

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of a Member of the) Arizona Supreme Court
State Bar of Arizona,) No. SB-11-0091-D
)
CHAD JAMES WHITE,) Office of the Presiding
Attorney No. 28494) Disciplinary Judge
) No. 2011-9073
Respondent.)
)
_____) **ORDER OF INTERIM SUSPENSION**

FILED 01/11/2012

The State Bar filed a Motion for Interim Suspension with the presiding disciplinary judge pursuant to Rule 61(c)(2), Rules of the Supreme Court. Respondent Chad James White filed a response. On November 14, 2011, the presiding disciplinary judge issued an order imposing temporary suspension and recommending that this Court issue an order of interim suspension. The order was filed in this Court pursuant to Rule 61(c)(2)(D).

On December 1, 2011, this Court issued an order continuing its consideration of the State Bar's motion for interim suspension and remanding the matter to the presiding disciplinary judge for an evidentiary hearing "to determine whether the imposition of additional conditions of supervision would be sufficient to protect the public and, therefore, obviate the need for an interim suspension." Following a hearing on December 21, 2011, the presiding disciplinary judge transmitted his findings in a Report and Recommendation.

Upon review, the Court finds that the motion for interim suspension should be granted. The State Bar has presented substantial facts to support the allegations that Respondent appears to be engaging in misconduct, the continuation of which will result

in substantial harm, loss or damage to the public, the legal profession, or the administration of justice. Rule 61(a).

IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Rule 61(c), Rules of the Supreme Court, that Chad James White is hereby suspended from the practice of law, effective this date.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the suspension shall continue in effect until final disposition of all pending proceedings against Chad James White, unless earlier vacated or modified. Respondent may seek to vacate or modify the order of interim suspension by demonstrating to the Presiding Disciplinary Judge that he is in substantial compliance with the monitoring terms and conditions of his admission. Proof of such substantial compliance must include an affidavit from the Director of the Member Assistance Program, or his designee, attesting that Respondent is in substantial compliance with the terms of his conditions of admission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, from this date, Chad James White shall not accept for representation any new cases; nor shall he agree to represent any existing client after the effective date of this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Chad James White is precluded from distributing funds from any trust account to anyone except with the written approval of bar counsel or of this Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 72(a), Rules of the Supreme Court, Chad James White shall notify all his clients within ten days from the date hereof of his inability to continue to

represent them and that they should promptly retain new counsel, and shall promptly inform this Court of his compliance with this Order as provided by Rule 72(e), Rules of the Supreme Court of Arizona.

DATED this _____ day of January, 2012.

REBECCA WHITE BERCH
Chief Justice

TO:
Chad James White
Shauna R Miller
Laura Hopkins
Sandra Montoya
Don Lewis
Beth Stephenson
Mary Pieper
Lexis Nexis