

# ARIZONA SUPREME COURT

Administrative Office of the Courts  
1501 West Washington, Suite 105  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## Request for Proposals RFP 12-08 Judicial Decision Support System

### Addendum 1

January 16, 2013

The following revisions shall be incorporated into this solicitation:

SECTION 2: INSTRUCTIONS AND PROCEDURES, #9.C., page 8, shall now read:

**C. The vendor must submit one (1) original, one (1) digital copy, and six (6) paper copies of each proposal.**

SECTION 3: SPECIFICATIONS – REQUIREMENTS, Sub-section 3.4: General Structure / Geography of Courts, page 14, items 2 and 4 of 1 – 4, shall now read:

**2. The Superior Court in Pima County uses a custom CMS called AGAVE which uses SQL Server 2008 R2 for the CMS DB and a custom EDMS. EDMS is an in-house solution with images stored in a directory file system with doc/image pointers stored in the CMS.**

**4. The Division 2 Appellate Court uses a custom CMS called ODS+ and the SIRE EDMS. The EDMS is managed using in-house applications. There is no need for users to use the SIRE application although they do have it. Therefore no plans to upgrade to the OnBase product since Highland bought SIRE.**

SECTION 3: SPECIFICATIONS– REQUIREMENTS, Sub-section 3.9: Central Document Repository, paragraph titled “Courts Not Participating in Central Document Repository”, page 21, shall now read:

**Several courts have already implemented standalone document repositories:**

- **The Clerk of the Superior Court in Maricopa County (OnBase)**
- **The Clerk of the Superior Court in Pima County (Custom Built)**
- **Maricopa County Consolidated Justice Courts (OnBase Online)**
- **Court of Appeals, Division Two (SIRE)**
- **Phoenix Municipal Court (OnBase)**
- **Scottsdale Municipal Court (OpenText eDocs DM Document Management 5.3.1 patch 2) -**

SECTION 3: SPECIFICATIONS- SCOPE OF WORK, Sub-section 3.10: Functional Requirements: Item 1.59, page 29, shall now read:

**1.59 User expects notes for a party will be viewable on all cases for the party.**

SECTION 3: SPECIFICATIONS- SCOPE OF WORK, Sub-section 3.10: Functional Requirements: Item 4.2, page 34, shall now read:

**4.2 System shall be able to post actions back to the CMS. This requirement assumes APIs are available from the CMS vendor.**

SECTION 3: SPECIFICATIONS- SCOPE OF WORK, Sub-section 3.10: Functional Requirements: Item 4.9, page 35, shall now read:

**4.9 System shall allow authorized user to view system over the web via a secure connection (e.g. judge access to appropriate case file from a home office)**

SECTION 3: SPECIFICATIONS- SCOPE OF WORK, Sub-section 3.10: Functional Requirements: Items 5.3 and 5.4, page 35 **intentionally left blank.**

SECTION 8: APPENDICES, Appendix A, Proposal Price Sheet, #3, page 63, shall now read:

**3. Hardware/Software: Provide a detailed description and specifications requirements, of all hardware, software and network components required for the courts to operate the proposed solution. Pricing information shall include ALL components of the proposal with the exception of physical Computing Systems,**

**Computing Operating Systems, Database Engines, and physical Network layer.**  
**The Court reserves the right to purchase hardware from current state contracts.**

There are no further changes at this time to the solicitation.