	44%	2	22%	2	22%	0	0%	1	11%	2.89	9	0	89%
Category Total	4	44%	2	22%	2	22%	0	0%	1	11%	2.89	9		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section III: Judicial Temperament														
11. Understanding and compassion	4	44%	3	33%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	3.11	9	0	89%
12. Dignified	5	56%	2	22%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	3.22	9	0	89%
13. Courteous	6	67%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	1	11%	3.22	9	0	89%
14. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the Court and commissioner's ability	7	78%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	1	11%	3.33	9	0	89%
15. Patient	5	56%	2	22%	1	11%	0	0%	1	11%	3.11	9	0	89%
Category Total	27	60%	8	18%	5	11%	2	4%	3	7%	3.20	45		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section IV: Administrative Performance														
16. Punctual in conducting proceedings	7	78%	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.56	9	0	100%
17. Maintained proper control in the courtroom	6	67%	1	11%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	3.33	9	0	89%
18. Was prepared for proceedings	7	78%	0	0%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	3.44	9	0	89%
19. Respectful treatment of staff	6	67%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	1	11%	3.22	9	0	89%
20. Cooperation with peers	5	83%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	3	100%
21. Efficient management of the calendar	5	56%	2	22%	1	11%	0	0%	1	11%	3.11	9	0	89%
Category Total	36	71%	4	8%	7	14%	2	4%	2	4%	3.37	51		