

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of the Application ) Arizona Supreme Court  
for Reinstatement of a Suspended ) No. SB-19-0019-R  
Member of the State Bar of )  
Arizona ) Office of the Presiding  
) Disciplinary Judge  
DANIEL INSERRA ) No. PDJ20189089-R  
Bar No. 17284 )  
) **FILED 07/08/2019**  
)  
Applicant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**O R D E R**

Upon review and consideration of DANIEL INSERRA's Application for Reinstatement, the Report and Recommendation filed by the Hearing Panel, and the record transmitted to the Court, the Court concludes that Applicant has failed to establish by clear and convincing evidence that he is qualified for reinstatement to the active practice of law.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** pursuant to Rule 65(b)(4), that the application is dismissed without prejudice.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, after the expiration of one year from the date of this order and after completing a MAP assessment at his expense, Applicant may move to reopen his application for reinstatement. At that time, Applicant may offer any additional evidence to meet his burden to show by clear and convincing evidence that he is rehabilitated, and in particular that he has identified the weakness(es) that formed a causal nexus to his misconduct, and that he poses no further threat to members of the public. *In re Arrotta*, 208 Ariz. 509, 512 ¶12 (2004).

DATED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2019.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
ROBERT M. BRUTINEL  
Chief Justice

Arizona Supreme Court No. SB-19-0019-R  
Page 2 of 2

TO:

Daniel Inserra

Shauna R Miller

Catherine Montemayor

Sandra Montoya

Maret Vessella

Don Lewis

Beth Stephenson

Mary Pieper

Raziel Atienza

Lexis Nexis