

Hon. Judge Langford
 Appointment date: 1/14/2013
 Bench assignment: Juvenile

2024 Judicial Performance Review
 Attorney Survey Responses

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Legal Ability														
1. Legal reasoning ability	7	54%	5	38%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.46	13	3	100%
2. Knowledge of substantive law	7	54%	3	23%	3	23%	0	0%	0	0%	3.31	13	3	100%
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	7	64%	3	27%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.55	11	5	100%
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	6	46%	4	31%	3	23%	0	0%	0	0%	3.23	13	3	100%
Category Total	27	54%	15	30%	8	16%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	50		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Integrity														
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	8	62%	3	23%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.46	13	3	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	10	83%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	12	4	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	11	85%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	13	3	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	10	83%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	12	4	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	9	75%	2	17%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	12	4	100%
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	10	83%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	12	4	100%
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	11	85%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	13	3	100%
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	10	83%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.83	12	4	100%
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	11	85%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.85	13	3	100%
Category Total	90	80%	19	17%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	112		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Communication														
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	8	57%	4	29%	2	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.43	14	2	100%
15. Clear and logical written decisions	7	64%	2	18%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%	3.45	11	5	100%
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	10	71%	4	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	14	2	100%
Category Total	25	64%	10	26%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.54	39		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Temperament														
17. Understanding and compassion	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	15	1	100%
18. Dignified	11	73%	4	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	15	1	100%
19. Courteous	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	15	1	100%
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	12	80%	2	13%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	15	1	100%
21. Patient	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.87	15	1	100%
Category Total	62	83%	12	16%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	75		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
5. Admin Performance														
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	9	64%	3	21%	2	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	14	2	100%
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	8	57%	5	36%	0	0%	1	7%	0	0%	3.43	14	2	93%
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	8	73%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	11	5	100%
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	10	77%	2	15%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	13	3	100%
26. Efficient management of the calendar	8	62%	3	23%	2	15%	0	0%	0	0%	3.46	13	3	100%
Category Total	43	66%	16	25%	5	8%	1	2%	0	0%	3.55	65		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
6. Settlement Activities														
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6	10	100%
Category Total	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	6		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Langford

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
Category Total	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	9		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Communication														
10. Explained proceedings	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
11. Explained reasons for delays	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
Category Total	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	2		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Temperament														
12. Understanding and compassion	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
13. Dignified	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
14. Courteous	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
16. Patient	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
Category Total	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	5		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Admin Performance														
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	1	0	100%
Category Total	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	3		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Staff Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Langford

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section I: Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	6	60%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	10	0	100%
2. Equal Treatment regardless of race	6	60%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	10	0	100%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	6	60%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	10	0	100%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	5	56%	2	22%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.33	9	1	100%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	5	56%	2	22%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.33	9	1	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	4	57%	1	14%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	3.29	7	3	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	5	56%	2	22%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.33	9	1	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	5	63%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	3.38	8	2	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	6	60%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	10	0	100%
Category Total	48	59%	16	20%	18	22%	0	0%	0	0%	3.37	82		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section II: Communication Skills														
10. Clear and logical communications	2	20%	1	10%	2	20%	3	30%	2	20%	1.80	10	0	50%
Category Total	2	20%	1	10%	2	20%	3	30%	2	20%	1.80	10		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section III: Judicial Temperament														
11. Understanding and compassion	6	60%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	10	0	100%
12. Dignified	4	40%	3	30%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	3.10	10	0	100%
13. Courteous	6	60%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	10	0	100%
14. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the Court and commissioner's ability	4	40%	1	10%	2	20%	1	10%	2	20%	2.40	10	0	70%
15. Patient	6	60%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	3.40	10	0	100%
Category Total	26	52%	10	20%	11	22%	1	2%	2	4%	3.14	50		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section IV: Administrative Performance														
16. Punctual in conducting proceedings	2	22%	2	22%	4	44%	0	0%	1	11%	2.44	9	1	89%
17. Maintained proper control in the courtroom	3	33%	1	11%	3	33%	1	11%	1	11%	2.44	9	1	78%
18. Was prepared for proceedings	2	22%	3	33%	4	44%	0	0%	0	0%	2.78	9	1	100%
19. Respectful treatment of staff	6	60%	3	30%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	3.50	10	0	100%
20. Cooperation with peers	4	67%	0	0%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3.33	6	4	100%
21. Efficient management of the calendar	3	33%	1	11%	2	22%	1	11%	2	22%	2.22	9	1	67%
Category Total	20	38%	10	19%	16	31%	2	4%	4	8%	2.77	52		