

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA,)	Supreme Court No. SB-10-0099-D
)	
)	Disciplinary Commission No. 09-1665
RICHARD L. KEEFE,)	
Bar No. 001207)	FILED 10/15/2010
)	
RESPONDENT.)	JUDGMENT AND ORDER

This matter having come before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona, it having duly rendered its decision, and there having been no discretionary or *sua sponte* review occurring,

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that **RICHARD L. KEEFE**, a member of the State Bar of Arizona, is hereby censured for conduct in violation of his duties and obligations as a lawyer, as disclosed in the Disciplinary Commission Report.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **RICHARD L. KEEFE** shall be placed on probation for a period of two (2) years. The terms of probation are as follows:

1. Respondent shall be placed on probation for a period of two years, under terms and conditions to be developed by LOMAP and bar counsel after a full review of Respondent’s trust account records and procedures. Failure to sign the Terms and Conditions of Probation developed by the State Bar will result in the matter being referred to the imposing entity for referral to a hearing officer.
2. The probation will begin when the final judgment and order is entered and will terminate two years from that date.
3. Respondent will meet with the MAP Director, Hal Nevitt, to determine whether a physical or mental exam is necessary to determine fitness to practice.
4. In the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the foregoing probation terms, and information thereof is received by the State Bar of Arizona, Bar Counsel shall file a Notice of Non-Compliance with the imposing entity, pursuant to Rule 60(a)(5), Ariz.R.Sup.Ct. The imposing entity may refer the matter to a hearing officer to conduct a hearing at the earliest practical date, but in no event later than 30 days after receipt of notice, to determine whether a term of probation has been breached and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with any of the foregoing terms, the State Bar of Arizona bears the burden of proof to prove noncompliance by a preponderance of the evidence.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 60(b), the State Bar of Arizona is granted judgment against for costs and expenses of these proceedings in the amount of \$2,060.00, together with interest at the legal rate from the date of this judgment.

DATED this _____ day of October, 2010.

Suzanne D. Bunnin
Chief Deputy Clerk

TO:

Richard L. Keefe, Respondent

Tom Slutes, Respondent's Counsel

Shauna R. Miller, Senior Bar Counsel

Hon. Jonathan H. Schwartz, Hearing Officer 6S

Nancy Swetnam, Acting Disciplinary Clerk

Sandra Montoya, Lawyer Regulation Records Manager, State Bar of Arizona

Molly Dwyer, Clerk, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Attn: Don Lewis

Richard Weare, Clerk, United States District Court, District of Arizona

Attn: Beth Stephenson

West Publishing Company (Jode Ottman)

Lexis/Nexis

chj