

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of:) Arizona Supreme Court
) No. JC-11-0001
HONORABLE THEODORE ABRAMS)
Tucson Municipal Court) Commission on
Pima County, State of) Judicial Conduct
Arizona) No. 10-286
)
Respondent.) **O R D E R**
) **FILED 04/20/2011**

Upon consideration of the "Brief on Behalf of the Commission on Judicial Conduct," Respondent Abrams' response to the brief, the Commission's reply to his response, and the State Bar's "Motion to Intervene Pursuant to Rule 24, Ariz.R.Civ.P.,"

IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 29, Rules of the Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Court grants review of the Commission's recommendation approving the stipulated resolution between the Respondent and the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 46(d), Rules of the Supreme Court, the parties and the State Bar may file simultaneous briefs on whether lawyer discipline should be imposed and, if so, the appropriate sanction based on the record in the judicial discipline proceedings. The briefs may not exceed twenty pages in length and must be filed within twenty days of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting the Motion for Leave to File
a Reply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying the Motion to Intervene.

DATED this _____ day of April, 2011.

REBECCA WHITE BERCH
Chief Justice

TO:
Mark I. Harrison
Jennifer M. Perkins
E. Keith Stott
Lexis Nexis
Jode Ottman
Maret Vessella, Bar Counsel, State Bar of Arizona