

Hon. Judge Schriener
 Appointment date: 7/11/2022
 Bench assignment: Juvenile

2024 Judicial Performance Review
 Attorney Survey Responses

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Legal Ability														
1. Legal reasoning ability	15	65%	5	22%	3	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.52	23	0	100%
2. Knowledge of substantive law	14	61%	8	35%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	23	0	100%
3. Knowledge of rules of evidence	15	65%	7	30%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	23	0	100%
4. Knowledge of rules of procedure	15	65%	7	30%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	23	0	100%
Category Total	59	64%	27	29%	6	7%	0	0%	0	0%	3.58	92		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Integrity														
5. Basic fairness and impartiality	15	65%	6	26%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	3.52	23	0	96%
6. Equal treatment regardless of race	16	73%	5	23%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	3.64	22	1	95%
7. Equal treatment regardless of gender	16	73%	5	23%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	22	1	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of religion	15	71%	5	24%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	21	2	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	16	73%	5	23%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	3.64	22	1	95%
10. Equal treatment regardless of disability	16	73%	5	23%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	3.64	22	1	95%
11. Equal treatment regardless of age	16	73%	5	23%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	22	1	100%
12. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	16	73%	5	23%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	22	1	100%
13. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	16	73%	5	23%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	3.59	22	1	95%
Category Total	142	72%	46	23%	5	3%	4	2%	1	1%	3.64	198		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Communication														
14. Clear and logical oral communications and directions	18	78%	3	13%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	23	0	100%
15. Clear and logical written decisions	14	74%	3	16%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	3.63	19	4	100%
16. Gave all parties an adequate opportunity to be heard	15	65%	5	22%	2	9%	0	0%	1	4%	3.43	23	0	96%
Category Total	47	72%	11	17%	6	9%	0	0%	1	2%	3.58	65		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Temperament														
17. Understanding and compassion	15	65%	4	17%	2	9%	2	9%	0	0%	3.39	23	0	91%
18. Dignified	16	70%	5	22%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3.61	23	0	100%
19. Courteous	16	70%	3	13%	4	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.52	23	0	100%
20. Conduct that promoted public confidence in the court and judge's ability	16	70%	3	13%	3	13%	1	4%	0	0%	3.48	23	0	96%
21. Patient	17	74%	2	9%	4	17%	0	0%	0	0%	3.57	23	0	100%
Category Total	80	70%	17	15%	15	13%	3	3%	0	0%	3.51	115		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
5. Admin Performance														
22. Punctual in conducting proceedings	18	78%	5	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	23	0	100%
23. Maintained proper control over courtroom	18	78%	4	17%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	23	0	100%
24. Prompt in making rulings and rendering decisions	17	77%	4	18%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	22	1	100%
25. Was prepared for the proceedings	17	74%	5	22%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	23	0	100%
26. Efficient management of the calendar	16	70%	5	22%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	3.57	23	0	96%
Category Total	86	75%	23	20%	4	4%	1	1%	0	0%	3.70	114		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
6. Settlement Activities														
27. Appropriately promoted or conducted settlement	12	80%	1	7%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	15	8	100%
Category Total	12	80%	1	7%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	3.67	15		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Litigant Witness Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Schriener

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
1. Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	16	62%	8	31%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	3.54	26	0	100%
2. Equal treatment regardless of race	16	67%	7	29%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.63	24	2	100%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	19	73%	6	23%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	26	0	100%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	16	73%	5	23%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	22	4	100%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	17	74%	5	22%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.70	23	3	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	19	76%	5	20%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	25	1	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	19	73%	6	23%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	26	0	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	17	77%	4	18%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.73	22	4	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	19	76%	5	20%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.72	25	1	100%
Category Total	158	72%	51	23%	10	5%	0	0%	0	0%	3.68	219		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
2. Communication														
10. Explained proceedings	21	81%	4	15%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	26	0	100%
11. Explained reasons for delays	15	71%	6	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.71	21	5	100%
Category Total	36	77%	10	21%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	47		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
3. Temperament														
12. Understanding and compassion	19	73%	6	23%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	26	0	100%
13. Dignified	21	81%	4	15%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	26	0	100%
14. Courteous	21	81%	5	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	26	0	100%
15. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the court	22	85%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	26	0	100%
16. Patient	21	81%	5	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.81	26	0	100%
Category Total	104	80%	23	18%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.78	130		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
4. Admin Performance														
17. Punctual in conducting proceedings	21	81%	4	15%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	26	0	100%
18. Maintained proper control of courtroom	21	81%	4	15%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.77	26	0	100%
19. Was prepared for the proceedings	19	73%	6	23%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.69	26	0	100%
Category Total	61	78%	14	18%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	3.74	78		

2024 Judicial Performance Review

Staff Survey Responses

Hon. Judge Schriener

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section I: Integrity														
1. Basic fairness and impartiality	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
2. Equal Treatment regardless of race	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
3. Equal treatment regardless of gender	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
4. Equal treatment regardless of religion	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
5. Equal treatment regardless of national origin	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
6. Equal treatment regardless of disability	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
7. Equal treatment regardless of age	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
8. Equal treatment regardless of sexual orientation	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
9. Equal treatment regardless of economic status	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
Category Total	36	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	36		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section II: Communication Skills														
10. Clear and logical communications	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	3.25	4	0	75%
Category Total	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	3.25	4		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section III: Judicial Temperament														
11. Understanding and compassion	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
12. Dignified	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
13. Courteous	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
14. Conduct that promotes public confidence in the Court and commissioner's ability	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
15. Patient	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
Category Total	20	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	20		

	4 Superior		3 Very Good		2 Satisfactory		1 Poor		0 Unacceptable		Mean	Total	6 No Resp	Combined Superior/Very Good/Satisfactory
	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.	Num.	Pct.				
Section IV: Administrative Performance														
16. Punctual in conducting proceedings	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
17. Maintained proper control in the courtroom	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
18. Was prepared for proceedings	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
19. Respectful treatment of staff	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
20. Cooperation with peers	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.00	4	0	100%
21. Efficient management of the calendar	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.75	4	0	100%
Category Total	23	96%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3.96	24		