

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

In the Matter of a Suspended ) Arizona Supreme Court  
Member of the State Bar of ) No. SB-09-0075-D  
Arizona, )  
)  
PAUL M. WEICH, ) Disciplinary Commission  
Attorney No. 14089 ) Nos. 08-0073, 08-1264  
)  
Respondent. ) **FILED 12/07/2009**  
\_\_\_\_\_ )  
**O R D E R (AMENDED)**

The Court has received the Respondent's "Petition for Review," with the attached affidavit of Dr. Jack Potts, and the "State Bar's Response to Petition for Review." Upon consideration,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the Petition for Review is granted.

The hearing officer concluded that disbarment was the presumptive sanction for Respondent's conduct based on the application of ABA *Standards* 4.11 and 7.1. But because the record contains no evidence that Respondent intended to obtain a benefit in this case and the Hearing Officer did not find that Respondent's failure to remit funds promptly to his client resulted from a dishonest or selfish motive, the Court determines that the presumptive starting point for determining discipline for Respondent's conduct, under ABA *Standards* 4.12 and 7.2, is suspension.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** remanding the case to the hearing officer to recommend the appropriate discipline.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 2009.

---

REBECCA WHITE BERCH  
Chief Justice

TO:  
Paul M. Weich, Law Offices of Paul Weich  
Nancy A. Greenlee  
Roberta L. Tepper  
Thomas M. Quigley  
Leticia V. D'Amore  
Sandra Montoya  
Don Lewis  
Beth Stephenson  
Jode Ottman  
Lexis Nexis