


Judicial Project Investment Justification

*A Statewide Standard Document for Information Technology Projects
for the Arizona Judicial Branch*

Project Title: ***Mesa Municipal Court Case
Management System Replacement
Project***



Version 2.0

January 1, 2011

Prepared by:

<i>Name</i>	<i>Paul Thomas</i>
<i>Court</i>	<i>Mesa Municipal Court</i>
<i>Date</i>	<i>September 23, 2014</i>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION..... 3
 A. DOCUMENT INFORMATION 3
 B. PROCEDURE..... 4
SECTION I. BUSINESS AND TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT 4
 A. MANAGEMENT SUMMARY 4
 B. EXISTING SITUATION AND PROBLEM, “AS IS” 5
 C. PROPOSED CHANGES AND OBJECTIVES, “TO BE” 5
 D. QUANTIFIED JUSTIFICATION 6
SECTION II. PROJECT APPROACH..... 7
 A. PROPOSED TECHNOLOGY 7
 B. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED..... 7
 C. MAJOR DELIVERABLES AND OUTCOMES 7
 D. PROJECT DEPENDENCIES 8
 E. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE..... 8
SECTION III. POLICIES, STANDARDS, & PROCEDURES 9
 A. ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE 9
 B. DISASTER RECOVERY PLAN/BUSINESS CONTINUITY PLAN..... 9
 C. PROJECT OPERATIONS 9
 D. JUDICIAL STRATEGIC PLAN OBJECTIVES 9
SECTION IV. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES 10
SECTION V. PUBLIC VALUE AND BENEFITS..... 11
 A. VALUE TO THE PUBLIC 11
 B. BENEFITS TO THE STATE AND LOCAL JUDICIARY..... 11
SECTION VI. PROJECT FINANCIALS 14
 A. DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATIONAL PROJECT FUNDING DETAILS 15
 B. FUNDING SOURCE..... 15
 C. FULL TIME EMPLOYEE (FTE) PROJECT HOURS 16
SECTION VII. RISK ASSESSMENT 16
SECTION VIII. PROJECT APPROVALS..... 18
 MANAGEMENT REVIEW CHECKLIST..... 18
 PROJECT VALUES 18
 FORMAL PROJECT APPROVALS..... 19
APPENDICES 19
 A. ITEMIZED LIST WITH COSTS..... 19
 B. CONNECTIVITY DIAGRAM..... 19
 C. PROJECT SCHEDULE -- GANTT CHART, PROJECT MANAGEMENT TIMELINE..... 19
GLOSSARY 20

Introduction

An Information Technology (IT) project is defined as a specific series of activities involving the implementation of new or enhanced IT systems. This document is used for two purposes:

1. A Judicial Project Investment Justification (JPIJ) document is completed for all projects of \$250,000 or more in development costs, regardless of funding source.
2. It is also used as part of the documentation to request an exception to standards as defined by the Arizona Code of Judicial Administration §§ 1-501 and 1-505.

Project information includes operating costs to enable life cycle analysis. Life cycle analysis is an evaluation of costs and benefits over a prescribed period not greater than 5 years.

A. Document Information

Information is included in each section to assist in preparing the JPIJ document. The JPIJ format presented here [adapted from the State-standard Project Investment Justification (PIJ) document maintained by the Government Information Technology Agency (GITA)] is the Arizona Judicial Branch standard for project and/or standard exceptions justification and must include all required sections in the order specified in the Table of Contents. Information about the GITA PIJ including the PIJ Policy, Standard and Procedure can be found at the GITA web site at <http://www.azgita.gov/nav/pij.htm>. Although not required under statute, the Arizona Judicial Branch is using this modified version of the standard state document to capture information for court projects.

Section I. **Business and Technology Assessment** provides a project overview, describes the existing situation and problem, defines the proposed changes and objectives, and outlines the quantitative business case for the proposed technology solution.

Section II. **Project Approach** defines the proposed technology, illustrates viable alternatives, lists major deliverables, other projects on which it depends, other projects that are depending on it, and provides the anticipated development timeline for the project.

Section III. **Policies, Standards & Procedures** includes enterprise architecture compliance, conformance with Judicial Branch goals, and other key technical considerations for the project.

Section IV. **Roles and Responsibilities** documents the titles and responsibilities of key personnel involved in the project.

Section V. **Public Value and Benefits** documents improved management or performance that brings new value to court users, stakeholders, and citizens. This section identifies quantitative and qualitative benefits that may be gained by completing this project.

Section VI. **Project Financials** identifies the development and operating costs, summary of costs, and funding source(s) for the project.

Section VII. **Risk Assessment** measures the impact of the project on the court in key categories. Each category is described and contains conditions pertaining to risks that correspond to point values.

Section VIII. **Project Approvals** provides a summary of various project values, a management review checklist and an area for the court management to approve the project by signature, establishing accountability. The Presiding Judge will review and sign all JPIJ documents.

The **Appendices section** provides attachments to the JPIJ document. An itemized list of costs is required to substantiate the Financial Assessment. A connectivity diagram and a Gantt chart indicating major project milestones are also required.

B. Procedure

The COT staff review cycle is not more than thirty (30) working days from the date received to the date the court is notified of the recommendation being made. During the review staff may be in contact with you to request additional information. Please include your email address and FAX number to facilitate communications. Review by the Commission on Technology will occur at its regularly scheduled meetings.

The Commission on Technology will issue a response letter to the submitter in the submitting court indicating the results of the review and a recommendation. **Approval of a project does not approve funding or procurement of technology projects.** It is the responsibility of the court to secure additional approvals that may be required by local or other funding bodies.

Section I. Business and Technology Assessment

<i>Court Name and Address</i>	<i>Contact Name, Phone, FAX, email</i>
Mesa Municipal Court 250 E 1 st Avenue Mesa AZ 85210	Paul Thomas Court Administrator 480-644-3030 p 480-644-2923 fax Paul.Thomas@MesaAZ.gov

<i>Project Investment Name</i>	<i>Date</i>
Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System Replacement Project	September 23, 2014

This section briefly describes the business issues, technology to be implemented and general business case for the project.

A. Management Summary

Provide a concise management-level summary of key information described in more detail in the body of the JPIJ, including the objectives of the project in terms of what problem is expected to be addressed, the specific solution being proposed to accomplish those objectives, and , to the extent it exists, a quantified justification explaining why/how the solution is needed to deliver the expected business objectives. This section should be completed last, once the remaining sections of the JPIJ have been filled in.

Mesa Municipal Court (MMC) is currently using a combination of applications to manage case information, the primary application is being hosted on a mainframe that is out of the vendor-supported maintenance period.

Mesa worked with the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) with the intent of implementing the AJACS solution; however, the dissolution of the AJACS vendor’s development and support of the product, and the risk that the AJACS solution will not be ready for production use by July 1, 2015 (Mesa’s deadline for mainframe support) is too high for Mesa to consider AJACS as a replacement at this time.

Mesa would like to implement Themis, the solution developed and in production at the City of Tempe. The system provides all the immediate requirements and can be implemented within the requisite timeframe. Mesa Court and Mesa ITD have an excellent working relationship and would continue automating Court business processes due to having control over the application code and configuration.

Finally, cost savings would be significant, as all development costs would be in-house and therefore covered in the general budget.

Automation of Court processes with Themis will deliver the two key criteria for a successful case management system implementation at Mesa: providing the same or more automation than Mesa's current system provides and implementing within the timeframe required based on the mainframe retirement.

B. Existing Situation and Problem, "As Is"

Explain the current business and technology processes and issues being addressed, and their weaknesses. Provide specific information about current staffing and procedures that negatively affect the processes. Identify specific hardware, software, and network inadequacies. If requesting an exception to standards, also specify the advantages of the new standard in comparison to the inadequacies of the current standard.

Mesa Municipal Court (MMC) is currently using a combination of applications to manage case information. These include ACIST, an in-house developed application run on a mainframe. This solution was initially implemented in September 1992 and has been continually enhanced over the last 22 years.

The mainframe platform on which this solution is based has been out of support by IBM for over 2 years. IBM has allowed the City to purchase support at a premium cost of \$800,000 per year. The mainframe is now beginning to experience issues that could severely limit the Court's ability to manage and process cases. With the system being outdated, it is unlikely that the mainframe's operating system and/or the ACIST application could be completely recovered in the event of a systemic disaster regardless of whether IBM support were involved or not. This makes it imperative that the Court find and implement a replacement system as quickly as possible.

Mesa has worked with the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) since May 2011 with the intent of implementing the AJACS solution, which has been designated as the replacement for the current state standard, AZTEC. With the dissolution of the AJACS vendor's development and support of the product, the AOC will be completing development of and will take on support of the AJACS solution. However, the risk that the AJACS solution will not be ready for production use by July 1, 2015 (Mesa's deadline for mainframe support) is too high for Mesa to consider as a replacement at this time.

C. Proposed Changes and Objectives, "To Be"

Explain the new technology processes to be implemented with respect to customer service, productivity, quality, performance, and technology. Describe how the new system will address current problems and how it will impact the organization's policies, procedures, standards, staffing, costs, and funding. Also, describe the functional elements of the new system and how court personnel will use them.

If a new system is required to meet certain standards, provide detailed information or attach copies of the documents. Describe the impact of the new system on help desk functions, operations, disk storage, computer processing, network, testing environment, other projects, and other customer services.

Themis is a case management system that has been in production use in Tempe for five years. It has been determined that Themis, factoring in interfaces, requires little additional development in order to be used in production in the Mesa Court on or before the July 1, 2015 deadline.

As Themis was originally a joint effort between the AOC and Tempe and was initially intended to be the state standard, it adheres to all current technical standards set by the AOC. Because Mesa and Tempe are both municipal courts there will be only slight changes necessary to policies and procedures in order for Mesa staff to use Themis.

The Themis code and database have been provided to Mesa by Tempe at no cost through a Memorandum of Understanding. The only direct cost for implementing the solution is the purchase of a development tool, Visible Developer, used in the original Themis development, at the cost of approximately \$7,000.

The City of Mesa has multiple layers of redundancy in place in preparation for implementing THEMIS into production. At a networking level, the City of Mesa has two 200MB ISP connections providing access to the internet. These connections are from two separate providers, at two different locations so as not to have both connections terminated in the same building. Both ISP connections are GB capable, meaning that if bandwidth utilization increases drastically the connection to the Internet can increase up to 1GB. These two connections are load balanced via BGP and will failover in the case of an outage automatically.

The backend database for THEMIS will reside in Mesa's SQL enterprise cluster, which is redundant at the application level. This cluster sits on top of a SAN solution that has an active/active configuration, where the data is replicated real-time to another physical set of disks. In addition to the standard disk to disk to tape backup configuration there is also another physical SQL server with local storage that is used as a disaster recovery (DR) SQL server which THEMIS would participate on.

The current EDMS solution, FileNet, will be integrated with Themis. An identical integration has been in place for several years with the current system and currently serves the Court's paperless environment. This environment, like the SQL environment, is fully redundant as well, operating in a clustered environment, taking advantage of the same redundant SAN disks for storage of FileNet data.

The City of Mesa employs multiple monitoring applications. For networking the primary tool is Spectrum; for database, Zabbix is leveraged. This monitoring would continue with the THEMIS application. Notification is sent via email, text alerts and automated phone messages through an application called Attention. In addition, there is a hosted solution called SendWordNow in case the internal notification is not available. Protocols are in place to monitor applications and contact staff 24x7 as needed.

D. Quantified Justification

Describe, to the extent they exist, the quantitative benefits that may be gained by completing the project, along with the increased value being brought to the court, stakeholders, and court users.

The benefits to the Court are significant both financially and operationally. The financial benefit comes from re-establishing through Themis, an excellent replacement to ACIST, the court's in-house legacy system. The full capability of ACIST, which was enhanced over a 22 year period, can be duplicated in Themis. The Themis code structure is one that can be fully supported and continuously modified by the Mesa IT Dept. This produces cost savings through in-house development, will support the court's aggressive automation efforts, and can be readily adapted to serve stakeholders and court users, such as attorney needs, prosecutor interfaces, and ongoing expansion of public access.

The single highest quantifiable justification for implementing Themis in Mesa is the savings of the \$800,000 licensing/support cost of the mainframe currently in use. Mesa has paid this premium for the past two years and cannot in good conscience pay it for another year. Additionally, and more importantly, if a major issue were to arise on the mainframe, the vendor most likely could not resolve it and the Court could lose valuable data and the ability to process cases.

Ongoing support and development costs will be minimalized as a result of Mesa's internal support capability. The long history and experience between Mesa ITD and the Court has proven to be financially efficient in support of the Court's automation demands. Alternatively, the costs associated with vendor maintenance and development of, or costs associated with the AOC's need to contract for, specialized development required by the Mesa Court would be a significant financial burden.

The other measurable justification would be in terms of timeline rather than financial. Mesa's research has determined that Themis is the only solution that can both meet the Court's functional requirements and be implemented within the necessary timeframe. The internal development of ongoing enhancements would be realized more quickly as well. Mesa ITD's technical assistance through the final development and testing of

Themis will provide Mesa with the in depth knowledge of the code and database structure to easily and quickly develop future enhancements.

Section II. Project Approach

A. Proposed Technology

Describe hardware, software, and communications. Describe the strengths and weaknesses of the proposed solution. Describe software modules to be developed and any maintenance required. Describe the processing impact on the current environment and any enhancement or improvements that may be necessary in the future. Include any terms or conditions required by the vendor for the new technology. Describe any converting or migrating of information and the overall method, timing and costs.

The hardware and software Mesa initially purchased for the AJACS project can easily be repurposed for the necessary Themis environments. This includes servers, storage, and SQL and MQ licensing. In fact, Themis requires fewer resources than AJACS would have, allowing additional testing and development environments to be utilized and parallel work to be conducted without interference between areas.

The initial phase of implementation will require only minor modifications (mainly to interfaces) and the conversion of data from the current system to Themis. Much of the pre-conversion work such as data cleanup and determination of criteria for conversion was completed during Mesa's involvement in the AJACS project and remains applicable to this conversion effort.

B. Other Alternatives Considered

Describe other solutions that were evaluated and explain why they were rejected. Include their strengths and weaknesses. "Do nothing" is an alternative. Evaluating all other viable alternatives is evidence of objectivity and proof the best alternative was selected. If no other alternative besides "Do Nothing" is cited, an explanation may be required.

"Do nothing" is not an alternative considered by Mesa due to the high risk attached to the current mainframe platform. Mesa evaluated three possible solutions: AJACS, Themis and two COTS (Commercial off the Shelf) solutions. The solutions were reviewed based on criteria in the following areas:

- Functional Requirements;
- Infrastructure;
- Post-Implementation Support;
- Estimated Go Live;
- Estimated Cost; and
- Risk.

The COTS solutions fell below in meeting functional and technical requirements and support hours required. They would also require a substantial financial investment in licensing, services and ongoing support. AJACS would meet the functional and technical requirements but not the deadline of July 1, 2015; the support structure for self-hosted AJACS courts is unknown at this time and could not be evaluated.

Reference: Attachment A "Court Management System Software Review"

C. Major Deliverables and Outcomes

Describe what your court, internal and external customers, and the citizens of Arizona will receive as a result of the project. Describe critical factors and criteria you will use to determine project success. Deliverables include the system hardware and software, application features and functions, system enhancements that improve productivity, new or improved services provided to stakeholders.

Themis will provide the Court with its current high level of automation as well as the ability to utilize new technology to continue streamlining Court processes to higher levels of efficiency. Mesa Municipal Court and Mesa ITD have a long history of working together to innovate the Court's processes and would be able to continue this partnership without the need to wait for vendor or other third party support. Citizens of Arizona needing to interface with the Court will be able to do so remotely via the internet or phone interfaces and have their (in court) time minimized as a result of the efficiencies.

The critical factors for this project are to ensure that the solution implemented provides, at a minimum, the current functionality and is implemented on or before the July 1, 2015 deadline. These factors are also the criteria for success.

Hardware: All necessary hardware is in place, installed in response to prior demands.

Software: Themis (CMS) is installed as well as supporting software.

Application Features and Functions:

1. Fully developed code set with documentation and procedures.
2. Simplified data entry sequences.
3. Minimized data entry requirements.
4. Linear, logical, and "intuitive" progressions for case entry.
5. Automated search capability and associated information.
6. Automated system executed processes – for warrants, scheduled events, notices etc.
7. Functional interfaces, such as with Prosecutor and Police.
8. A full schedule of integrated web services permitting a wide range of public access services to be developed.

D. Project Dependencies

List projects currently underway or being planned that have business deliverables on which your project depends. Provide the project name, project manager name and business deliverable being depended on.

There are no dependencies.

List projects currently underway or being planned that depend on business deliverables being provided as part of your project.

Name of Business Deliverable	Project Name	Project Manager
Retirement of mainframe application	Mainframe Retirement	Lester Godsey

E. Project Development Timeline

Provide the estimated schedule for the development of this project. These dates are estimates only. If the project is approved, COT monitoring staff will review the project plan and may ask for additional information or updates.

The high level project plan is shown below:

Task	% Complete	Start	Finish
INITIATION	100%	8/5/2014	8/19/2014
PLANNING - DAY 1	100%	8/19/2014	11/13/2014

Judicial Project Investment Justification Version 2.0
For Arizona Judicial Branch Automation Projects

ENVIRONMENT PLANNING	100%	8/19/2014	8/20/2014
INITIAL GAP ANALYSIS	100%	9/2/2014	10/14/2014
REQUIREMENTS DEFINITION DAY 1 ITEMS	75%	10/16/2014	11/13/2014
CONVERSION DESIGN	100%	10/16/2014	11/4/2014
MAINFRAME CLOSEOUT PLANNING	50%	11/4/2014	11/11/2014
EXECUTION - DAY 1		8/12/2014	6/18/2015
ENVIRONMENT SETUP	100%	8/12/2014	8/28/2014
DEVELOPMENT & UNIT TESTING	25%	11/13/2014	1/14/2015
CONFIGURATION	25%	11/13/2014	1/21/2015
FINAL USER ACCEPTANCE TESTING		6/4/2015	6/17/2015
DAY 1 MOVE TO PRODUCTION			
TRAINING		6/23/2015	6/30/2015
DAY 1 GO LIVE		7/6/2015	7/6/2015

Section III. Policies, Standards, & Procedures

INSTRUCTIONS

Answer YES or NO to the following questions in regard to current Policies, Standards & Procedures. By selecting YES on any of the questions, the court is agreeing to the statement and can provide specific details if requested. If selecting NO, the court understands additional justification may be required.

A. Enterprise Architecture

Yes No - Does this project meet all standards and protocols for technology solutions, as defined in Judicial Branch Enterprise Architecture published at <http://www.azcourts.gov/cot/EnterpriseArchitectureStandards.aspx>?

If NO please describe NEW or EXCEPTIONS to standards or protocols needed.

B. Disaster Recovery Plan/Business Continuity Plan

Yes No - Does this project require a Disaster Recovery Plan and Business Continuity Plan? (See section 1C)

C. Project Operations

Yes No - Is there a written assessment of short-term and long-term effects the project will have on operations?

D. Judicial Strategic Plan Objectives

Please check which goal the project is in support of; if more than one, indicate only the primary goal.

- Strengthening the Administration of Justice
- Maintaining a Professional Workforce
- Improving Operational Efficiencies
- Improving Communications

- Protecting Children, Families, and Communities
 Improving the Legal Profession

Section IV. Roles and Responsibilities

Provide the names, job titles and responsibilities of key personnel involved in the project. These should include the Project Sponsor and Project Managers (Technical Project Manager, Business Project Manager). If a steering committee will oversee the project, include roles or titles of members and meeting frequency.

Executive/Steering Committee

Matias Tafoya, Mesa Municipal Court Presiding Judge
Paul Thomas, Court Administrator
Diane Gardner, Chief Information Officer
Lester Godsey, IT Manager

Subject Matter Experts

Leonard Montanaro, Deputy Court Administrator
Janie Moreno, Deputy Court Administrator
Albert Lemke, Deputy Court Administrator

Dyan Carney, Court Supervisor

Nancy Bushaw, Court Supervisor

Edna Ramon, Court Supervisor
Gloria Holland, Court Supervisor
Gina Sanchez, Court Supervisor
Karen Komada, Court Supervisor
Xiomara Tenreiro, Court Supervisor

Court Finances, Customer Service
Court Services, Case Management
Collections, Pre-Trial Programs, Automation Oversight
Court Calendaring, Courtroom Support, Appeals, Warrants
Court Calendaring, Courtroom Support, Appeals, Warrants
Civil Traffic, Cash Receipting, Web Services
Civil Traffic, Cash Receipting, Web Services
Jail Court Services, Pre-Trial Release
Collections, Tax Intercept, Payment Plans
Interpreter Services

IT Staff

Lester Godsey, IT Manager
Lauren Lupica, IT Project Mgr III
Connie Williams, IT Engineer III
Greg Stoner, IT Engineer III
Paul Poledna, IT Engineer III
Lanny Wagner, IT Engineer II
John Diamond, IT Engineer III
Michael Kniskern, IT Engineer II
Amy Davis, IT Engineer II
Christine Chu, IT Engineer II
Julie Darling, IT Engineer III
Joe Hansen, IT System Architect
Ronald Williams, IT Engineer II
Anthony Ross, IT Engineer I
John Perry, IT Engineer III
Hoan Vu, IT Engineer II
Ihaab Dais, IT Engineer I
Jeremy Montoya, IT Engineer II

Technical Supervisor
Project Management
Technical Lead/Conversion
Analyst
FileNet Analyst
FileNet Analyst
Conversion, Interfaces
Interface Analyst
Web/IVR Analyst
Reports/Export Interfaces Analyst
Interface Analyst
Middleware/DB Svcs
DBA/Conversion
Desktop Support
Server
Server
Security
Network Support

Section V. Public Value and Benefits

A. Value to the Public

INSTRUCTIONS

Evaluate the impact the project will have on state and local citizens and Judicial Branch customers and clients. Note the sum of measurable benefits, including a description and method of calculation.

Score: 0=None, 1=Minor, 2=Moderate, 3=Considerable, 4=Substantial, 5=Extensive.

<i>Detail Description of Project Benefits: VALUE TO THE PUBLIC</i>	<i>Score</i>
Client Satisfaction: Describe how stakeholders will likely respond to the anticipated changes or improvements. Staff will be provided with a more efficient and more easily navigated system.	4
Customer Service: Describe anticipated improvements to internal or external service delivery including faster response time, increased access to information, reduction in client in-person visits, etc. Themis will provide faster response times, better access to data and more capabilities for the public to address their case remotely (via internet or phone).	5
Life/Safety Functions: Describe how the project will reduce risk in functions related to public protection, health, environment, and safety. The faster service reduces public frustration.	2
Public Service Functions: Describe how project enhances licensing, maintenance, or payments to public entities. Collection and distribution of State surcharges will be more efficient.	4
Legal Requirements: Cite the federal or state mandate and/or describe any interfaces with federal, state, or local entities. Interfaces include MVD, AOC, Mesa PD and Finance.	4
Other: List any other valuable benefit to the public. The ability to aggressively employ automated processes at the least cost reduces budget impact and therefore cost to the public.	5
TOTAL	24

FINANCIAL AND INTANGIBLE BENEFITS DESCRIPTION

The financial benefit derives from the technical support relationship between the Mesa Municipal Court and Mesa IT Dept. The Mesa IT Dept. is a fully resourced department with skilled staff in all areas of automation support. There is a 22 year history of continuous development of the Court's CMS and aggressive automation projects advancing the Court's level of automation, and the City's progressive automation efforts. The implementation of Themis provides the basis for maintaining this relationship that remains critical to the Court's continued technical advancement. This situation operates to support projects and development through in-house resources. This allows application of only those resources directly needed by a project, and eliminates all indirect cost, such as contracted resources or expensive vendor based costs. This relationship also allows for significant project efficiencies through a strong team approach with all in-house resources.

The intangible benefits derive from a history of project success between Court staff and IT staff. Project planning, coordination, communication, and a history of working together contribute to very strong project teams. These integrated efforts have produced a high level of IT staff knowledge of Court business processes, as well as Court staff knowledge of technology. This cross-knowledge is a great advantage in successfully launching new automation initiatives.

B. Benefits to the State and Local Judiciary

INSTRUCTIONS

Judicial Project Investment Justification Version 2.0
For Arizona Judicial Branch Automation Projects

Describe the economic impact the project may have on your court, the State or the public. Improved performance can produce either monetary savings or increased revenues. Cost avoidance activities may be noted in both value to the public and benefits to the state. Labor savings may be included if they represent a reduction in force, or avoidance of new hires. Note the sum of measurable economic benefits, including a description and method of calculation.

Score: 0=None, 1=Minor, 2=Moderate, 3=Considerable, 4=Substantial, 5=Extensive.

<i>Factors to Include</i>	<i>Score</i>	<i>\$</i>
<p>Court Performance: The extent to which duties and processes will improve or positively affect business functions. Consider reduced redundancy and improved consistency for the court.</p> <p>Business processes will improve, redundancy reduced and consistency maintained as a result of the ability to have the Court’s automation and business process changes fully supported through the technical resources of the Mesa IT Department. The Mesa Municipal Court aggressively pursues automation of business processes. Recent projects have included: elimination of paper case files, expansion of web-services for public business with the Court, auto-dialer systems, etc.</p> <p>Cost Savings calculated on the basis of 4 staff positions fully benefited @ 65,000.00 each. Due to reduction in force staff losses in the Court’s –Court Services Division, expansion of automated business process, such as EDMS, auto-dialer, etc. has maintained business efficiency despite staff losses. The Court’s automated processes replaced manual processes and eliminated staff needs. <u>This calculation is demonstrative, and not necessarily predictive of savings.</u></p>	5	\$260,000
<p>Productivity Increase: The improvements in quantity or timeliness of services or deliverables. Consider improved turnaround time or expanded capacity of key processes.</p> <p>The use of Themis as the Court’s CMS permits local support through the Mesa IT Department. The long history of large and ambitious automation projects between the Court and Mesa IT is an established framework for very cost effective delivery of automation. The Court’s ability to employ automation is dependent upon the ability to cost effectively resource projects. The fully integrated effort of the Court and IT Department allows the Court to constantly examine and consider new automation efforts. This regularly delivers new efficiencies and increased productivity through the cost effectiveness of local resources. This would not be possible through use of third party, contracted, or vendor based resources.</p> <p>A duplication of this level of automation support through a purchased or vendor based system would be cost prohibitive. A purchased or vendor developed system typically can cost several million, and over \$100,000. per/year in maintenance and licensing.</p>	5	N/A
<p>Operational Efficiency: Rating may be based on improved use of resources, greater flexibility in court responses to stakeholder requests, reduction or elimination of paperwork, legacy systems, or manual tasks.</p> <p>Operational efficiency will be improved as a result the use of resources as indicated in Productivity Increase. Flexibility in response to stakeholder requests or needs is maximized since the platform of dedicated local resources is immediately available, and can be engaged timely in response to requests and needs. Use of Themis allows for complete local control and use of the appropriate skilled resources needed by automation demands. Significant successes have been achieved on this basis, recent examples would be: elimination of paper case files—converting to electronic documents thereby reducing manual tasks. Themis’ consistency with</p>	5	N/A

Judicial Project Investment Justification Version 2.0
For Arizona Judicial Branch Automation Projects

<p>the court's legacy system offers the ability to easily convert data, train staff and identify new projects.</p> <p>Cost savings are produced by the ability to immediately initiate automation projects, availability of resources specifically needed, and projects being accomplished in the minimal amount of time.</p>		
<p>Accomplishment Probability: The extent to which this project is expected to have a high level of success in completing all requirements for the division or court.</p> <p>Primary support for this project is provided by the Mesa IT Department. Their technical assessment of Themis, and their prior experience and knowledge of this system as a result of staff and technical assistance that was provided in the development of Themis, offers a high level of confidence in the successful implementation of Themis. The Mesa Municipal Court has extensive knowledge of the functional requirements needed for many fully automated processes. The long history of automating processes in the Court's legacy system, development of documented functional requirements in 2007 with the National Center of State Courts, and recent intensive efforts associated with the Court's participation in the AJACS project, offers a very high degree of probability of success in the identification, documentation and development of functional requirements. The only risk would be unknowns at this time.</p>	4	N/A
<p>Functional Integration: The impact the project will have in eliminating redundancy or improve consistency. Consider the impact of information sharing between departments or divisions, or between agencies in the State.</p> <p>Functional integration will be at a high level. Mesa Municipal Court currently maintains interfaces with the Court's behavioral health vendor, collection agencies, MVD, E-citation filings with the Mesa Police Department, Mesa City Finance Department, and Mesa Prosecutor's Office. These interfaces are critical to everyday operations and will be duplicated in Themis. Some risk is associated with the technical ability or issues that could arise with vendors' or agencies' ability to adapt to Themis.</p>	4	n/a
<p>Technology Sensitive: The implementation of the right types of technology to meet clear and defined goals and to support key functions. Consider technologies and systems already proven within the court, division, or other similar organizations.</p> <p>The use of Themis is a proven system having been developed specifically for the functionality needed in the Tempe Municipal Court. Consequently, it is ideally suited for the needs of the Mesa Municipal Court. The Mesa Municipal Court and Mesa IT assisted in the final development and testing stages of Themis in 2009. Mesa evaluations of Themis at that time indicated a strong suitability for use in Mesa. The daily use of Themis in Tempe Municipal Court since 2009, and subsequent enhancements offer a very high assurance of its use and suitability in Mesa.</p>	5	<p>Several million dollars to purchase or develop a system, plus yearly vendor related maintenance costs, typically at least \$100,000.00 per/year.</p>
<p>Other: List any other applicable benefit.</p>		
<p>TOTAL</p>	28	\$260,000

FINANCIAL AND INTANGIBLE BENEFITS DESCRIPTION

Section VI. Project Financials

Development and Operating Cost INSTRUCTIONS

*Development Costs are the sum of all start up expenditures. Operating Costs are the sum of all ongoing expenditures after initial startup. A detail listing of the kinds of costs to be included can be found in the **Statewide Standard P-340 S-340, Cost Factors Table**. This document is available on the GITA web site at www.azgita.gov/policies_standards/.*

Lease/Purchase is a development cost since leasing is a financing mechanism to enable procurement. Upgrades or software license increases may be included in these costs.

For exceptions to standards, an analysis of implementing both the standard and the proposed exception solution should be included.

ALL COSTS MUST BE SUBSTANTIATED IN APPENDIX A. ITEMIZED LIST WITH COSTS.

1. *Professional and Outside Consultants Cost*

The dollars expended for all third-party consultants and contractors, such as project leaders, operations or technical support, communications, and LAN administrators. In Appendix A, include the billing rate, number of hours, and the tasks to be performed.

2. *Hardware*

All costs related to computer hardware and peripherals used on a project, including mainframes, midrange, micro- and mini-processors, laptops, hand-held devices, and peripheral devices such as disk drives and printers.

3. *Software*

All costs related to applications and systems related software for the project.

4. *Communications*

All costs related to analog and digital networks, communication processors, software, frame relays, phone switches, cabling, wiring, LAN/WAN, and other items associated with communications.

5. *Facilities*

All costs related to improvements or expansions of existing facilities required to support this project, as well as rentals, leases or purchase of new IT facilities.

6. *Licensing and Maintenance Fees*

All licensing and maintenance fees that might apply to hardware, software and any other products included as up-front costs in this project (ongoing costs are considered operational not development).

7. *Other*

Other IT costs not included above, such as documentation, manuals, travel, training and living expenses.

Judicial Project Investment Justification Version 2.0
 For Arizona Judicial Branch Automation Projects

Training costs should be included if expenditures are specifically incurred for this project. If there is an in-house training department and the cost of the training is absorbed, no costs should be reported. Travel costs should be the amount of expenditures and not the value of automobiles, trucks, or other goods.

NOTE: FTE costs may be included in section C. below, as required.

A. Development and Operational Project Funding Details

(Double click on table below – add funding in **whole dollars** and then click outside the table to return to Word doc)

DEVELOPMENT COSTS						
Category	FY14-15	FY15-16	FY16-17	FY17-18	FY19-20	Total
Professional & Outside Services						\$ -
Hardware						\$ -
Software	\$ 7,000					\$ 7,000
Communications						\$ -
Facilities						\$ -
License & Maintenance Fees						\$ -
Other						\$ -
Total Development Costs	\$ 7,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,000

Enter Total Development Cost (above) in Project Values table on Approvals page.

OPERATIONAL COSTS						
Category	FY14-15	FY15-16	FY16-17	FY17-18	FY19-20	Total
Professional & Outside Services						\$ -
Hardware						\$ -
Software						\$ -
Communications						\$ -
Facilities						\$ -
License & Maintenance Fees	\$ 800,000					\$ 800,000
Other						\$ -
Total Operational Costs	\$ 800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 800,000

Enter Total Project Cost (below) in Project Values table on Approvals page.

	FY14-15	FY15-16	FY16-17	FY17-18	FY19-20	Total*
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS *(Includes development and operational costs)	\$ 807,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 807,000

B. Funding Source

Funding Source INSTRUCTIONS

Identify all funding sources such as city/county General Fund, State/Local Judicial Collections Enhancement Funds, Document Storage and Retrieval Funds, Federal matching funds and block grants, and any other funds that may apply to this project. Add total project dollars by development and operational budget to the columns for “Currently Available” and “New Appropriations Request” by Funding Source category. If you have requested new additional appropriations, or additional spending authority, use the “New Appropriations Request” column.

(Double click on table below – add funding in **whole dollars** and then click outside the table to return to Word doc)

Judicial Project Investment Justification Version 2.0
For Arizona Judicial Branch Automation Projects

JPIJ Project Classification & Risk Evaluation					
Risk Factor	Low (0)	Medium (1)	High (2)	Very High (3)	Score
Project Management Complexity					
Project Team Size (# of people)	1-5	6-10	11-15	> 15	2
Project Manager (PM) Experience	Deep experience in this type of project	Some experience in this type of project and able to leverage subject matter experts	Some experience in this type of project and has limited support from subject matter experts	New to this type of project	0
Team Member Availability	Dedicated staff for project activities only as assigned	Staff n place, few interrupts for non project tasks are expected and have been accounted for	Available, some turnover expected, some interrupts for non project issues likely	Dedicated team not available; staff will be assigned based on capacity	0
# of Entities Involved in Development Activity	1	2	3	> 3	0
Vendor (if used)	No Vendor required	Vendor has been used previously with success	Vendor has been used previously with some management support required	New Vendor and/or multiple vendors	0
Project Schedule	Schedule is flexible	Schedule can handle minor variations, but deadlines are somewhat firm	Scope or budget can handle minor variations, but deadlines are firm	Scope, Budget and Deadlines are fixed and cannot be changed	2
Project Scope	Scope is defined and approved	Scope is defined and pending approval	Scope being defined	High level definition only at this point	0
Budget Constraints	Funds allocated	Funds pending approval	Allocation of funds in doubt or subject to change without notice	No funding allocated	0
Project Methodology	Defined methodology	Defined methodology, no templates	High level methodology framework only	No formal methodology	0
IT Solution Complexity					
Product Maturity (if purchased)	Product implemented & working in > 1 gov't agency or business of similar size	Product implemented & working in 1 agency or business of similar size	Product implemented & working only in an agency or business of smaller size	Product not implemented in any agency or business	0
Solution Dependencies	No dependencies or interrelated projects	Some minor dependencies or interrelated projects but considered low risk	Some major dependencies or interrelated projects but considered medium risk	Major high-risk dependencies or interrelated projects	0
System Interface Profile	No other system interfaces	1-2 required interfaces	3-4 required interfaces	> 4 required interfaces	2
IT Architectural Impact	Follows COT-approved design; principles, practice & standards	New to the court but follows established industry standards	Evolving "industry standard"	No standards, leading edge technology	0
Deployment Impact					
Process Impact	No business process changes	Agency wide process changes	Multi-State Agency process changes	State-wide process changes	1
Scope of End User Impact	Department or Division level only	Multiple Dept. or Court-wide impacts	Multi-Court impacts	Statewide impacts	0
Training Impact	No training is required	Minimal training is required	Considerable training is required	Extensive training is required	1
Total Risk Score					8

Section VIII. Project Approvals

Management Review Checklist

Key Management Information	Yes	No
1. Is this project for a mission critical application system?	X	
2. Is this project referenced in your court's/county's IT Strategic plan?		X
3. Is this project consistent with COT policies, standards and procedures?	X	
4. Is this project in compliance with the Arizona Revised Statutes and court rules?	X	
6. Is this project mandated by law, court case or rule? If yes, cite the federal requirement, ARS Reference, Court Rule or Case. <i>Administrative Order 2001-8</i>	X	

Project Values

The following table contains summary information taken from the other sections of the JPIJ document.

Description	Section	Significance
Value Rating	V. A. Value to the Public	24
Economic Benefits	V. B. Benefits to the State and Local Judiciary	Score: 28 \$260,000
Total Development Cost	VI. A. Development Costs	\$7,000
Total Project Cost	VI. A. Total Project Costs	\$7,000
FTE Hours	VI.C FTE Project Hours	13,600
Project Risk Factors	VII. Risk Assessment Score (Maximum 48)	8

Formal Project Approvals

The JPIJ must be transmitted to AOC/COT by email. The project approvals block may be sent via mail or FAX. Please include the Project Title for identification.

Project Title:

<i>Responsibility</i>	<i>Approval Signature and Title</i>	<i>Date</i>
Presiding Judge:	Full Name	
Clerk of Court:	Full Name	
Project Manager	Full Name	
Project Sponsor or Other	Full Name and Title	

Appendices

A. Itemized List with Costs

Attach a detailed list of expenditures including unit costs and extensions. Ensure the total agrees with the TOTAL column on tables labeled “Development Costs” and “Operating Costs.” This list should contain all items associated with the total project investment, including hardware purchase costs, software purchase costs, software licensing costs, professional and outside services costs, consulting costs, communication costs, facilities costs such as cabling or wiring, training costs, travel costs, and all other costs.

See Attachment B

B. Connectivity Diagram

Attach a high-level schematic drawing, indicating major hardware components. If your project is an expansion of existing facilities, clearly indicate existing and new components. A hand-drafted drawing is acceptable.

See Attachment C

C. Project Schedule -- Gantt Chart, Project Management Timeline

Include a computer-generated Gantt Chart or a textual list of major project phases and milestones. Include the estimated time of completion for each milestone, and the total elapsed time for the entire project. Do not include a detailed list. If a vendor is involved, insure the plan is consistent with the vendor’s proposed schedule. This Gantt Chart will be used as the basis for project oversight.

See Attachment D

Glossary

If special terminology and acronyms are used, consider including a glossary of terms.

Document Information

Title: Judicial Project Investment Justification Version 2.0
Originator: Arizona Supreme Court, May 2004
Date: Revised November 5, 2010
Download: <http://www.azcourts.gov/cot/Documents.aspx>
Contact: Alicia Moffatt, 602-452-3791, email: amoffatt@courts.az.gov

Mesa Municipal Court CMS Replacement Project - JPIJ Attachment A (Mesa Report-CMS Software Review)

Summary Report of

Court Management System Software Review

Performed by Mesa Information Technology Department (ITD)
for Mesa Municipal Court (MMC)

August 20, 2014

1.0	Background.....	4
2.0	Executive Summary	4
3.0	Options Reviewed and Scoring Methodology.....	5
4.0	Requirements.....	5
5.0	Support.....	6
6.0	Estimated Implementation Time	7
7.0	Estimated Cost	7
8.0	Risk	8
9.0	Conclusion/Recommendation.....	9

1.0 BACKGROUND

Mesa Municipal Court (MMC) worked with the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) for several years to implement the Arizona Judicial Automated Case System (AJACS), a case management software system offered by the vendor, American Cadastre (AMCAD). Implementation of AJACS in a production environment had been delayed several times due to the vendor missing deadlines for providing full functionality. This caused considerable concern for both MMC and Mesa ITD regarding MMC’s current system, ACIST, which is running on a mainframe system for which support was retired by IBM in 2011. IBM allowed Mesa to extend support through FY2015 (at a premium cost).

On June 24, 2014, AMCAD announced it would no longer develop or support AJACS. The AOC hired several developers that had been laid off from AMCAD and announced their intention to complete the development of AJACS for both General Jurisdiction (GJ) and Limited Jurisdiction (LJ) courts.

At this time, MMC and Mesa ITD agreed that it would be prudent to perform due diligence regarding alternative options for a case management system. This report is a summary of the research performed by Mesa ITD.

2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The research of case management system (CMS) solutions included:

- The AJACS solution begun by AMCAD and to be completed by the AOC;
- Themis, a solution developed by the City of Tempe and in production there for the past five years; and
- Two COTS (Commercial Off The Shelf) solutions – JusticeWare by New Dawn and Incode by Tyler.

The solutions were reviewed based on criteria in the following areas:

- Functional Requirements;
- Infrastructure;
- Post-Implementation Support;
- Estimated Go Live;
- Estimated Cost; and
- Risk.

The scoring associated with this effort places equal weight on all categories reviewed in order to ensure that MMC is provided with a system that meets or exceeds the current functionality provided by ACIST. However, the Estimated Go Live date is actually of primary importance due to the requirement to retire Mesa’s mainframe by June 30, 2015.

The tables below illustrated the summary scores in both raw and rating format. How the raw scores were calculated is explained in subsequent sections of this document. The rankings are an ordering of each category from the highest raw score (4) to lowest raw score (1).

Scoring

Application	Vendor/ Owner	% Functional Req's Met	% Infrastructure Req's Met	Support Structure Rating	Estimated Go Live	Estimated Cost	Risk Rating (highest risk=5)
AJACS	AOC	65%	67%	33%	3/1/2016	\$0	4.7
Themis	Mesa	92%	85%	100%	5/1/2015	\$6,870	1.8
JusticeWare	New Dawn	93%	96%	0%	3/1/2015	\$646,000	1.9
Incode	Tyler	90%	89%	83%	3/1/2015	\$1,295,854	1.9

Ranking (4 being the best rank)

Summary Report of Court Management System Software Review

Application	Vendor/ Owner	% Functional Req's Met	% Infrastructure Req's Met	Support Structure Rating	Estimated Go Live	Estimated Cost	Risk Rating	Overall (Averaged) Rank
AJACS	AOC	1	1	2	1	4	1	2
Themis	Mesa	3	2	4	2	3	4	3
JusticeWare	New Dawn	4	4	1	4	2	3	3
Incode	Tyler	2	3	4	4	1	3	3

The rankings show that while Themis, JusticeWare and Incode share the best overall average ranking, Incode falls well behind in the criteria for meeting requirements and JusticeWare in both support and estimated cost. AJACS places last in the overall ranking, due in large part to the estimated go live and the *current* inability to meet the requirements (in the detail provided with this report all options were scored based on current *or* future functionality, with an additional point given for current).

After reviewing the data, Mesa ITD recommends that Themis be the solution implemented for Mesa Municipal Court. This recommendation is based on the following:

- The ability to implement the solution within the June 30, 2015 deadline;
- The proven ability of the solution to meet the needs of a large volume LJ Court such as MMC;
- The unlimited ability to maintain and/or update the solution as needed internally; and
- The low cost of implementation and ongoing support.

3.0 OPTIONS REVIEWED AND SCORING METHODOLOGY

As noted in the Executive Summary, four solutions were researched: two COTS solutions (New Dawn’s JusticeWare and Tyler’s Incode), an “in-house” developed system (Themis, from the City of Tempe), and AJACS, a custom development effort first by AMCAD and currently being completed by the AOC.

A Request For Information (RFI) was developed by the ITD Judicial Services team and the project’s project manager. Both New Dawn and Tyler completed and returned the RFI within the timeframe requested and it was from these responses that the scores for the two solutions were assigned.

Upon request, Tempe provided the database, code and development documentation for their Themis product. This was installed in Mesa’s standard environment and the client provided to the ITD Judicial Services team members, project manager and MMC Deputy Court Administrators and supervisors. Reviews of the user interface, underlying code and documentation were conducted and the results used to assign the scores for the Themis solution.

All Mesa project team members – both ITD and MMC – are familiar with the requirements and design documents associated with the AJACS solution. There has been limited ability to actually work in the application due to issues yet to be resolved which prevent completing key processes related to case management. The code for the AJACS product was not available to Mesa IT resources for review. Upon request, the AOC provided responses to questions concerning how the AOC will support and maintain the solution. The scores for the AJACS solution were based upon the collective knowledge of the Mesa project team regarding AJACS’ functionality and the responses from the AOC.

4.0 REQUIREMENTS

The following tables show a summary of the functional, interface and infrastructure components of each solution as they compare to Mesa’s requirements.

Application	Vendor/ Owner	Functionality		Interfaces		Total Functional		
		Raw Score	Max Score	Raw Score	Max Score	Raw Score	Max Score	%
AJACS	AOC	132	186	13	36	145	222	65%
Themis	Tempe/Mesa	178	186	27	36	205	222	92%
JusticeWare	New Dawn	185	186	21	36	206	222	93%
Incode	Tyler	164	186	36	36	200	222	90%

Summary Report of Court Management System Software Review

Application	Vendor/ Owner	Infrastructure		
		Raw Score	Max Score	%
AJACS	AOC	31	46	67%
Themis	Tempe/Mesa	39	46	85%
JusticeWare	New Dawn	44	46	96%
Incode	Tyler	41	46	89%

Functionality and Interfaces were combined to provide the Total Functional score, as both of these directly address overall functionality required by the Court. The full details of these scores can be viewed in the attached file [Functionality, Infrastructure and Interface Detail Scoring.xlsx](#).

Themis, JusticeWare and Incode all met over 90% of the functional and interface requirements. These scores are significantly higher than AJACS because these products have been in production for five years or more and the functionality is present and proven. While AJACS’ design includes many of the same requirements, the scores for these must be as “Future” capabilities and thus receive a lower score for each.

The same is true for AJACS in terms of the infrastructure scores. JusticeWare scored slightly higher than Themis and Incode in this area due mainly to its ability to use Active Directory (single sign-on). It is important to note that none of the four products support encryption of sensitive data (social security number) while at rest in the database; this is a specific concern called out by the ITD Security team.

5.0 SUPPORT

Ongoing support of the application is key to the success of a solution. The reviewed solutions were scored based on:

- Support being provided during Mesa Municipal Court’s standard working hours of Monday through Thursday from 7:00am through 6:00pm;
- Support being provided outside of the standard working hours;
- Support being provided 24 hours per day, 7 days per week;
- Stated response times for standard, high and critical issues;
- The stated ability to provide support through remote connection; and
- Mesa’s ability to access the incident management system.

The following table shows the capabilities for each solution:

Application	Vendor/ Owner	Mon-Thur 7am-6pm AZ	Hours outside SWH	24/7	Stated Response Times	Remote Web Assistance	Access to Incident Mgmt System
AJACS	AOC	X			X		
Themis	Tempe/Mesa	X	X	X	X	X	X
JusticeWare	New Dawn						
Incode	Tyler	X	X		X	X	X

The AOC provided the standard Service Level Agreement (SLA) used with AOC-hosted courts and noted that “Our current SLA’s are designed for fully supported courts. The AOC will need to further discuss the service level and support needs of operationally independent courts.” The SLA includes stated response times of 4 hours for medium issues, 1 hour for high issues and 30 minutes for critical issues; however, it is not clear whether the same response times would be in effect for self-hosted courts.

Summary Report of Court Management System Software Review

Themis would be supported internally at Mesa, primarily by the Judicial Services team with other areas of ITD becoming involved as required. The team works the standard working hours as MMC as well as having a team member on call during all off hours. City of Mesa ITD has an initial response time of 15 minutes.

New Dawn provided only their standard support hours, which do not meet Mesa's standard working hours. New Dawn also did not provide specific information on the other criteria and as such could not be given scores in those areas.

Tyler's standard support hours are 7:00am to 7:00pm, which exceeds Mesa's standard working hours. Tyler's response times are 2-3 hours for standard issues, 30 minutes to 1 hour for high issues and 10 to 30 minutes for critical issues.

6.0 ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION TIME

The following table shows the estimated months for several phases of implementation:

Estimates shown in months and as of July 2014		Contract, Council, etc.	Gap Analysis	Complete Development	Application Testing	Go Live Activities	Total	Go Live Date
AJACS	AOC	0	0	12	6	1	19	3/1/2016
Themis	Tempe/Mesa	0	1	2	3	1	7	3/1/2015
JusticeWare	New Dawn	3	1	9	2	1	16	12/1/2015
Incode	Tyler	3	1	9	2	1	16	12/1/2015

Either of the COTS solutions, JusticeWare or Incode, would need time for the purchasing process to take place – including an open RFP, contract negotiations and City Council approval. Additional time would be needed for development of interfaces, forms and reports as well.

No gap analysis would be necessary for AJACS, as this occurred at the beginning of the project. A one month gap would be necessary for all other options.

The estimates for completing development for the options are based in part on historical experience. The AOC has a stated goal of six months for completion of "Apache" functionality; the estimate in this report includes another six months for completion of "Bradshaw" functionality as well. There is little documentation of and no access to the code that would allow a more accurate estimate of the work completed and remaining in order for AJACS to be a production-ready application. For the other options, only conversion and interfaces must be developed (and for Themis, several of the interfaces are already developed).

Finally, the time for testing is significantly greater for the AJACS solution. The other three solutions have been in production for at least five years and as such, the "core" application would need minimal acceptance testing. While the "base" or "national" AJACS product has seen production, the version developed for Arizona has seen significant changes in all areas. This would require much more detailed acceptance testing of the core application. All solutions would require testing of converted data and newly developed interfaces.

7.0 ESTIMATED COST

The RFI requested estimated pricing based on the assumption of 80 Court users and 5 ITD users. The AOC provided costs for an AOC-hosted system but none for the self-hosted model. Costs incurred by the AJACS project prior to this review were not considered in this review.

The only cost identified for Themis is three licenses for Visible Developer, a development tool used by Tempe when initially developing Themis. These are necessary for any changes to the base code.

Summary Report of Court Management System Software Review

		Software	Services	Maintenance (per year)	Total
AJACS*	AOC	Unknown	Unknown	\$1,600,000	\$1,600,000
Themis	Tempe/Mesa	\$6,870	\$0	\$0	\$6,870
JusticeWare	New Dawn		\$536,000	\$110,000	\$646,000
Incode	Tyler	\$673,030	\$376,100	\$246,724	\$1,295,854

*Ongoing costs for future development of AJACS are unknown at this time. The amount under “Maintenance” reflects the cost of maintaining the mainframe support for fiscal years 14/15 and 15/16.

Clearly, COTS solutions would require significant funding and for this reason alone, were basically eliminated from consideration.

8.0 Risk

Each option was reviewed in regards to the following risks:

- A. Vendor serving the role as a software development company
- B. Lack of a Day 2 Roll Out Plan
- C. Reliant on Vendor for all application support
- D. Product significantly changed & not yet proven in production to support Arizona LJ Courts
- E. Vendor Support Model for Self Hosted Large Volume LJ AJACS sites
- F. Inability to change business process quickly to support Mesa needs
- G. Lack of a Project Plan
- H. Complexity of the Application
- I. Knowledgeable business resources
- J. Knowledgeable programming resources

Risks labeled D, G and E were not considered as risks for Themis or the COTS solutions as they did not apply (i.e., for D, the products have all been in production for a minimum of five years) or would not be accepted by Mesa (i.e., a project plan would be required from Mesa’s project manager for Themis or from the vendors for the COTS solutions).

The risk ratings for each solution (both COTS solutions considered equally) are shown below:

Low	1
Minimum	2
Moderate	3
High	4
Extreme	5

AJACS

Impact ->	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Significant	Severe
Probability					
81-100%		F	J	G	A,B,C,D,E,H,I
61-80%					
41-60%					
21-40%					
1-20%					

Risk Rating: 4.7

Themis

Impact ->	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Significant	Severe
Probability					
81-100%		A	C		
61-80%					
41-60%					

Summary Report of Court Management System Software Review

21-40%			H,I,J		
1-20%		F			B
Risk Rating:					1.8

COTS

Impact ->	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Significant	Severe
81-100%	A,B				
61-80%			H	C,F	
41-60%					
21-40%			J		
1-20%			I		
Risk Rating:					1.9

Themis is the lowest risk by virtue of the fact that Mesa MMC and ITD together would control all aspects of product development but it is important to note that there *are* risks, as there would be risks in any software implementation, and these would need to be monitored throughout implementation.

9.0 CONCLUSION/RECOMMENDATION

As mentioned in [7.0 Estimated Cost](#), the COTS solutions should be eliminated from consideration, having a greater estimated cost without providing significantly more functionality or support.

The main negative factor affecting the AJACS solution is the projected go live date as shown in [8.0 Estimated Implementation Time](#). This date falls far beyond Mesa’s deadline for retiring the mainframe on which the current system is running.

Themis ranked best in three categories: Support, Cost and Risk and second best in Functional Requirements. Only Themis’ estimated go live date is within the deadline set for retiring the mainframe. Not measurable and therefore not included formally in this review is the reaction of court staff to their exposure to Themis, which was very positive. Themis’ ease of use was apparent when, with no training or guidance, they were able to enter cases, adjudicate, create payment plans and make a payment.

After reviewing the data, Mesa ITD recommends that Themis be the solution implemented for Mesa Municipal Court. This recommendation is based on the following:

- The ability to implement the solution within the June 30, 2015 deadline;
- The proven ability of the solution to meet the needs of a large volume LJ Court such as MMC;
- The unlimited ability to maintain and/or update the solution as needed internally; and
- The low cost of implementation and ongoing support.

**Mesa Municipal Court CMS Replacement Project - JPIJ Attachment A1 (Scoring Detail for Mesa Report-
CMS Software Review)**

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?			
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A
1.0	General					
1.1	Bench Automation / Case Worksheet	Single screen interface to handle the majority of case processing. Judge or Hearing Officer can complete the disposition of most cases from a single screen.		X		
1.2	General Functions	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Party Maintenance, Case Forms and Reports, Schedule link, EDMS link, Alerts, Dispose Charges		X		
1.3	Hearing Officer	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Post and Forfeit, Cash Receipting, Adjust Fines and Fees, Add Time Payment Fees		X		
1.4	Bench (Judge or Commissioner)	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Sentencing Orders: Incarceration, Probation, Home Detention, Screening/Diversion, Other Programs, Community Restitution, Victim Restitution, Assess Fines and Fees, Release Order, Attorney assignment, Defendant declarations		X		
2.0	Appeal					
2.1	Stay (Fines/Fees and Orders)	Update fine and fee receivable status to indicate stayed condition. Update order status to stayed.		X		
2.2	Set/Lift	Ability to set and lift the stay at the case and charge level.		X		
2.3	Workflow	Manage appeal status and action due dates.		X		
3.0	Calendaring					
3.1	Courtroom	Ability to create and maintain courtroom sessions that incorporate appearance reason(s), judicial officer, and court type.	X			

Raw	Max	Score
132	186	71%
1	1	
1	1	
1	1	
1	1	
1	1	
0		
1	1	
1	1	
1	1	
0		
2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	132	186	71%
3.2	Prosecutor	Prosecutor assignments and schedules are known (upload) and are considered when scheduling appearances.		X			1	1	
3.3	Public Defender	Public defender assignments and schedules are known (upload) and are considered when scheduling appearances.		X			1	1	
3.4	Police Officer	Officer schedules are known (upload) to the system and are considered when scheduling appearances.		X			1	1	
3.5	Auto-scheduling	Appearances are scheduled at case initiation. Appearances can also be scheduled based on event triggers such as non-compliance.		X			1	1	
3.6	Load Balancing	Schedule cases so that case load is spread evenly within each division and appearance reason.			X		0	1	
3.7	User override	User can override restrictions/balancing and force a case onto any calendar.		X			1	1	
3.8	Subpoena	System will generate subpoena documents as needed when hearings are scheduled/rescheduled.	X				2	1	
3.9	Schedule	Modify existing and create new scheduled appearance entries in the calendar	X				2	1	
4.0	Case Initiation						0		
4.1	eCitation	Accept electronic case filings as XML messages via MQ. Case types: Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint (ATTC), Parking, Light Rail, Long Form.		X			1	1	
4.2	Manual Entry	UI to support complaint entry. Case types: Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint (ATTC), Parking, Non-offence based cases (protective orders, vicious animal, interpleader).	X				2	1	
5.0	Miscellaneous						0		
5.1	Person Match	Systemically match added defendant party to existing system party.		X			1	1	
5.2	Address Match	Match added address record to existing address records.		X			1	1	
5.3	Party Maintenance	Add and update party information.	X				2	1	
5.4	eFile Motions, Notices, Correspondence, Appeals	Allow for the electronic filing of motions and other case-related correspondence. All document filings create an event and tickler that will appear in a work queue for user review and action.		X			1	1	
5.5	Manual Correspondence Entry	Manually enter a motion, notice, correspondence or appeal.	X				2	1	
5.6	Work Queue	A queue of items requiring resolution organized by tickler/event type, due date, and case type.		X			1	1	
5.7	Ticklers	Set a follow up date related to an event. Can be systemically created when an event record is created.		X			1	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	132	186	71%
5.8	Event	A record that memorializes an action taken by a user or the system	X				2	1	
5.9	Case Status	The system will derive the status of case. Users cannot directly edit the status of a case.	X				2	1	
5.10	Disposition Validation	The system will validate any change in charge disposition to ensure that it conforms to the overlay provided by MVD.		X			1	1	
5.11	Interpreter	Indicate when an interpreter is required for a case party			X		0	1	
5.12	Exhibit Tracking	Provide for the logging and tracking of items entered as exhibits for a trial	X				2	1	
5.13	Case Integrity Check	Batch process that ensures that all open cases have a future activity such as a hearing, tickler, warrant, or balance due. Non-compliance triggers a work queue tickler.			X		0	1	
5.14	Courtroom Assignment	All criminal cases are systemically assigned to a courtroom. The system can reassign a courtroom based on user request or event trigger.	X				2	1	
5.15	Case Consolidation	Two or more cases can be consolidated into a single case. Original case information memorialized.			X		0	1	
5.16	Alerts	Indicate juvenile, domestic violence, in-custody, warrant, default, etc.		X			1	1	
5.17	Attorney Assignment	Update attorney of record information, appoint public defender.		X			1	1	
5.18	Case Forms and Reports	Generate pre and post adjudication forms and reports related to the case.	X				2	1	
5.19	Defendant Declarations	Waive jury trial, waive counsel, plea agreement.	X				2	1	
5.20	EDMS	Link to the EDMS folder for the case - application call only. EDMS UI is a standalone application.		X			1	1	
6.0	Charge Maintenance						0		
6.1	Add	Ability to add a charge to a complaint.		X			1	1	
6.2	Amend	Ability to make changes to a charge while retaining historical and ordinal data.		X			1	1	
6.3	Dispose Charges	Set/change charge dispositions. Retain disposition history.		X			1	1	
7.0	Warrant						0		
7.1	Order	Create a warrant order for signature or QA review.		X			1	1	
7.2	Issue	Issue the ordered warrant to the police department and other enforcement agencies.		X			1	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	132	186	71%
7.3	Recall/Reissue/Quash	Recall the warrant from the police department and other enforcement agencies with reason information. Reissue the warrant with updated information – same party with recalled warrant with update demographics or bond terms. Quash warrant is a warrant recall with a reason of “Quash” and is a termination of the warrant.		X			1	1	
8.0	Bonds						0		
8.1	Set	Create a bond order.		X			1	1	
8.2	Forfeit	Disburse funds from a bond to specific debts.		X			1	1	
8.3	Exonerate	Order the bond funds to be returned to the bond payer.		X			1	1	
8.4	Tracking	Record bond holder, method of payment, date posted, date funds received, etc.		X			1	1	
9.0	Compliance Issue Processing						0		
9.1	Fail to Appear	Systemic action based on case type.		X			1	1	
9.2	Fail to Pay	Systemic action based on case type.		X			1	1	
9.3	Fail to Complete Order	Systemic action based on case type.		X			1	1	
9.4	Status Update	Systemic action based on compliance issue resolution.		X			1	1	
10.0	Reports						0		
10.1	On-Demand	Report generated and printed/displayed/filed on request.	X				2	1	
10.2	Demand Batch	Report generated as part of a packet of forms once the data for the entire packet is ready.		X			1	1	
10.3	Batch	Delayed/scheduled printing of forms.		X			1	1	
11.0	Time Payment Plans - Installment Plans						0		
11.1	Create	Create a plan for payment of a debt. Includes calculation of a payment schedule based on the number of installments and the amount owed. Can calculate number of installments based on a payment amount.	X				2	1	
11.2	Modify	Provide the ability to modify an existing payment plan.	X				2	1	
11.3	Balloon Payment	Allow for payment plans to have a balloon payment as the final installment. Installments not established for the duration of the plan.	X				2	1	
11.4	Add Time Payment Fees	Trigger process that calculates and applies time payment fees to a case.	X				2	1	
12.0	Cash Receipting						0		
12.1	Party Level	Accept funds for all debts related to a party.	X				2	1	
12.2	Installment	Accept funds for a specific installment plan.	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	132	186	71%
12.3	Bond	Accept funds to satisfy a bond order.	X						
12.4	Post and Forfeit	Calculate the amount needed to satisfy a pre-adjudicated case if the defendant were to plead guilty on all outstanding charges, accept payment for the amount, dispose the charges, impose the fines and fees, and apply the funds to the fines and fees.		X					
13.0	Financials							0	
13.1	Case Level Enhancement Fees	Ability to apply an enhancement fee at the case level.	X						
13.2	Charge Level Enhancement Fees	Ability to apply an enhancement fee at the charge level.	X						
13.3	Presumptive Amounts	Store the amount that usually imposed for a statute.	X						
13.4	Mandatory Minimum Amounts	Store the statutorily required minimum fine amounts.	X						
13.5	Forward/Backward Calculation	Calculating the fees and secondary assessments based on a given total amount or the adjustment of a base fine.		X					
13.6	Fund Allocation	Store the algorithm to calculate how fine and fee amounts are allocated to their respective disbursement funds.		X					
13.7	Statute Based Fee Schedule	Relate a fee type and amount to a statute and statute qualifier (DV, DUI, Juvenile, etc.)	X						
13.8	Statute Based Fine Schedule	Relate a fine amount to a statute	X						
13.9	Fine Aging Multiplier	Fine increases based on age >7 days, > 30 days		X					
13.10	Graduated Base Fine Amounts	Fine increases based on range of recorded speed over posted speed +5 MPH, + 10 MPH, etc.		X					
13.11	Priority of Payment (POP)	Systemic apportion of fine and fee amounts to disbursement accounts by fund hierarchy.		X					
13.12	Non-monetary Payment	Utilize community service to pay off a debt. Reduce receivables in reverse order (POP).		X					
13.13	Non-case Payment	Accept payments for fees not related to a case fine or bond (recording fee, copy fee, etc.)		X					
13.14	Cash-drawer Reconciliation	Balance cash-drawer and roll up to bank deposit.	X						
13.15	End of Day processing	Memorialize day's transactions and account balances.	X						
14.0	Party Maintenance							0	
14.1	Change a party name	Edit party name with name history retained.	X						
14.2	Change party demographics	Edit height, weight, eye color, hair color, language, and origin. Use NCIC standard values.	X						
14.3	Add and change party address	Add or edit party addresses with address history retained. Support multiple address types.	X						

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?			
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A
14.4	Change party identifiers	Add or edit drivers license, SSN, etc.	X			
14.5	Add Party	Add secondary parties to a case.	X			
15.0	Sentencing Orders					
15.1	Assess Fines and Fees	Includes the ability to modify the base fine or the assessed total, and to waive fees.	X			
15.2	Adjust Fines and Fees	Waive or reduce fines and fees.	X			
15.3	Create Release Order	Set conditions of release; boilerplate and freeform text.	X			
15.4	Order Community Restitution	Set and amend duration, due date	X			
15.5	Order Home Detention	Set and amend duration, fees.	X			
15.6	Order Incarceration	Set and amend duration, provider, fees, work furlough, weekends, flat time, time served, concurrency.	X			
15.7	Order Other Programs	Set and amend program, duration, provider, fees.	X			
15.8	Order Probation	Set and amend duration, provider, fees, terms.	X			
15.9	Order Screening/Diversion	Set and amend program, due date, fees.	X			
15.10	Order Victim Restitution	Set and amend the amount to be paid to the victim(s). Must support joint and several liabilities.	X			

Raw	Max	Score
132	186	71%
2	1	
2	1	
0		
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Infrastructure
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Proposed				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
1.0	Reports								
1.1	Reporting (SSRS preferred)	Ability to create a library of report templates that can be launched within the application or via batch processing.	X			2	2		
2.0	Forms								
2.1	Electronic Forms	The ability to produce dynamic case forms on demand and via batch processing.	X			2	2		
2.2	Signature capture	Capture and incorporate a defendant signature onto a dynamic form.	X			2	2		
2.3	Fingerprint capture	Capture and incorporate a defendant fingerprint onto a dynamic form.		X		1	2		
3.0	Financials								
3.1	Allocation	Allocation funds paid to AP using POP, Fee Schedule, and Fine Schedule.		X		1	2		
3.2	Disbursement	Request disbursements.		X		1	2		
3.3	Fund Management	Move funds from one case to another.			X	0	2		
4.0	eComplaint								
4.1	eComplaint Entry (PK, PE, ATTC, Long Form)	Create cases from electronic feeds from external systems (hand held devices, prosecutor systems, law enforcement systems),		X		1	2		
5.0	Security								
5.1	Role base user security	Judge, Clerk, Administrator, Supervisor, etc.	X			2	2		
5.2	Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)	Does Vendor's proposed system use secure sockets layer (SSL) protocol to encrypt data transfer?	X			2	2		
5.3	Auditing	Does Vendor's proposed system provide an audit trail that includes date, time, user and operation?			X	0	2		
5.4	Encryption	Social Security Numbers are encrypted when stored in the database as well as during data transmission.			X	0	2		
6.0	Environment								
6.1	MQ	Ability to send and receive data via MQ.	X			2	2		
6.2	Active Directory sign on	Ability to support network security to log into the application		X		1	2		
6.3	Server Requirements	List recommended (not minimum) hardware requirements (processor speed, memory, hard drive space, network interface speeds, etc.) for product installation or end user connectivity to your system.	X			2	2		
6.4	Database Server Requirements	List recommended database and version.	X			2	2		
								67%	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Infrastructure
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Proposed				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
6.5	Client Platform	Web browser or workstation software; Does Vendor's proposed solution support a browser interface without the help of additional components? If no, please describe.			X		0	2	67%
6.6	Workstation Requirements	Supported OS, Browser versions, and required installations.	X				2	2	
6.7	Storage	What capacity is recommended for the first five years of production use?	X				2	2	
6.8	Growth	Does Vendor's solution allow for growth and expansion of City storage? If yes, please provide detailed description.	X				2	2	
6.9	Backup/Recovery	Describe data backup and restore capabilities.	X				2	2	
6.9.1		1. Does Vendor's proposed solution have the ability to provide web analytics related to use of public web portal? If yes, please describe.			X		0	2	
6.9.2		3. What special technical skills or knowledge do you recommend to administer and support the proposed system?	X				2	2	
7.0	Hosted Solution								
7.1	Administration	How will Mesa's technical staff connect to your solution to provide administration and support to the system?					0	2	
7.2	Storage	What storage is provided to the City at implementation?					0	2	
7.3	Disaster Recovery	Describe the disaster recovery services provided to the City in the event the host site becomes inoperable or unavailable.					0	2	
7.4	Retention History	Does Vendor have a demonstrated retention track record of hosting city data five (5) or more years for cities whose populations are 100,000 or higher? If yes, please provide information for those cities in Appendix C, References.					0	2	
7.5	Termination of Use	If the services agreement is terminated, what methods are used and in what format will the City's data be returned?					0	2	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				Raw \$	Max \$	Score
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
1.0	MVD	Provide the ability to transmit disposition information to the Arizona Department of Motor Vehicles. Information includes person details, charges and charge dispositions.	O	AOC		X			13	36	36%
2.0	Defensive Driving School (DDS)	Provide the ability to receive DDS class registrations, reschedules, and completions into CMS and apply either a rescheduled Court Date or update a charge on a case with a dismissal disposition if the class was completed. Create a financial record on the case for the outstanding receivable owed to Court from the DDS for the completion of the class.	B	AOC		X			1	1	
3.0	FARE (Full)	Provide an interface to the Arizona's Administration of Office (AOC) FARE program. FARE requires updating of case informations such as person, charges and financial updates at all times. Allow for a request to recall a case from the FARE program.	B	AOC		X			1	1	
4.0	FARE (Collections Only)	Provide the ability to send data to the Arizona Supreme Court's FARE program. Data includes transmitting court cases with fines in the arrears. Provide the ability to maintain a status of a referred case to FARE.	B	AOC		X			1	1	
5.0	Collections (Non-FARE)	Provide the ability to refer cases in rears to a collection agency. Provide the ability to send and receive updates on cases from both Court and agency. Provide monthly reconciliation.	B	Agency			X		0	1	
6.0	Credit Bureau (Non-FARE)	Provide a monthly extract of case collection standings referred to the credit bureau.	B	Agency			X		0	1	
7.0	Debt Set-Off (DOR) (Non-FARE)	Provide the ability to interface with the Department of Revenue in order to transmitt person and case information related to outstanding monies owed to the Court. Provide the ability to receive notification of tax refunds available to the Court and for the Court to accept of decline intercepting the tax refund.	B	AOC \ DOR			X		0	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
8.0	Behavioral Health and Home Detention (BH & HD)	Provide the ability to transmit screening orders and modification of orders to agency. Provide the ability to receive and store agency program orders and order status' for reference by Court.	B	Agency		X			13	36	36%
9.0	Court Protective Order Repository (CPOR)	Provide the ability to transmit Protective Order data to the State of Arizona's Court Protective Order Repository (CPOR)	O	AOC		X			1	1	
10.0	Public Access (eCourt)	Provide the ability to allow the Public real time access to Case information, make payments and post bonds, reschedule civil traffic arraignments, and acknowledge photo enforcement citations.	B	Public			X		0	1	
11.0	Interactive Voice Recognition (IVR)	Provide the ability to allow Public real time access to Case information, make payments and post bonds, reschedule civil traffic arraignments, and acknowledge photo enforcement citations.	B	Public		X			1	1	
12.0	eFile	Provide the ability to receive electronic motions and filings.	O	Public		X			1	1	
13.0	Photo Enforcement	Provide the ability to receive photo enforcement citations and create court cases. Communicate with the agency the court case number and court arraignment date. Provide updates on case status to agency. Update case with process server information received from agency on court case.	B	Agency		X			1	1	
14.0	eCitation (Handheld Devices)	Provide the ability to receive citation data from a hosted site supporting the Police Officer Handheld devices.	O	Agency		X			1	1	
15.0	Prosecutor	Provide the ability to electronically receive Long Form filings from the Prosecutors and create Court Cases. Provide court information such as the case number and court dates to the Prosecutors. Provide daily updates from Court to Prosecutors for the following data: court dates, court filings, citation based criminal cases.	B	Agency		X			1	1	
16.0	Police	Provide electronic warrants, finger print requests and subpoenas to the Police Department. Receive and store police officer schedules to be used during the scheduling of court cases.	B	Agency		X			1	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
AJACS**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?			
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A
17.0	Public Defender	Provide the ability to receive and store Public Defender Calendars for use during court case scheduling. Provided Calendars to the Public Defenders.	B	Agency			X	
18.0	Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS)	Provide the ability to interface with the DPS Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) in order to communicate dispositions related to filed criminal charges.	O	Agency		X		
19.0	EDMS Integration (FileNet)	Provide integration with the City owned EDMS solution. The application must be able to display from within the case, the stored case related EDMS documents. Additionally provide a method during the electronic import of citations in CMS the ability for a PDF version of the citation to be referenced on the case and imported into EDMS.	B	Internal		X		

Raw	Max	Score
13	36	36%
0	1	
1	1	
1	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
1.0	General								
1.1	Bench Automation / Case Worksheet	Single screen interface to handle the majority of case processing. Judge or Hearing Officer can complete the disposition of most cases from a single screen.		X			1	1	
1.2	General Functions	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Party Maintenance, Case Forms and Reports, Schedule link, EDMS link, Alerts, Dispose Charges		X			1	1	
1.3	Hearing Officer	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Post and Forfeit, Cash Receipting, Adjust Fines and Fees, Add Time Payment Fees		X			1	1	
1.4	Bench (Judge or Commissioner)	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Sentencing Orders: Incarceration, Probation, Home Detention, Screening/Diversion, Other Programs, Community Restitution, Victim Restitution, Assess Fines and Fees, Release Order, Attorney assignment, Defendant declarations		X			1	1	
2.0	Appeal						0		
2.1	Stay (Fines/Fees and Orders)	Update fine and fee receivable status to indicate stayed condition. Update order status to stayed.	X				2	1	
2.2	Set/Lift	Ability to set and lift the stay at the case and charge level.	X				2	1	
2.3	Workflow	Manage appeal status and action due dates.	X				2	1	
3.0	Calendaring						0		

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
3.1	Courtroom	Ability to create and maintain courtroom sessions that incorporate appearance reason(s), judicial officer, and court type.	X				2	1	96%
3.2	Prosecutor	Prosecutor assignments and schedules are known (upload) and are considered when scheduling appearances.	X				2	1	
3.3	Public Defender	Public defender assignments and schedules are known (upload) and are considered when scheduling appearances.		X			1	1	
3.4	Police Officer	Officer schedules are known (upload) to the system and are considered when scheduling appearances.		X			1	1	
3.5	Auto-scheduling	Appearances are scheduled at case initiation. Appearances can also be scheduled based on event triggers such as non-compliance.	X				2	1	
3.6	Load Balancing	Schedule cases so that case load is spread evenly within each division and appearance reason.	X				2	1	
3.7	User override	User can override restrictions/balancing and force a case onto any calendar.	X				2	1	
3.8	Subpoena	System will generate subpoena documents as needed when hearings are scheduled/rescheduled.	X				2	1	
3.9	Schedule	Modify existing and create new scheduled appearance entries in the calendar	X				2	1	
4.0	Case Initiation						0		
4.1	eCitation	Accept electronic case filings as XML messages via MQ. Case types: Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint (ATTC), Parking, Light Rail, Long Form.	X				2	1	
4.2	Manual Entry	UI to support complaint entry. Case types: Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint (ATTC), Parking, Non-offence based cases (protective orders, vicious animal, interpleader).	X				2	1	
5.0	Miscellaneous						0		
5.1	Person Match	Systemically match added defendant party to existing system party.	X				2	1	
5.2	Address Match	Match added address record to existing address records.	X				2	1	
5.3	Party Maintenance	Add and update party information.	X				2	1	
5.4	eFile Motions, Notices, Correspondence, Appeals	Allow for the electronic filing of motions and other case-related correspondence. All document filings create an event and tickler that will appear in a work queue for user review and action.	X				2	1	
5.5	Manual Correspondence Entry	Manually enter a motion, notice, correspondence or appeal.	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score	
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A				
5.6	Work Queue	A queue of items requiring resolution organized by tickler/event type, due date, and case type.	X				2	1	96%	
5.7	Ticklers	Set a follow up date related to an event. Can be systemically created when an event record is created.	X				2	1		
5.8	Event	A record that memorializes an action taken by a user or the system	X				2	1		
5.9	Case Status	The system will derive the status of case. Users cannot directly edit the status of a case.	X				2	1		
5.10	Disposition Validation	The system will validate any change in charge disposition to ensure that it conforms to the overlay provided by MVD.	X				2	1		
5.11	Interpreter	Indicate when an interpreter is required for a case party		X			1	1		
5.12	Exhibit Tracking	Provide for the logging and tracking of items entered as exhibits for a trial	X				2	1		
5.13	Case Integrity Check	Batch process that ensures that all open cases have a future activity such as a hearing, tickler, warrant, or balance due. Non-compliance triggers a work queue tickler.	X				2	1		
5.14	Courtroom Assignment	All criminal cases are systemically assigned to a courtroom. The system can reassign a courtroom based on user request or event trigger.	X				2	1		
5.15	Case Consolidation	Two or more cases can be consolidated into a single case. Original case information memorialized.	X				2	1		
5.16	Alerts	Indicate juvenile, domestic violence, in-custody, warrant, default, etc.	X				2	1		
5.17	Attorney Assignment	Update attorney of record information, appoint public defender.	X				2	1		
5.18	Case Forms and Reports	Generate pre and post adjudication forms and reports related to the case.	X				2	1		
5.19	Defendant Declarations	Waive jury trial, waive counsel, plea agreement.	X				2	1		
5.20	EDMS	Link to the EDMS folder for the case - application call only. EDMS UI is a standalone application.		X			1	1		
6.0	Charge Maintenance						0			
6.1	Add	Ability to add a charge to a complaint.	X				2	1		
6.2	Amend	Ability to make changes to a charge while retaining historical and ordinal data.	X				2	1		
6.3	Dispose Charges	Set/change charge dispositions. Retain disposition history.	X				2	1		
7.0	Warrant						0			
7.1	Order	Create a warrant order for signature or QA review.	X				2	1		

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
7.2	Issue	Issue the ordered warrant to the police department and other enforcement agencies.	X				2	1	96%
7.3	Recall/Reissue/Quash	Recall the warrant from the police department and other enforcement agencies with reason information. Reissue the warrant with updated information – same party with recalled warrant with update demographics or bond terms. Quash warrant is a warrant recall with a reason of “Quash” and is a termination of the warrant.	X				2	1	
8.0	Bonds						0		
8.1	Set	Create a bond order.	X				2	1	
8.2	Forfeit	Disburse funds from a bond to specific debts.	X				2	1	
8.3	Exonerate	Order the bond funds to be returned to the bond payer.	X				2	1	
8.4	Tracking	Record bond holder, method of payment, date posted, date funds received, etc.	X				2	1	
9.0	Compliance Issue Processing						0		
9.1	Fail to Appear	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.2	Fail to Pay	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.3	Fail to Complete Order	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.4	Status Update	Systemic action based on compliance issue resolution.	X				2	1	
10.0	Reports						0		
10.1	On-Demand	Report generated and printed/displayed/filed on request.	X				2	1	
10.2	Demand Batch	Report generated as part of a packet of forms once the data for the entire packet is ready.	X				2	1	
10.3	Batch	Delayed/scheduled printing of forms.	X				2	1	
11.0	Time Payment Plans - Installment Plans						0		
11.1	Create	Create a plan for payment of a debt. Includes calculation of a payment schedule based on the number of installments and the amount owed. Can calculate number of installments based on a payment amount.	X				2	1	
11.2	Modify	Provide the ability to modify an existing payment plan.	X				2	1	
11.3	Balloon Payment	Allow for payment plans to have a balloon payment as the final installment. Installments not established for the duration of the plan.	X				2	1	
11.4	Add Time Payment Fees	Trigger process that calculates and applies time payment fees to a case.	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
12.0	Cash Receiving								
12.1	Party Level	Accept funds for all debts related to a party.	X						
12.2	Installment	Accept funds for a specific installment plan.	X						
12.3	Bond	Accept funds to satisfy a bond order.	X						
12.4	Post and Forfeit	Calculate the amount needed to satisfy a pre-adjudicated case if the defendant were to plead guilty on all outstanding charges, accept payment for the amount, dispose the charges, impose the fines and fees, and apply the funds to the fines and fees.	X						
13.0	Financials								
13.1	Case Level Enhancement Fees	Ability to apply an enhancement fee at the case level.	X						
13.2	Charge Level Enhancement Fees	Ability to apply an enhancement fee at the charge level.	X						
13.3	Presumptive Amounts	Store the amount that usually imposed for a statute.	X						
13.4	Mandatory Minimum Amounts	Store the statutorily required minimum fine amounts.	X						
13.5	Forward/Backward Calculation	Calculating the fees and secondary assessments based on a given total amount or the adjustment of a base fine.	X						
13.6	Fund Allocation	Store the algorithm to calculate how fine and fee amounts are allocated to their respective disbursement funds.	X						
13.7	Statute Based Fee Schedule	Relate a fee type and amount to a statute and statute qualifier (DV, DUI, Juvenile, etc.)	X						
13.8	Statute Based Fine Schedule	Relate a fine amount to a statute	X						
13.9	Fine Aging Multiplier	Fine increases based on age >7 days, > 30 days	X						
13.10	Graduated Base Fine Amounts	Fine increases based on range of recorded speed over posted speed +5 MPH, + 10 MPH, etc.	X						
13.11	Priority of Payment (POP)	Systemic apportion of fine and fee amounts to disbursement accounts by fund hierarchy.	X						
13.12	Non-monetary Payment	Utilize community service to pay off a debt. Reduce receivables in reverse order (POP).	X						
13.13	Non-case Payment	Accept payments for fees not related to a case fine or bond (recording fee, copy fee, etc.)	X						
13.14	Cash-drawer Reconciliation	Balance cash-drawer and roll up to bank deposit.	X						
13.15	End of Day processing	Memorialize day's transactions and account balances.	X						
14.0	Party Maintenance								
14.1	Change a party name	Edit party name with name history retained.	X						

Raw	Max	Score
178	186	96%
0		
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
0		
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
0		
2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?			
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A
14.2	Change party demographics	Edit height, weight, eye color, hair color, language, and origin. Use NCIC standard values.	X			
14.3	Add and change party address	Add or edit party addresses with address history retained. Support multiple address types.	X			
14.4	Change party identifiers	Add or edit drivers license, SSN, etc.	X			
14.5	Add Party	Add secondary parties to a case.	X			
15.0	Sentencing Orders					
15.1	Assess Fines and Fees	Includes the ability to modify the base fine or the assessed total, and to waive fees.	X			
15.2	Adjust Fines and Fees	Waive or reduce fines and fees.	X			
15.3	Create Release Order	Set conditions of release; boilerplate and freeform text.	X			
15.4	Order Community Restitution	Set and amend duration, due date	X			
15.5	Order Home Detention	Set and amend duration, fees.	X			
15.6	Order Incarceration	Set and amend duration, provider, fees, work furlough, weekends, flat time, time served, concurrency.	X			
15.7	Order Other Programs	Set and amend program, duration, provider, fees.	X			
15.8	Order Probation	Set and amend duration, provider, fees, terms.	X			
15.9	Order Screening/Diversion	Set and amend program, due date, fees.	X			
15.10	Order Victim Restitution	Set and amend the amount to be paid to the victim(s). Must support joint and several liabilities.	X			

Raw	Max	Score
178	186	96%
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
0		
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Infrastructure
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Proposed				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
1.0	Reports								
1.1	Reporting (SSRS preferred)	Ability to create a library of report templates that can be launched within the application or via batch processing.	X			2	2		
2.0	Forms								
2.1	Electronic Forms	The ability to produce dynamic case forms on demand and via batch processing.	X			2	2		
2.2	Signature capture	Capture and incorporate a defendant signature onto a dynamic form.	X			2	2		
2.3	Fingerprint capture	Capture and incorporate a defendant fingerprint onto a dynamic form.	X			2	2		
3.0	Financials								
3.1	Allocation	Allocation funds paid to AP using POP, Fee Schedule, and Fine Schedule.	X			2	2		
3.2	Disbursement	Request disbursements.	X			2	2		
3.3	Fund Management	Move funds from one case to another.		X		1	2		
4.0	eComplaint								
4.1	eComplaint Entry (PK, PE, ATTC, Long Form)	Create cases from electronic feeds from external systems (hand held devices, prosecutor systems, law enforcement systems),	X			2	2		
5.0	Security								
5.1	Role base user security	Judge, Clerk, Administrator, Supervisor, etc.	X			2	2		
5.2	Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)	Does Vendor's proposed system use secure sockets layer (SSL) protocol to encrypt data transfer?	X			2	2		
5.3	Auditing	Does Vendor's proposed system provide an audit trail that includes date, time, user and operation?	X			2	2		
5.4	Encryption	Social Security Numbers are encrypted when stored in the database as well as during data transmission.			X	0	2		
6.0	Environment								
6.1	MQ	Ability to send and receive data via MQ.	X			2	2		
6.2	Active Directory sign on	Ability to support network security to log into the application			X	0	2		
6.3	Server Requirements	List recommended (not minimum) hardware requirements (processor speed, memory, hard drive space, network interface speeds, etc.) for product installation or end user connectivity to your system.	X			2	2		
6.4	Database Server Requirements	List recommended database and version.	X			2	2		
						39	46	85%	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Infrastructure
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Proposed				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
6.5	Client Platform	Web browser or workstation software; Does Vendor's proposed solution support a browser interface without the help of additional components? If no, please describe.	X				2	2	85%
6.6	Workstation Requirements	Supported OS, Browser versions, and required installations.	X				2	2	
6.7	Storage	What capacity is recommended for the first five years of production use?	X				2	2	
6.8	Growth	Does Vendor's solution allow for growth and expansion of City storage? If yes, please provide detailed description.	X				2	2	
6.9	Backup/Recovery	Describe data backup and restore capabilities.	X				2	2	
6.9.1		1. Does Vendor's proposed solution have the ability to provide web analytics related to use of public web portal? If yes, please describe.			X		0	2	
6.9.2		3. What special technical skills or knowledge do you recommend to administer and support the proposed system?	X				2	2	
7.0	Hosted Solution								
7.1	Administration	How will Mesa's technical staff connect to your solution to provide administration and support to the system?					0	2	
7.2	Storage	What storage is provided to the City at implementation?					0	2	
7.3	Disaster Recovery	Describe the disaster recovery services provided to the City in the event the host site becomes inoperable or unavailable.					0	2	
7.4	Retention History	Does Vendor have a demonstrated retention track record of hosting city data five (5) or more years for cities whose populations are 100,000 or higher? If yes, please provide information for those cities in Appendix C, References.					0	2	
7.5	Termination of Use	If the services agreement is terminated, what methods are used and in what format will the City's data be returned?					0	2	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future/3rd Party	No	N/A			
1.0	MVD	Provide the ability to transmit disposition information to the Arizona Department of Motor Vehicles. Information includes person details, charges and charge dispositions.	O	AOC	X				27	36	75%
2.0	Defensive Driving School (DDS)	Provide the ability to receive DDS class registrations, reschedules, and completions into CMS and apply either a rescheduled Court Date or update a charge on a case with a dismissal disposition if the class was completed. Create a financial record on the case for the outstanding receivable owed to Court from the DDS for the completion of the class.	B	AOC	X				2	1	
3.0	FARE (Full)	Provide an interface to the Arizona's Administration of Office (AOC) FARE program. FARE requires updating of case informations such as person, charges and financial updates at all times. Allow for a request to recall a case from the FARE program.	B	AOC			X		0	1	
4.0	FARE (Collections Only)	Provide the ability to send data to the Arizona Supreme Court's FARE program. Data includes transmitting court cases with fines in the arrears. Provide the ability to maintain a status of a referred case to FARE.	B	AOC			X		0	1	
5.0	Collections (Non-FARE)	Provide the ability to refer cases in rears to a collection agency. Provide the ability to send and receive updates on cases from both Court and agency. Provide monthly reconciliation.	B	Agency	X				2	1	
6.0	Credit Bureau (Non-FARE)	Provide a monthly extract of case collection standings referred to the credit bureau.	B	Agency	X				2	1	
7.0	Debt Set-Off (DOR) (Non-FARE)	Provide the ability to interface with the Department of Revenue in order to transmitt person and case information related to outstanding monies owed to the Court. Provide the ability to receive notification of tax refunds available to the Court and for the Court to accept of decline intercepting the tax refund.	B	AOC \ DOR	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future/3rd Party	No	N/A			
8.0	Behavioral Health and Home Detention (BH & HD)	Provide the ability to transmit screening orders and modification of orders to agency. Provide the ability to receive and store agency program orders and order status' for reference by Court.	B	Agency	X				27	36	75%
9.0	Court Protective Order Repository (CPOR)	Provide the ability to transmit Protective Order data to the State of Arizona's Court Protective Order Repository (CPOR)	O	AOC		X			2	1	
10.0	Public Access (eCourt)	Provide the ability to allow the Public real time access to Case information, make payments and post bonds, reschedule civil traffic arraignments, and acknowledge photo enforcement citations.	B	Public	X				1	1	
11.0	Interactive Voice Recognition (IVR)	Provide the ability to allow Public real time access to Case information, make payments and post bonds, reschedule civil traffic arraignments, and acknowledge photo enforcement citations.	B	Public		X			2	1	
12.0	eFile	Provide the ability to receive electronic motions and filings.	O	Public	X				1	1	
13.0	Photo Enforcement	Provide the ability to receive photo enforcement citations and create court cases. Communicate with the agency the court case number and court arraignment date. Provide updates on case status to agency. Update case with process server information received from agency on court case.	B	Agency	X				2	1	
14.0	eCitation (Handheld Devices)	Provide the ability to receive citation data from a hosted site supporting the Police Officer Handheld devices.	O	Agency	X				2	1	
15.0	Prosecutor	Provide the ability to electronically receive Long Form filings from the Prosecutors and create Court Cases. Provide court information such as the case number and court dates to the Prosecutors. Provide daily updates from Court to Prosecutors for the following data: court dates, court filings, citation based criminal cases.	B	Agency		X			1	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
Themis**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
16.0	Police	Provide electronic warrants, finger print requests and subpoenas to the Police Department. Receive and store police officer schedules to be used during the scheduling of court cases.	B	Agency	X				27	36	75%
17.0	Public Defender	Provide the ability to receive and store Public Defender Calendars for use during court case scheduling. Provided Calendars to the Public Defenders.	B	Agency		X			2	1	
18.0	Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS)	Provide the ability to interface with the DPS Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) in order to communicate dispositions related to filed criminal charges.	O	Agency	X				1	1	
19.0	EDMS Integration (FileNet)	Provide integration with the City owned EDMS solution. The application must be able to display from within the case, the stored case related EDMS documents. Additionally provide a method during the electronic import of citations in CMS the ability for a PDF version of the citation to be referenced on the case and imported into EDMS.	B	Internal		X			2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
1.0	General								
1.1	Bench Automation / Case Worksheet	Single screen interface to handle the majority of case processing. Judge or Hearing Officer can complete the disposition of most cases from a single screen.	X				2	1	
1.2	General Functions	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Party Maintenance, Case Forms and Reports, Schedule link, EDMS link, Alerts, Dispose Charges	X				2	1	
1.3	Hearing Officer	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Post and Forfeit, Cash Receipting, Adjust Fines and Fees, Add Time Payment Fees	X				2	1	
1.4	Bench (Judge or Commissioner)	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Sentencing Orders: Incarceration, Probation, Home Detention, Screening/Diversion, Other Programs, Community Restitution, Victim Restitution, Assess Fines and Fees, Release Order, Attorney assignment, Defendant declarations	X				2	1	
2.0	Appeal						0		
2.1	Stay (Fines/Fees and Orders)	Update fine and fee receivable status to indicate stayed condition. Update order status to stayed.	X				2	1	
2.2	Set/Lift	Ability to set and lift the stay at the case and charge level.	X				2	1	
2.3	Workflow	Manage appeal status and action due dates.	X				2	1	
3.0	Calendaring						0		

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	185	186	99%
3.1	Courtroom	Ability to create and maintain courtroom sessions that incorporate appearance reason(s), judicial officer, and court type.	X				2	1	
3.2	Prosecutor	Prosecutor assignments and schedules are known (upload) and are considered when scheduling appearances.	X				2	1	
3.3	Public Defender	Public defender assignments and schedules are known (upload) and are considered when scheduling appearances.	X				2	1	
3.4	Police Officer	Officer schedules are known (upload) to the system and are considered when scheduling appearances.	X				2	1	
3.5	Auto-scheduling	Appearances are scheduled at case initiation. Appearances can also be scheduled based on event triggers such as non-compliance.	X				2	1	
3.6	Load Balancing	Schedule cases so that case load is spread evenly within each division and appearance reason.	X				2	1	
3.7	User override	User can override restrictions/balancing and force a case onto any calendar.	X				2	1	
3.8	Subpoena	System will generate subpoena documents as needed when hearings are scheduled/rescheduled.	X				2	1	
3.9	Schedule	Modify existing and create new scheduled appearance entries in the calendar	X				2	1	
4.0	Case Initiation						0		
4.1	eCitation	Accept electronic case filings as XML messages via MQ. Case types: Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint (ATTC), Parking, Light Rail, Long Form.		X			1	1	
4.2	Manual Entry	UI to support complaint entry. Case types: Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint (ATTC), Parking, Non-offence based cases (protective orders, vicious animal, interpleader).	X				2	1	
5.0	Miscellaneous						0		
5.1	Person Match	Systemically match added defendant party to existing system party.	X				2	1	
5.2	Address Match	Match added address record to existing address records.	X				2	1	
5.3	Party Maintenance	Add and update party information.	X				2	1	
5.4	eFile Motions, Notices, Correspondence, Appeals	Allow for the electronic filing of motions and other case-related correspondence. All document filings create an event and tickler that will appear in a work queue for user review and action.	X				2	1	
5.5	Manual Correspondence Entry	Manually enter a motion, notice, correspondence or appeal.	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	185	186	99%
5.6	Work Queue	A queue of items requiring resolution organized by tickler/event type, due date, and case type.	X				2	1	
5.7	Ticklers	Set a follow up date related to an event. Can be systemically created when an event record is created.	X				2	1	
5.8	Event	A record that memorializes an action taken by a user or the system	X				2	1	
5.9	Case Status	The system will derive the status of case. Users cannot directly edit the status of a case.	X				2	1	
5.10	Disposition Validation	The system will validate any change in charge disposition to ensure that it conforms to the overlay provided by MVD.	X				2	1	
5.11	Interpreter	Indicate when an interpreter is required for a case party	X				2	1	
5.12	Exhibit Tracking	Provide for the logging and tracking of items entered as exhibits for a trial	X				2	1	
5.13	Case Integrity Check	Batch process that ensures that all open cases have a future activity such as a hearing, tickler, warrant, or balance due. Non-compliance triggers a work queue tickler.	X				2	1	
5.14	Courtroom Assignment	All criminal cases are systemically assigned to a courtroom. The system can reassign a courtroom based on user request or event trigger.	X				2	1	
5.15	Case Consolidation	Two or more cases can be consolidated into a single case. Original case information memorialized.	X				2	1	
5.16	Alerts	Indicate juvenile, domestic violence, in-custody, warrant, default, etc.	X				2	1	
5.17	Attorney Assignment	Update attorney of record information, appoint public defender.	X				2	1	
5.18	Case Forms and Reports	Generate pre and post adjudication forms and reports related to the case.	X				2	1	
5.19	Defendant Declarations	Waive jury trial, waive counsel, plea agreement.	X				2	1	
5.20	EDMS	Link to the EDMS folder for the case - application call only. EDMS UI is a standalone application.	X				2	1	
6.0	Charge Maintenance						0		
6.1	Add	Ability to add a charge to a complaint.	X				2	1	
6.2	Amend	Ability to make changes to a charge while retaining historical and ordinal data.	X				2	1	
6.3	Dispose Charges	Set/change charge dispositions. Retain disposition history.	X				2	1	
7.0	Warrant						0		
7.1	Order	Create a warrant order for signature or QA review.	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	185	186	99%
7.2	Issue	Issue the ordered warrant to the police department and other enforcement agencies.	X				2	1	
7.3	Recall/Reissue/Quash	Recall the warrant from the police department and other enforcement agencies with reason information. Reissue the warrant with updated information – same party with recalled warrant with update demographics or bond terms. Quash warrant is a warrant recall with a reason of “Quash” and is a termination of the warrant.	X				2	1	
8.0	Bonds						0		
8.1	Set	Create a bond order.	X				2	1	
8.2	Forfeit	Disburse funds from a bond to specific debts.	X				2	1	
8.3	Exonerate	Order the bond funds to be returned to the bond payer.	X				2	1	
8.4	Tracking	Record bond holder, method of payment, date posted, date funds received, etc.	X				2	1	
9.0	Compliance Issue Processing						0		
9.1	Fail to Appear	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.2	Fail to Pay	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.3	Fail to Complete Order	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.4	Status Update	Systemic action based on compliance issue resolution.	X				2	1	
10.0	Reports						0		
10.1	On-Demand	Report generated and printed/displayed/filed on request.	X				2	1	
10.2	Demand Batch	Report generated as part of a packet of forms once the data for the entire packet is ready.	X				2	1	
10.3	Batch	Delayed/scheduled printing of forms.	X				2	1	
11.0	Time Payment Plans - Installment Plans						0		
11.1	Create	Create a plan for payment of a debt. Includes calculation of a payment schedule based on the number of installments and the amount owed. Can calculate number of installments based on a payment amount.	X				2	1	
11.2	Modify	Provide the ability to modify an existing payment plan.	X				2	1	
11.3	Balloon Payment	Allow for payment plans to have a balloon payment as the final installment. Installments not established for the duration of the plan.	X				2	1	
11.4	Add Time Payment Fees	Trigger process that calculates and applies time payment fees to a case.	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
12.0	Cash Receiving								
12.1	Party Level	Accept funds for all debts related to a party.	X						
12.2	Installment	Accept funds for a specific installment plan.	X						
12.3	Bond	Accept funds to satisfy a bond order.	X						
12.4	Post and Forfeit	Calculate the amount needed to satisfy a pre-adjudicated case if the defendant were to plead guilty on all outstanding charges, accept payment for the amount, dispose the charges, impose the fines and fees, and apply the funds to the fines and fees.	X						
13.0	Financials								
13.1	Case Level Enhancement Fees	Ability to apply an enhancement fee at the case level.	X						
13.2	Charge Level Enhancement Fees	Ability to apply an enhancement fee at the charge level.	X						
13.3	Presumptive Amounts	Store the amount that usually imposed for a statute.	X						
13.4	Mandatory Minimum Amounts	Store the statutorily required minimum fine amounts.	X						
13.5	Forward/Backward Calculation	Calculating the fees and secondary assessments based on a given total amount or the adjustment of a base fine.	X						
13.6	Fund Allocation	Store the algorithm to calculate how fine and fee amounts are allocated to their respective disbursement funds.	X						
13.7	Statute Based Fee Schedule	Relate a fee type and amount to a statute and statute qualifier (DV, DUI, Juvenile, etc.)	X						
13.8	Statute Based Fine Schedule	Relate a fine amount to a statute	X						
13.9	Fine Aging Multiplier	Fine increases based on age >7 days, > 30 days	X						
13.10	Graduated Base Fine Amounts	Fine increases based on range of recorded speed over posted speed +5 MPH, + 10 MPH, etc.	X						
13.11	Priority of Payment (POP)	Systemic apportion of fine and fee amounts to disbursement accounts by fund hierarchy.	X						
13.12	Non-monetary Payment	Utilize community service to pay off a debt. Reduce receivables in reverse order (POP).	X						
13.13	Non-case Payment	Accept payments for fees not related to a case fine or bond (recording fee, copy fee, etc.)	X						
13.14	Cash-drawer Reconciliation	Balance cash-drawer and roll up to bank deposit.	X						
13.15	End of Day processing	Memorialize day's transactions and account balances.	X						
14.0	Party Maintenance								
14.1	Change a party name	Edit party name with name history retained.	X						

Raw	Max	Score
185	186	99%
0		
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
0		
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
0		
2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?			
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A
14.2	Change party demographics	Edit height, weight, eye color, hair color, language, and origin. Use NCIC standard values.	X			
14.3	Add and change party address	Add or edit party addresses with address history retained. Support multiple address types.	X			
14.4	Change party identifiers	Add or edit drivers license, SSN, etc.	X			
14.5	Add Party	Add secondary parties to a case.	X			
15.0	Sentencing Orders					
15.1	Assess Fines and Fees	Includes the ability to modify the base fine or the assessed total, and to waive fees.	X			
15.2	Adjust Fines and Fees	Waive or reduce fines and fees.	X			
15.3	Create Release Order	Set conditions of release; boilerplate and freeform text.	X			
15.4	Order Community Restitution	Set and amend duration, due date	X			
15.5	Order Home Detention	Set and amend duration, fees.	X			
15.6	Order Incarceration	Set and amend duration, provider, fees, work furlough, weekends, flat time, time served, concurrency.	X			
15.7	Order Other Programs	Set and amend program, duration, provider, fees.	X			
15.8	Order Probation	Set and amend duration, provider, fees, terms.	X			
15.9	Order Screening/Diversion	Set and amend program, due date, fees.	X			
15.10	Order Victim Restitution	Set and amend the amount to be paid to the victim(s). Must support joint and several liabilities.	X			

Raw	Max	Score
185	186	99%
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
0		
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Infrastructure
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Proposed			
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A
6.5	Client Platform	Web browser or workstation software; Does Vendor's proposed solution support a browser interface without the help of additional components? If no, please describe.	X			
6.6	Workstation Requirements	Supported OS, Browser versions, and required installations.	X			
6.7	Storage	What capacity is recommended for the first five years of production use?	X			
6.8	Growth	Does Vendor's solution allow for growth and expansion of City storage? If yes, please provide detailed description.	X			
6.9	Backup/Recovery	Describe data backup and restore capabilities.	X			
6.9.1		1. Does Vendor's proposed solution have the ability to provide web analytics related to use of public web portal? If yes, please describe.	X			
6.9.2		3. What special technical skills or knowledge do you recommend to administer and support the proposed system?	X			
7.0	Hosted Solution					
7.1	Administration	How will Mesa's technical staff connect to your solution to provide administration and support to the system?	X			
7.2	Storage	What storage is provided to the City at implementation?	X			
7.3	Disaster Recovery	Describe the disaster recovery services provided to the City in the event the host site becomes inoperable or unavailable.	X			
7.4	Retention History	Does Vendor have a demonstrated retention track record of hosting city data five (5) or more years for cities whose populations are 100,000 or higher? If yes, please provide information for those cities in Appendix C, References.	X			
7.5	Termination of Use	If the services agreement is terminated, what methods are used and in what format will the City's data be returned?	X			

Raw	Max	Score
44	46	96%
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
0		
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future / 3rd Party	No	N/A			
1.0	MVD	Provide the ability to transmit disposition information to the Arizona Department of Motor Vehicles. Information includes person details, charges and charge dispositions.	O	AOC		X			1	1	58%
2.0	Defensive Driving School (DDS)	Provide the ability to receive DDS class registrations, reschedules, and completions into CMS and apply either a rescheduled Court Date or update a charge on a case with a dismissal disposition if the class was completed. Create a financial record on the case for the outstanding receivable owed to Court from the DDS for the completion of the class.	B	AOC		X			1	1	
3.0	FARE (Full)	Provide an interface to the Arizona's Administration of Office (AOC) FARE program. FARE requires updating of case informations such as person, charges and financial updates at all times. Allow for a request to recall a case from the FARE program.	B	AOC		X			1	1	
4.0	FARE (Collections Only)	Provide the ability to send data to the Arizona Supreme Court's FARE program. Data includes transmitting court cases with fines in the arrears. Provide the ability to maintain a status of a referred case to FARE.	B	AOC		X			1	1	
5.0	Collections (Non-FARE)	Provide the ability to refer cases in rears to a collection agency. Provide the ability to send and receive updates on cases from both Court and agency. Provide monthly reconciliation.	B	Agency		X			1	1	
6.0	Credit Bureau (Non-FARE)	Provide a monthly extract of case collection standings referred to the credit bureau.	B	Agency		X			1	1	
7.0	Debt Set-Off (DOR) (Non-FARE)	Provide the ability to interface with the Department of Revenue in order to transmitt person and case information related to outstanding monies owed to the Court. Provide the ability to receive notification of tax refunds available to the Court and for the Court to accept of decline intercepting the tax refund.	B	AOC \ DOR		X			1	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future / 3rd Party	No	N/A			
8.0	Behavioral Health and Home Detention (BH & HD)	Provide the ability to transmit screening orders and modification of orders to agency. Provide the ability to receive and store agency program orders and order status' for reference by Court.	B	Agency		X			1	1	58%
9.0	Court Protective Order Repository (CPOR)	Provide the ability to transmit Protective Order data to the State of Arizona's Court Protective Order Repository (CPOR)	O	AOC		X			1	1	
10.0	Public Access (eCourt)	Provide the ability to allow the Public real time access to Case information, make payments and post bonds, reschedule civil traffic arraignments, and acknowledge photo enforcement citations.	B	Public	X				2	1	
11.0	Interactive Voice Recognition (IVR)	Provide the ability to allow Public real time access to Case information, make payments and post bonds, reschedule civil traffic arraignments, and acknowledge photo enforcement citations.	B	Public		X			1	1	
12.0	eFile	Provide the ability to receive electronic motions and filings.	O	Public	X				2	1	
13.0	Photo Enforcement	Provide the ability to receive photo enforcement citations and create court cases. Communicate with the agency the court case number and court arraignment date. Provide updates on case status to agency. Update case with process server information received from agency on court case.	B	Agency		X			1	1	
14.0	eCitation (Handheld Devices)	Provide the ability to receive citation data from a hosted site supporting the Police Officer Handheld devices.	O	Agency		X			1	1	
15.0	Prosecutor	Provide the ability to electronically receive Long Form filings from the Prosecutors and create Court Cases. Provide court information such as the case number and court dates to the Prosecutors. Provide daily updates from Court to Prosecutors for the following data: court dates, court filings, citation based criminal cases.	B	Agency		X			1	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
New Dawn**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future / 3rd Party	No	N/A			
16.0	Police	Provide electronic warrants, finger print requests and subpoenas to the Police Department. Receive and store police officer schedules to be used during the scheduling of court cases.	B	Agency		X			1	1	58%
17.0	Public Defender	Provide the ability to receive and store Public Defender Calendars for use during court case scheduling. Provided Calendars to the Public Defenders.	B	Agency		X			1	1	
18.0	Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS)	Provide the ability to interface with the DPS Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) in order to communicate dispositions related to filed criminal charges.	O	Agency		X			1	1	
19.0	EDMS Integration (FileNet)	Provide integration with the City owned EDMS solution. The application must be able to display from within the case, the stored case related EDMS documents. Additionally provide a method during the electronic import of citations in CMS the ability for a PDF version of the citation to be referenced on the case and imported into EDMS.	B	Internal	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	164	186	88%
1.0	General								
1.1	Bench Automation / Case Worksheet	Single screen interface to handle the majority of case processing. Judge or Hearing Officer can complete the disposition of most cases from a single screen.	X				2	1	
1.2	General Functions	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Party Maintenance, Case Forms and Reports, Schedule link, EDMS link, Alerts, Dispose Charges	X				2	1	
1.3	Hearing Officer	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Post and Forfeit, Cash Receipting, Adjust Fines and Fees, Add Time Payment Fees	X				2	1	
1.4	Bench (Judge or Commissioner)	Provide a consolidation of these functions as described below. Sentencing Orders: Incarceration, Probation, Home Detention, Screening/Diversion, Other Programs, Community Restitution, Victim Restitution, Assess Fines and Fees, Release Order, Attorney assignment, Defendant declarations			X		0	1	
2.0	Appeal						0		
2.1	Stay (Fines/Fees and Orders)	Update fine and fee receivable status to indicate stayed condition. Update order status to stayed.	X				2	1	
2.2	Set/Lift	Ability to set and lift the stay at the case and charge level.	X				2	1	
2.3	Workflow	Manage appeal status and action due dates.	X				2	1	
3.0	Calendaring						0		

Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Tyler

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	164	186	88%
3.1	Courtroom	Ability to create and maintain courtroom sessions that incorporate appearance reason(s), judicial officer, and court type.	X				2	1	
3.2	Prosecutor	Prosecutor assignments and schedules are known (upload) and are considered when scheduling appearances.			X		0	1	
3.3	Public Defender	Public defender assignments and schedules are known (upload) and are considered when scheduling appearances.			X		0	1	
3.4	Police Officer	Officer schedules are known (upload) to the system and are considered when scheduling appearances.	X				2	1	
3.5	Auto-scheduling	Appearances are scheduled at case initiation. Appearances can also be scheduled based on event triggers such as non-compliance.	X				2	1	
3.6	Load Balancing	Schedule cases so that case load is spread evenly within each division and appearance reason.	X				2	1	
3.7	User override	User can override restrictions/balancing and force a case onto any calendar.	X				2	1	
3.8	Subpoena	System will generate subpoena documents as needed when hearings are scheduled/rescheduled.	X				2	1	
3.9	Schedule	Modify existing and create new scheduled appearance entries in the calendar	X				2	1	
4.0	Case Initiation						0		
4.1	eCitation	Accept electronic case filings as XML messages via MQ. Case types: Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint (ATTC), Parking, Light Rail, Long Form.		X			1	1	
4.2	Manual Entry	UI to support complaint entry. Case types: Arizona Traffic Ticket and Complaint (ATTC), Parking, Non-offence based cases (protective orders, vicious animal, interpleader).		X			1	1	
5.0	Miscellaneous						0		
5.1	Person Match	Systemically match added defendant party to existing system party.	X				2	1	
5.2	Address Match	Match added address record to existing address records.	X				2	1	
5.3	Party Maintenance	Add and update party information.	X				2	1	
5.4	eFile Motions, Notices, Correspondence, Appeals	Allow for the electronic filing of motions and other case-related correspondence. All document filings create an event and tickler that will appear in a work queue for user review and action.		X			1	1	
5.5	Manual Correspondence Entry	Manually enter a motion, notice, correspondence or appeal.	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	164	186	88%
5.6	Work Queue	A queue of items requiring resolution organized by tickler/event type, due date, and case type.	X				2	1	
5.7	Ticklers	Set a follow up date related to an event. Can be systemically created when an event record is created.	X				2	1	
5.8	Event	A record that memorializes an action taken by a user or the system	X				2	1	
5.9	Case Status	The system will derive the status of case. Users cannot directly edit the status of a case.	X				2	1	
5.10	Disposition Validation	The system will validate any change in charge disposition to ensure that it conforms to the overlay provided by MVD.	X				2	1	
5.11	Interpreter	Indicate when an interpreter is required for a case party	X				2	1	
5.12	Exhibit Tracking	Provide for the logging and tracking of items entered as exhibits for a trial			X		0	1	
5.13	Case Integrity Check	Batch process that ensures that all open cases have a future activity such as a hearing, tickler, warrant, or balance due. Non-compliance triggers a work queue tickler.	X				2	1	
5.14	Courtroom Assignment	All criminal cases are systemically assigned to a courtroom. The system can reassign a courtroom based on user request or event trigger.	X				2	1	
5.15	Case Consolidation	Two or more cases can be consolidated into a single case. Original case information memorialized.			X		0	1	
5.16	Alerts	Indicate juvenile, domestic violence, in-custody, warrant, default, etc.	X				2	1	
5.17	Attorney Assignment	Update attorney of record information, appoint public defender.	X				2	1	
5.18	Case Forms and Reports	Generate pre and post adjudication forms and reports related to the case.	X				2	1	
5.19	Defendant Declarations	Waive jury trial, waive counsel, plea agreement.	X				2	1	
5.20	EDMS	Link to the EDMS folder for the case - application call only. EDMS UI is a standalone application.		X			1	1	
6.0	Charge Maintenance						0		
6.1	Add	Ability to add a charge to a complaint.	X				2	1	
6.2	Amend	Ability to make changes to a charge while retaining historical and ordinal data.	X				2	1	
6.3	Dispose Charges	Set/change charge dispositions. Retain disposition history.	X				2	1	
7.0	Warrant						0		
7.1	Order	Create a warrant order for signature or QA review.	X				2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	164	186	88%
7.2	Issue	Issue the ordered warrant to the police department and other enforcement agencies.	X				2	1	
7.3	Recall/Reissue/Quash	Recall the warrant from the police department and other enforcement agencies with reason information. Reissue the warrant with updated information – same party with recalled warrant with update demographics or bond terms. Quash warrant is a warrant recall with a reason of “Quash” and is a termination of the warrant.	X				2	1	
8.0	Bonds						0		
8.1	Set	Create a bond order.	X				2	1	
8.2	Forfeit	Disburse funds from a bond to specific debts.	X				2	1	
8.3	Exonerate	Order the bond funds to be returned to the bond payer.	X				2	1	
8.4	Tracking	Record bond holder, method of payment, date posted, date funds received, etc.	X				2	1	
9.0	Compliance Issue Processing						0		
9.1	Fail to Appear	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.2	Fail to Pay	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.3	Fail to Complete Order	Systemic action based on case type.	X				2	1	
9.4	Status Update	Systemic action based on compliance issue resolution.	X				2	1	
10.0	Reports						0		
10.1	On-Demand	Report generated and printed/displayed/filed on request.	X				2	1	
10.2	Demand Batch	Report generated as part of a packet of forms once the data for the entire packet is ready.			X		0	1	
10.3	Batch	Delayed/scheduled printing of forms.			X		0	1	
11.0	Time Payment Plans - Installment Plans						0		
11.1	Create	Create a plan for payment of a debt. Includes calculation of a payment schedule based on the number of installments and the amount owed. Can calculate number of installments based on a payment amount.	X				2	1	
11.2	Modify	Provide the ability to modify an existing payment plan.	X				2	1	
11.3	Balloon Payment	Allow for payment plans to have a balloon payment as the final installment. Installments not established for the duration of the plan.			X		0	1	
11.4	Add Time Payment Fees	Trigger process that calculates and applies time payment fees to a case.	X				2	1	

Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Tyler

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	164	186	88%
12.0	Cash Receiving								
12.1	Party Level	Accept funds for all debts related to a party.	X						
12.2	Installment	Accept funds for a specific installment plan.	X						
12.3	Bond	Accept funds to satisfy a bond order.	X						
12.4	Post and Forfeit	Calculate the amount needed to satisfy a pre-adjudicated case if the defendant were to plead guilty on all outstanding charges, accept payment for the amount, dispose the charges, impose the fines and fees, and apply the funds to the fines and fees.	X						
13.0	Financials								
13.1	Case Level Enhancement Fees	Ability to apply an enhancement fee at the case level.	X						
13.2	Charge Level Enhancement Fees	Ability to apply an enhancement fee at the charge level.	X						
13.3	Presumptive Amounts	Store the amount that usually imposed for a statute.	X						
13.4	Mandatory Minimum Amounts	Store the statutorily required minimum fine amounts.	X						
13.5	Forward/Backward Calculation	Calculating the fees and secondary assessments based on a given total amount or the adjustment of a base fine.	X						
13.6	Fund Allocation	Store the algorithm to calculate how fine and fee amounts are allocated to their respective disbursement funds.	X						
13.7	Statute Based Fee Schedule	Relate a fee type and amount to a statute and statute qualifier (DV, DUI, Juvenile, etc.)	X						
13.8	Statute Based Fine Schedule	Relate a fine amount to a statute	X						
13.9	Fine Aging Multiplier	Fine increases based on age >7 days, > 30 days	X						
13.10	Graduated Base Fine Amounts	Fine increases based on range of recorded speed over posted speed +5 MPH, + 10 MPH, etc.	X						
13.11	Priority of Payment (POP)	Systemic apportion of fine and fee amounts to disbursement accounts by fund hierarchy.	X						
13.12	Non-monetary Payment	Utilize community service to pay off a debt. Reduce receivables in reverse order (POP).	X						
13.13	Non-case Payment	Accept payments for fees not related to a case fine or bond (recording fee, copy fee, etc.)	X						
13.14	Cash-drawer Reconciliation	Balance cash-drawer and roll up to bank deposit.	X						
13.15	End of Day processing	Memorialize day's transactions and account balances.	X						
14.0	Party Maintenance								
14.1	Change a party name	Edit party name with name history retained.	X						

Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Functionality
Tyler

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Solution?			
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A
14.2	Change party demographics	Edit height, weight, eye color, hair color, language, and origin. Use NCIC standard values.	X			
14.3	Add and change party address	Add or edit party addresses with address history retained. Support multiple address types.	X			
14.4	Change party identifiers	Add or edit drivers license, SSN, etc.	X			
14.5	Add Party	Add secondary parties to a case.			X	
15.0	Sentencing Orders					
15.1	Assess Fines and Fees	Includes the ability to modify the base fine or the assessed total, and to waive fees.	X			
15.2	Adjust Fines and Fees	Waive or reduce fines and fees.	X			
15.3	Create Release Order	Set conditions of release; boilerplate and freeform text.	X			
15.4	Order Community Restitution	Set and amend duration, due date	X			
15.5	Order Home Detention	Set and amend duration, fees.	X			
15.6	Order Incarceration	Set and amend duration, provider, fees, work furlough, weekends, flat time, time served, concurrency.	X			
15.7	Order Other Programs	Set and amend program, duration, provider, fees.	X			
15.8	Order Probation	Set and amend duration, provider, fees, terms.	X			
15.9	Order Screening/Diversion	Set and amend program, due date, fees.	X			
15.10	Order Victim Restitution	Set and amend the amount to be paid to the victim(s). Must support joint and several liabilities.	X			

Raw	Max	Score
164	186	88%
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
0	1	
0		
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	
2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Infrastructure
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Proposed				Raw	Max	Score
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A			
1.0	Reports								
1.1	Reporting (SSRS preferred)	Ability to create a library of report templates that can be launched within the application or via batch processing.		X			1	2	
2.0	Forms								
2.1	Electronic Forms	The ability to produce dynamic case forms on demand and via batch processing.	X				2	2	
2.2	Signature capture	Capture and incorporate a defendant signature onto a dynamic form.	X				2	2	
2.3	Fingerprint capture	Capture and incorporate a defendant fingerprint onto a dynamic form.	X				2	2	
3.0	Financials								
3.1	Allocation	Allocation funds paid to AP using POP, Fee Schedule, and Fine Schedule.	X				2	2	
3.2	Disbursement	Request disbursements.	X				2	2	
3.3	Fund Management	Move funds from one case to another.	X				2	2	
4.0	eComplaint								
4.1	eComplaint Entry (PK, PE, ATTC, Long Form)	Create cases from electronic feeds from external systems (hand held devices, prosecutor systems, law enforcement systems),	X				2	2	
5.0	Security								
5.1	Role base user security	Judge, Clerk, Administrator, Supervisor, etc.	X				2	2	
5.2	Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)	Does Vendor's proposed system use secure sockets layer (SSL) protocol to encrypt data transfer?	X				2	2	
5.3	Auditing	Does Vendor's proposed system provide an audit trail that includes date, time, user and operation?	X				2	2	
5.4	Encryption	Social Security Numbers are encrypted when stored in the database as well as during data transmission.			X		0	2	
6.0	Environment								
6.1	MQ	Ability to send and receive data via MQ.			X		0	2	
6.2	Active Directory sign on	Ability to support network security to log into the application	X				2	2	
6.3	Server Requirements	List recommended (not minimum) hardware requirements (processor speed, memory, hard drive space, network interface speeds, etc.) for product installation or end user connectivity to your system.	X				2	2	
6.4	Database Server Requirements	List recommended database and version.	X				2	2	
							41	46	89%

Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Infrastructure
Tyler

Item #	Item Name	Description	Included in Proposed			
			Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A
6.5	Client Platform	Web browser or workstation software; Does Vendor's proposed solution support a browser interface without the help of additional components? If no, please describe.	X			
6.6	Workstation Requirements	Supported OS, Browser versions, and required installations.	X			
6.7	Storage	What capacity is recommended for the first five years of production use?	X			
6.8	Growth	Does Vendor's solution allow for growth and expansion of City storage? If yes, please provide detailed description.	X			
6.9	Backup/Recovery	Describe data backup and restore capabilities.	X			
6.9.1		1. Does Vendor's proposed solution have the ability to provide web analytics related to use of public web portal? If yes, please describe.	X			
6.9.2		3. What special technical skills or knowledge do you recommend to administer and support the proposed system?	X			
7.0	Hosted Solution					
7.1	Administration	How will Mesa's technical staff connect to your solution to provide administration and support to the system?	X			
7.2	Storage	What storage is provided to the City at implementation?	X			
7.3	Disaster Recovery	Describe the disaster recovery services provided to the City in the event the host site becomes inoperable or unavailable.	X			
7.4	Retention History	Does Vendor have a demonstrated retention track record of hosting city data five (5) or more years for cities whose populations are 100,000 or higher? If yes, please provide information for those cities in Appendix C, References.	X			
7.5	Termination of Use	If the services agreement is terminated, what methods are used and in what format will the City's data be returned?	X			

Raw	Max	Score
41	46	89%
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
0		
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	
2	2	

*Tyler has provided recommended hardware as well as hosting information in the Hardware & Technical section of this response.

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				NOTES	Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A		36	36	100%
1.0	MVD	Provide the ability to transmit disposition information to the Arizona Department of Motor Vehicles. Information includes person details, charges and charge dispositions.	O	AOC	X					2	1	
2.0	Defensive Driving School (DDS)	Provide the ability to receive DDS class registrations, reschedules, and completions into CMS and apply either a rescheduled Court Date or update a charge on a case with a dismissal disposition if the class was completed. Create a financial record on the case for the outstanding recievable owed to Court from the DDS for the completion of the class.	B	AOC	X	X			Acceptance of completions included. Creation of AR records not included but can be discussed.	2	1	
3.0	FARE (Full)	Provide an interface to the Arizona's Administration of Office (AOC) FARE program. FARE requires updating of case informations such as person, charges and financial updates at all times. Allow for a request to recall a case from the FARE program.	B	AOC	X				It's Tyler's understanding that Mesa doesn't do FULL yet but desires to. We can assist with this process.	2	1	
4.0	FARE (Collections Only)	Provide the ability to send data to the Arizona Supreme Court's FARE program. Data includes transmitting court cases with fines in the arrears. Provide the ability to maintain a status of a referred case to FARE.	B	AOC	X					2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				NOTES	Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A		36	36	100%
5.0	Collections (Non-FARE)	Provide the ability to refer cases in rears to a collection agency. Provide the ability to send and receive updates on cases from both Court and agency. Provide monthly reconciliation.	B	Agency	X				Tyler has a collections agency interface that will be leveraged.	2	1	
6.0	Credit Bureau (Non-FARE)	Provide a monthly extract of case collection standings referred to the credit bureau.	B	Agency	X					2	1	
7.0	Debt Set-Off (DOR) (Non-FARE)	Provide the ability to interface with the Department of Revenue in order to transmitt person and case information related to outstanding monies owed to the Court. Provide the ability to receive notification of tax refunds available to the Court and for the Court to accept of decline intercepting the tax refund.	B	AOC \ DOR	X					2	1	
8.0	Behavioral Health and Home Detention (BH & HD)	Provide the ability to transmit screening orders and modification of orders to agency. Provide the ability to receive and store agency program orders and order status' for reference by Court.	B	Agency	X	X			Incode can store programs and status, but an interface isn't available at this time. However, it can be created. Tyler needs more discussion to understand the scope.	2	1	
9.0	Court Protective Order Repository (CPOR)	Provide the ability to transmit Protective Order data to the State of Arizona's Court Protective Order Repository (CPOR)	O	AOC	X					2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				NOTES	Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A		36	36	100%
10.0	Public Access (eCourt)	Provide the ability to allow the Public real time access to Case information, make payments and post bonds, reschedule civil traffic arraignments, and acknowledge photo enforcement citations.	B	Public	X	X			Bond payments, rescheduling arraignments, and acknowledging photo citations isn't included.	2	1	
11.0	Interactive Voice Recognition (IVR)	Provide the ability to allow Public real time access to Case information, make payments and post bonds, reschedule civil traffic arraignments, and acknowledge photo enforcement citations.	B	Public	X	X			Bond payments, rescheduling arraignments, and acknowledging photo citations isn't included.	2	1	
12.0	eFile	Provide the ability to receive electronic motions and filings.	O	Public	X					2	1	
13.0	Photo Enforcement	Provide the ability to receive photo enforcement citations and create court cases. Communicate with the agency the court case number and court arraignment date. Provide updates on case status to agency. Update case with process server information received from agency on court case.	B	Agency	X				Tyler can update case number and final disposition to the photo agency (presumably RedFlex).	2	1	
14.0	eCitation (Handheld Devices)	Provide the ability to receive citation data from a hosted site supporting the Police Officer Handheld devices.	O	Agency	X					2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				NOTES	Raw	Max	Score
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A		36	36	100%
15.0	Prosecutor	Provide the ability to electronically receive Long Form filings from the Prosecutors and create Court Cases. Provide court information such as the case number and court dates to the Prosecutors. Provide daily updates from Court to Prosecutors for the following data: court dates, court filings, citation based criminal cases.	B	Agency	X				Tyler assumes Prosecutors will use Incode. Many of our court clients have found this to be the best way to facilitate prosecutorial interaction instead of an interface.	2	1	
16.0	Police	Provide electronic warrants, finger print requests and subpoenas to the Police Department. Receive and store police officer schedules to be used during the scheduling of court cases.	B	Agency	X					2	1	
17.0	Public Defender	Provide the ability to receive and store Public Defender Calendars for use during court case scheduling. Provided Calendars to the Public Defenders.	B	Agency	X					2	1	
18.0	Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS)	Provide the ability to interface with the DPS Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) in order to communicate dispositions related to filed criminal charges.	O	Agency	X					2	1	

**Mesa Municipal Court Case Management System RFI - Interfaces
Tyler**

Item #	Item Name	Description	One-way (O) or Both (B)	Interface with	Included in Proposed Solution?				NOTES
					Yes	Future/ 3rd Party	No	N/A	
19.0	EDMS Integration (FileNet)	Provide integration with the City owned EDMS solution. The application must be able to display from within the case, the stored case related EDMS documents. Additionally provide a method during the electronic import of citations in CMS the ability for a PDF version of the citation to be referenced on the case and imported into EDMS.	B	Internal	X				

Raw	Max	Score
36	36	100%
2	1	

Mesa Municipal Court CMS Replacement Project - JPIJ Attachment B (JPIJ Calculations and Detail Information)

A3A - Development Costs for Current State Standard

IT FTE Positions	Role	<i>FY14-15</i>	<i>FY15-16</i>	<i>FY16-17</i>	<i>FY17-18</i>	<i>FY18-19</i>	
Connie Williams	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	
Greg Stoner	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	
Lauren Lupica	Project Manager	0.75	0.75				
Christine Chu	Developer	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Lester Godsey	Technical Manager	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Julie Darling	Business Analyst/Developer	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Amy Davis	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Michael Kniskern	Developer	0.5	0.5				
John Diamond	Developer	0.5	0.5				
IT FTE Positions		5	5	2.75	2.75	2.75	@ \$126,150/each
IT FTE Cost		\$631	\$631	\$347	\$347	\$347	\$2302

User FTE Positions	Role	<i>FY14-15</i>	<i>FY15-16</i>	<i>FY16-17</i>	<i>FY17-18</i>	<i>FY18-19</i>	<i>Avg Sal</i>
Matt Tafoya	Sponsor	0.25	0.25				116,000
Paul Thomas	Sponsor	0.25	0.25				116,000
Lenny Montanaro	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	116,000
Janie Moreno	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	116,000
Albert Lemke	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	116,000
Gloria Holland	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Edna Ramon	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Dyan Carney	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Nancy Bushaw	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Karen Komada	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Gina Sanchez	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
User FTE Positions		5	5	2.25	2.25	2.25	
User FTE Cost		\$481	\$481	\$212	\$212	\$212	\$1598

Total Positions		10	10	5	5	5	
Total Development Cost		\$1112	\$1112	\$559	\$559	\$559	\$3900

A3A - Development Costs for Proposed Exception

IT FTE Positions	Role	<i>FY14-15</i>	<i>FY15-16</i>	<i>FY16-17</i>	<i>FY17-18</i>	<i>FY18-19</i>
Connie Williams	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Greg Stoner	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75
Lauren Lupica	Project Manager	0.75	0.75			
Christine Chu	Developer	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25
Lester Godsey	Technical Manager	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Julie Darling	Business Analyst/Developer	0	0			
Amy Davis	Business Analyst/Developer	0	0			
Michael Kniskern	Developer	0.25	0.25			
John Diamond	Developer	0.25	0.25			

IT FTE Positions		3.5	3.5	2	2	2	@ \$126,150/each
IT FTE Cost		\$442	\$442	\$252	\$252	\$252	\$1640

User FTE Positions	Role	<i>FY14-15</i>	<i>FY15-16</i>	<i>FY16-17</i>	<i>FY17-18</i>	<i>FY18-19</i>
Matt Tafoya	Sponsor	0.25	0.25			
Paul Thomas	Sponsor	0.25	0.25			
Lenny Montanaro	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Janie Moreno	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Albert Lemke	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Gloria Holland	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Edna Ramon	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Dyan Carney	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Nancy Bushaw	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Karen Komada	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Gina Sanchez	SME	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25

User FTE Positions		5	2.75	2.25	2.25	2.25	
User FTE Cost		\$481	\$270	\$212	\$212	\$212	\$1386

Total Positions		8.5	6.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	
Total Development Cost		\$923	\$711	\$464	\$464	\$464	\$3026

A3A - Operating Costs for Current State Standard

IT FTE Positions	Role	<i>FY14-15</i>	<i>FY15-16</i>	<i>FY16-17</i>	<i>FY17-18</i>	<i>FY18-19</i>	
Connie Williams	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	
Greg Stoner	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	
Lauren Lupica	Project Manager	0.75	0.75				
Christine Chu	Developer	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Lester Godsey	Technical Manager	0.25	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Julie Darling	Business Analyst/Developer	0.25	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Amy Davis	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Michael Kniskern	Developer	0.5	0.5				
John Diamond	Developer	0.5	0.5				
IT FTE Positions		5	5	1.9	1.9	1.9	@ \$126,150/each
IT FTE Cost		\$631	\$631	\$240	\$240	\$240	\$1981

User FTE Positions	Role	<i>FY14-15</i>	<i>FY15-16</i>	<i>FY16-17</i>	<i>FY17-18</i>	<i>FY18-19</i>	<i>Avg Sal</i>
Matt Tafoya	Sponsor	0.25	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	116,000
Paul Thomas	Sponsor	0.25	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	116,000
Lenny Montanaro	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	116,000
Janie Moreno	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	116,000
Albert Lemke	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	116,000
Gloria Holland	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Edna Ramon	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Dyan Carney	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Nancy Bushaw	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Karen Komada	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
Gina Sanchez	SME	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	83,096
User FTE Positions		5	5	2.35	2.35	2.35	
User FTE Cost		\$481	\$481	\$223	\$223	\$223	\$1632

Total Positions		10	10	4.25	4.25	4.25	
Sub-Total Operating Cost		\$1112	\$1112	\$463	\$463	\$463	\$3613

A3A - Operating Costs for Proposed Exception


IT FTE Positions	Role	<i>FY14-15</i>	<i>FY15-16</i>	<i>FY16-17</i>	<i>FY17-18</i>	<i>FY18-19</i>	
Connie Williams	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	
Greg Stoner	Business Analyst/Developer	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	
Lauren Lupica	Project Manager	0.75	0.75				
Christine Chu	Developer	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Lester Godsey	Technical Manager	0.25	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Julie Darling	Business Analyst/Developer	0	0				
Amy Davis	Business Analyst/Developer	0	0				
Michael Kniskern	Developer	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
John Diamond	Developer	0.25	0.25				
IT FTE Positions		3.5	3.5	2.05	2.05	2.05	@ \$126,150
IT FTE Cost		\$442	\$442	\$259	\$259	\$259	\$1659

User FTE Positions	Role	<i>FY14-15</i>	<i>FY15-16</i>	<i>FY16-17</i>	<i>FY17-18</i>	<i>FY18-19</i>	
Matt Tafoya	Sponsor	0.25	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Paul Thomas	Sponsor	0.25	0.25	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Lenny Montanaro	SME	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Janie Moreno	SME	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Albert Lemke	SME	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	
Gloria Holland	SME	0.25	0.25	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Edna Ramon	SME	0.25	0.25	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Dyan Carney	SME	0.25	0.25	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Nancy Bushaw	SME	0.25	0.25	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Karen Komada	SME	0.25	0.25	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Gina Sanchez	SME	0.25	0.25	0.1	0.1	0.1	
User FTE Positions		2.75	2.75	1.45	1.45	1.45	
User FTE Cost		\$270	\$270	\$148	\$148	\$148	\$985

Total Positions		6.25	6.25	3.5	3.5	3.5	
Sub-Total Operating Cost		\$711	\$711	\$407	\$407	\$407	\$2644

Mesa Municipal Court CMS Replacement Project - JPIJ Attachment C (Environment Diagram)

Mesa Network Design- Themis



Running Threat Prevention, URL Filtering Management Software

LEGEND		
— (Black line)	1 Gigabit	Unified Threat Management
— (Blue line)	10 Gigabit	Firewalls
- - - (Black dashed line)	Future 10 Gigabit	Do not replace
— (White line with black border)	Link Aggregation	IS Datacenter
Router icon	Router	CO Datacenter
Load Balancer icon	Load Balancer	DMZ Application Services
Firewall icon	Firewall	Wifi Controllers
Switch icon	Switch	VPN Services

Phase 1 – THEMIS will have batch server in Mesa Private Network

VDC's Utilized To Maintain Zone Separation For EDMZ, IDMZ, Private Networks

Mesa Municipal Court CMS Replacement Project - JPIJ Attachment D (Project Charter)

Mesa Municipal Court Themis Implementation Project Charter

City of Mesa Information Technology Department

August 27, 2014

1.0 Project Start Date 1

2.0 Requesting Division or Department 1

3.0 Project Sponsors..... 1

4.0 Stakeholders 1

5.0 Background, Business Need & Measures of Success 1

6.0 Scope..... 2

7.0 Assumptions and Constraints 2

8.0 Funding 3

9.0 Roles & Responsibilities 3

10.0 Requirements 5

11.0 Project Costs – Budget & Expenditure 5

12.0 Project Plan..... 5

13.0 Charter Approval and Acceptance 6

Project Charter (and attachments) Change Control

Date	Change	Change Made By
August 5, 2014	Initial Draft	Lauren Lupica
August 19, 2014	Final	Lauren Lupica
August 27, 2014	Corrected "Successful Go Live" date from July 31 to June 30, 2015	Lauren Lupica

1.0 PROJECT START DATE

August 19, 2014

2.0 REQUESTING DIVISION OR DEPARTMENT

Mesa Municipal Court

3.0 PROJECT SPONSORS

Paul Thomas, Court Administrator
Judge Matt Tafoya, Presiding City Magistrate
Alex Deshuk, Manager of Technology and Innovation
Diane Gardner, Chief Information Officer

4.0 STAKEHOLDERS

Mesa Municipal Court, City Prosecutor, Information Technology Department, Mesa Police Department, Court/Prosecutor Customers, Finance, ERP

5.0 BACKGROUND, BUSINESS NEED & MEASURES OF SUCCESS

This project's objective is to implement a new Case Management System (CMS) that will replace the existing mainframe-based Court system within the Mesa Municipal Court (ACIST).

The initial project involved the implementation of the AOC's statewide solution, AJACS. Due to changing circumstances regarding the development and support of AJACS, Mesa Municipal Court has decided to forego that implementation.

The new CMS to be implemented is Themis, a CMS written by Tempe staff and currently in production use in Tempe. A full gap analysis will be conducted with Court staff to identify all areas of the current Themis application that do not meet the Court's requirements.

The measures of success for this project initiative include:

- Implementation of an application that covers, at a minimum, basic requirements for Mesa Municipal Court;

- Mesa’s successful transition from the existing Court system to the new Themis application:
 - Including conversion/migration of existing case data;
 - With minimal impact on Court and Prosecutor operations and services; and
 - Maintaining all existing business automation that exists in current systems.
- Contain costs by using City of Mesa IT resources to create required customizations where feasible. Successful go live with Themis by June 30, 2015.
- Removal of all data and applications related to the existing Mesa CMS application from the mainframe.

6.0 SCOPE

The scope of the Mesa Municipal Court CMS project shall include the following components;

- Full Gap Analysis, to determine the detailed scope and priority of all functional requirements not supported by the current Themis application.
- Implementation of Themis, to replace the City’s existing mainframe-based ACIST application.
- Conversion/migration of existing Mesa case data.
- Implementation of the following interfaces:
 - Internet and IVR-based Court Services and Payment Systems;
 - Photo Enforcement (ATS);
 - Mesa’s Filenet EDMS (Electronic Document Management System);
 - AOC (AZ Supreme Court – CPOR, Debt Set Off);
 - AZ MVD via AOC(Motor Vehicle Department);
 - Defensive Driving Vendor Systems;
 - Behavior Health/ Home Detention Systems;
 - Credit Bureaus;
 - Collection Agencies;
 - Judge Survey Vendor Systems;
 - Court Lobby Calendar Monitors;
 - Police’s NCIC Warrant Entry system;
 - Police Subpoena System;
 - Maricopa County Sheriff’s Office Systems;
 - City ERP Systems; (Accounting, Financial, Budget and BI)
 - City Timekeeping and Scheduling System(s);
 - Auto-Dialer (ACD Text to Speech);
 - Court Online Forms;
 - DPS (Criminal History); and
 - ProsecutorByKarpel.
- Development of test scripts and management of user testing.
- Development of training materials and performance of user training.
- Application development documentation.

7.0 ASSUMPTIONS AND CONSTRAINTS

- A full gap analysis will be completed jointly by Court and ITD staff. All gap items will be prioritized by Day 1 (Functional at Go Live), Day 2 (Functional at 6 (six) months) and Day N (Ongoing Enhancements).

- Any changes or additions to the items defined in the gap analysis will require approval by the Project Sponsors.
- Court staff will be trained to maintain and support the application in all appropriate areas.
- ITD will maintain and support the application in all technical areas.
- The City will maintain its own environment for Themis.
- Per the agreement with Tempe, all development performed by Mesa staff will be shared with Tempe and all development performed by Tempe staff will be shared with Mesa. In neither case is the receiving entity required to utilize or implement the shared changes.

8.0 FUNDING

Minimal funding is expected to be necessary for this project. Tempe is not requiring payment for the base Themis code and database. Hardware already secured for the AJACS project satisfies the requirements for a Themis environment. The majority of development work will be performed by Mesa ITD Staff. There is a possibility that additional development resources may be required; funding for this will be from Mesa Municipal Court grant funds.

9.0 ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

Project Team Individual Resources

Member	Member Role	Discipline
Lauren Lupica	Project Manager, Executive Team Facilitator, Core Team (Leader), Change Control Team Facilitator	Project Management
Diane Gardner	Sponsor, Executive Team	ITD Management
Alex Deshuk	Sponsor, Executive Team	City Management
Matt Tafoya	Sponsor, Executive Team	City Court
Paul Thomas	Sponsor, Executive Team (Leader), Change Control Team	City Court
Lester Godsey	Executive Team, Technical Team (Leader), Core Team	ITD Applications Management
Lenny Montanaro	Core Team, Business Team (Leader), Change Control Team	City Court
Albert Lemke	Core Team, Business Team	City Court
Janie Moreno	Core Team, Business Team	City Court

Connie Williams	Core Team, Tech Team, Change Control Team	Applications (Lead Architect)
Greg Stoner	Core Team, Tech Team	Applications
Michael Kniskern	Tech Team	Applications/Web
Jeremy Montoya	Technical Team	Network
Hoan Vu	Technical Team	Server
Ihaab Dais	Technical Team	Security
Guy Jones	Technical Team	Desktop
Paul Poledna	Technical Team	FileNet
Regan Robbins	Purchasing	ITD Purchasing

Project Teams

Team	Team Role
Executive Team	Responsible and accountable to City Management and Sponsors for project's success. Reviews and approves project scope changes, recommendations, policies and deliverables. Highest point of problem escalation for City of Mesa. Manages project priority, and reports status to City Management. Receives periodic project updates from the Project Manager.
Core Team	Responsible and accountable to Project Manager, Executive Team and Sponsors for projects' success. Develops direction for Technical and Business Teams and creates recommendations for Executive Team to meet project objectives. Escalates issues to Executive Team as needed.
Technical Team	Responsible and accountable to Project Manager for project's success. Creates deliverables as directed by Technical Team Leader. Serve as technical experts in the development of project deliverables. Conducts periodic project reviews with the Technical Team Leader. Escalates issues to Core Team as needed.
Business Team	Responsible and accountable to Business Team Leader for projects' success. Creates deliverables as directed by Business Team Leader. Serve as business and operations experts in the development of project deliverables. Conducts periodic project reviews with the Business Team Leader. Escalates issues to Core Team as needed.
Change Control Team	Coordinates, manages and authorizes all changes to the Themis system or its interfaces, per defined change control guidelines and processes. City of Mesa Change Control Team vets all changes first, prior to presentation to the Executive Team.
Project Manager	Responsible and accountable to the Executive Team for project's success. Manages project schedule and budget, oversees resource allocation and completion of deliverables,

	reports project status to Executive Team. Coordinates with Core Team and Technical Team on schedule and deliverables. Coordinates with Change Control Team to get enhancement request or code defect changes reviewed and approved. Oversees City of Mesa purchases and contracts. Escalates issues to Executive Team for resolution.
--	---

10.0 REQUIREMENTS, DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES

Requirements and their priority will initially be developed and agreed upon during the gap sessions to be held by Court and ITD staff.

Additional requirements gathering work sessions will be held by the business analysts with the appropriate SME's. The process for the creation and approval of requirements documents is as follows:

- A written requirements document will be developed by the business analyst.
- The appropriate SME(s) will review the document and work with the business analyst on any necessary changes and/or clarifications.
- The SME(s) will provide written approval of the requirements document.
- The business analyst and development resource will develop a written design document based on the requirements document.
- Both the business analyst and the Mesa SME's will review the document and work together on any necessary changes and/or clarifications.
- The business analyst and the SME(s) will provide written approval of the design document.

Once a design document has been approved, ITD will develop the agreed upon functionality and perform initial testing. The test environment will then be updated with the functionality for the business analyst and the Mesa SME(s) to test to ensure that all identified requirements have been included and function properly. Both will provide written approval of that the required functionality has been successfully developed.

11.0 PROJECT COSTS – BUDGET & EXPENDITURE

As noted previously, there are no costs expected for this project with two exceptions: first, the funds spent previously on the previous AJACS project and second, any costs associated to contracting development resources.

12.0 PROJECT PLAN

The Mesa Municipal Court CMS project plan can be found in Project Server; **MMC Themis CMS**.

The Project's Website is at the following location (everyone can access):

<http://projects.insidemesa/ITD/Mesa%20Municipal%20Court%20CMS/default.aspx>

13.0 CHARTER APPROVAL AND ACCEPTANCE

Judge Matt Tafoya	Presiding City Magistrate	Date
Sponsor, Executive Team		

Paul Thomas	Court Administrator	Date
Sponsor, Executive Team (Leader), Change Control Team (Leader for Mesa), External Agency Team		

Alex Deshuk	Manager of Technology and Innovation	Date
Sponsor, Executive Team		

Diane Gardner	Chief Information Officer	Date
Sponsor, Executive Team		


Lauren Lupica	Project Manager	Date
Project Manager, Executive Team Facilitator, Technical Team, Core Team, Change Control Team Facilitator		

Mesa Municipal Court CMS Replacement Project - JPIJ Attachment E (Project Plan)

	ID	% Work Complete	Task Name	Work	Duration	Start	Finish
	0	43%	Mesa Municipal Court CMS	17,501.6 hrs	152.4 days	Tue 8/5/14	Thu 7/16/15
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	100%	INITIATION	1,943 hrs	65.6 days	Tue 8/5/14	Wed 12/31/14
	14	0%	INITIATION COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 12/31/14	Wed 12/31/14
	15	88%	PLANNING - DAY 1	5,468 hrs	38 days	Tue 8/19/14	Wed 11/12/14
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	16	100%	ENVIRONMENT PLANNING	1,510 hrs	32.2 days	Tue 8/19/14	Wed 10/29/14
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	28	100%	ENVIRONMENT PLANNING COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 10/29/14	Wed 10/29/14
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29	100%	INITIAL GAP ANALYSIS	2,720 hrs	20 days	Tue 9/2/14	Tue 10/14/14
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	33	100%	GAP ANALYSIS COMPLETE	0 hrs	1 day	Wed 10/15/14	Thu 10/16/14
	34	49%	FC36 CONVERSION DESIGN	1,188 hrs	8.4 days	Thu 10/16/14	Tue 11/4/14
	35	49%	Conversion Analysis	1,168 hrs	8 days	Thu 10/16/14	Tue 11/4/14
	36	25%	Map ACIST Fields to Themis Fields	400 hrs	2 wks	Thu 10/16/14	Tue 11/4/14
	37	25%	Identify Needed Crosswalks	400 hrs	2 wks	Thu 10/16/14	Tue 11/4/14
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38	100%	Identify Missing Data	368 hrs	1.85 wks	Thu 10/16/14	Mon 11/3/14
	39	75%	Define Order of Data To Be Converted	20 hrs	1 day	Mon 11/3/14	Tue 11/4/14
	40	0%	CONVERSION DESIGN COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Tue 11/4/14	Tue 11/4/14
	41	0%	MAINFRAME CLOSEOUT PLANNING	40 hrs	2.6 days	Tue 11/4/14	Tue 11/11/14
	42	0%	Determine if cases at credit bureau need recalled	20 hrs	1 day	Tue 11/4/14	Wed 11/5/14
	43	0%	Determine if cases in collections need recalled	20 hrs	1.2 days	Thu 11/6/14	Tue 11/11/14
	44	0%	MAINFRAME CLOSEOUT PLANNING	10 hrs	1 day	Tue 11/11/14	Wed 11/12/14
	45	0%	PLANNING - DAY 1 COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 11/12/14	Wed 11/12/14
	46	7%	EXECUTION - DAY 1	10,090.6 hrs	151.4 days	Tue 8/5/14	Wed 7/15/15
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	47	100%	ENVIRONMENT SETUP	30 hrs	37.7 days	Tue 8/12/14	Tue 11/4/14
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	51	100%	ENVIRONMENT SETUP COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Tue 11/4/14	Tue 11/4/14
	52	9%	DEVELOPMENT & UNIT TESTING	7,201.6 hrs	132.8 days	Tue 8/5/14	Wed 6/3/15
	53	10%	GAP ITEMS (Burn down only; see Master Gap for specific items)	3,061.6 hrs	18 wks	Tue 8/5/14	Wed 1/14/15
	54	0%	GAP ITEMS DEVELOPMENT COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 1/14/15	Wed 1/14/15
	55	20%	INTERFACES	1,680 hrs	54.5 days	Mon 11/3/14	Wed 3/11/15
	56	0%	Auto Dialer	160 hrs	32 days	Tue 11/4/14	Tue 1/20/15
	57	25%	Behavioral Health/Home Detention	320 hrs	35.56 days	Mon 11/3/14	Tue 1/27/15
	58	25%	Checkwriting	80 hrs	10 days	Mon 11/3/14	Tue 11/25/14
	59	0%	Collections Agency	80 hrs	10.67 days	Tue 11/4/14	Mon 12/1/14
	60	0%	Credit Bureau	40 hrs	8 days	Wed 1/21/15	Thu 2/5/15
	61	0%	DDS	120 hrs	16 days	Tue 11/25/14	Mon 1/5/15
	62	0%	eCitation	120 hrs	16 days	Mon 1/5/15	Tue 2/10/15
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	63	100%	eCourt	200 hrs	40 days	Mon 11/3/14	Wed 2/4/15
	64	25%	IVR	80 hrs	16 days	Mon 11/3/14	Tue 12/9/14
	65	0%	FileNet	280 hrs	37.33 days	Mon 12/1/14	Wed 2/25/15
	66	50%	Lobby Monitor	40 hrs	5 days	Mon 11/3/14	Tue 2/17/15
	67	0%	Photo Safety	80 hrs	10 days	Tue 2/17/15	Wed 3/11/15
	68	0%	PbK	80 hrs	16 days	Tue 11/4/14	Wed 12/10/14
	69	0%	INTERFACES DEVELOPMENT COMPLETE	0 hrs	1 day	Thu 12/11/14	Mon 12/15/14
	70	4%	CONVERSION	480 hrs	96 days	Mon 10/27/14	Wed 6/3/15


	ID	% Work Complete	Task Name	Work	Duration	Start	Finish
	71	33%	Conversion Run-Person Data	60 hrs	12 days	Mon 10/27/14	Thu 11/20/14
✓	72	100%	Develop Conversion Scripts	20 hrs	4 days	Mon 10/27/14	Mon 11/3/14
	73	0%	Download ACIST Database for Conversion Run	5 hrs	1 day	Tue 11/4/14	Wed 11/5/14
	74	0%	Run Conversion Scripts	5 hrs	1 day	Wed 11/5/14	Thu 11/6/14
	75	0%	Review Data Exception Report	10 hrs	2 days	Thu 11/6/14	Wed 11/12/14
	76	0%	Data Cleanup	10 hrs	2 days	Thu 11/13/14	Tue 11/18/14
	77	0%	Adjust Conversion Scripts	10 hrs	2 days	Tue 11/18/14	Thu 11/20/14
	78	0%	Conversion Run-Case-Citation & Charges	60 hrs	12 days	Mon 11/24/14	Thu 12/18/14
	85	0%	Conversion Run-Sentences	60 hrs	12 days	Mon 12/22/14	Tue 1/20/15
	92	0%	Conversion Run-Events	60 hrs	12 days	Wed 1/21/15	Tue 2/17/15
	99	0%	Conversion Run-Financials	60 hrs	12 days	Wed 2/18/15	Mon 3/16/15
	106	0%	Conversion Run-Collections	60 hrs	12 days	Tue 3/17/15	Thu 4/9/15
	113	0%	Full Conversion Run 1	40 hrs	8 days	Mon 4/13/15	Tue 4/28/15
	119	0%	Full Conversion Run 2	40 hrs	8 days	Wed 4/29/15	Thu 5/14/15
	125	0%	Full Conversion Run 3	40 hrs	8 days	Mon 5/18/15	Wed 6/3/15
	131	0%	CONVERSION DEVELOPMENT COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 6/3/15	Wed 6/3/15
	132	0%	FORMS (Burn down only; see Master Gap for specific items)	990 hrs	16.5 wks	Tue 8/5/14	Mon 1/5/15
	133	0%	FORMS COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Mon 1/5/15	Mon 1/5/15
	134	0%	REPORTS (Burn down only; see Master Gap for specific items)	990 hrs	6 wks	Mon 1/5/15	Mon 3/2/15
	135	0%	REPORTS COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Mon 3/2/15	Mon 3/2/15
	136	0%	DEVELOPMENT & UNIT TESTING COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 6/3/15	Wed 6/3/15
	137	0%	CONFIGURATION	300 hrs	40 days	Mon 10/20/14	Wed 1/21/15
	138	0%	Review/Edit State Statutes	60 hrs	8 days	Mon 10/20/14	Tue 11/4/14
	139	0%	Local Statutes	30 hrs	4 days	Wed 11/5/14	Thu 11/13/14
	140	0%	Financials	30 hrs	4 days	Mon 11/17/14	Mon 11/24/14
	141	0%	Events	30 hrs	4 days	Tue 11/25/14	Wed 12/3/14
	142	0%	NLTs (No Later Than)	30 hrs	4 days	Thu 12/4/14	Thu 12/11/14
	143	0%	Work Queues	30 hrs	4 days	Mon 12/15/14	Mon 12/22/14
	144	0%	Miscellaneous Tables	30 hrs	4 days	Tue 12/23/14	Wed 12/31/14
	145	0%	Users	30 hrs	4 days	Mon 1/5/15	Mon 1/12/15
	146	0%	Security	30 hrs	4 days	Tue 1/13/15	Wed 1/21/15
	147	0%	CONFIGURATION COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 1/21/15	Wed 1/21/15
	148	0%	TESTING	2,340 hrs	66.2 days	Thu 1/22/15	Thu 6/18/15
	149	0%	USER ACCEPTANCE TESTING	2,180 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15
	150	0%	Case Creation	660 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15
	164	0%	Scheduling	130 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15
	168	0%	Collections	360 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15
	177	0%	Person Management	180 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15
	181	0%	Financials	540 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15
	194	0%	Reporting	60 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15
	195	0%	User Security	60 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15
	196	0%	INTERFACE TESTING	190 hrs	6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 6/17/15

ID	% Work Complete	Task Name	Work	Duration	Start	Finish
217	0%	INTERFACE TESTING COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 6/17/15	Wed 6/17/15
218	0%	USER ACCEPTANCE TESTING COMPLETE	0 hrs	1 day	Wed 6/17/15	Thu 6/18/15
219	0%	DATA CONVERSION TESTING	120 hrs	4 days	Thu 6/4/15	Thu 6/11/15
220	0%	System-to-System Case Comparison	40 hrs	1 wk	Thu 6/4/15	Thu 6/11/15
221	0%	Edit Converted Cases	40 hrs	1 wk	Thu 6/4/15	Thu 6/11/15
222	0%	Process Converted Cases Through Case Life Cycle	40 hrs	1 wk	Thu 6/4/15	Thu 6/11/15
223	0%	DATA CONVERSION TESTING COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Thu 6/11/15	Thu 6/11/15
224	0%	TECHNICAL TESTING	40 hrs	4 days	Thu 1/22/15	Thu 1/29/15
225	0%	Load Balancing	20 hrs	1 wk	Thu 1/22/15	Thu 1/29/15
226	0%	Failover	20 hrs	1 wk	Thu 1/22/15	Thu 1/29/15
227	0%	TECHNICAL TESTING COMPLETE	0 hrs	1 day	Mon 2/2/15	Tue 2/3/15
228	0%	TESTING COMPLETE	0 hrs	1 day	Thu 6/18/15	Mon 6/22/15
229	0%	DAY 1 MOVE TO PRODUCTION	219 hrs	18.6 days	Thu 6/4/15	Wed 7/15/15
230	0%	TRAINING	146 hrs	12 days	Thu 6/4/15	Tue 6/30/15
231	0%	Develop Training Materials	66 hrs	4.2 days	Thu 6/4/15	Mon 6/15/15
232	0%	Develop Training Plan	6 hrs	0.2 days	Thu 6/4/15	Thu 6/4/15
233	0%	Develop Training Materials	60 hrs	1 wk	Thu 6/4/15	Mon 6/15/15
234	0%	Training Materials Completed	0 hrs	0 days	Mon 6/15/15	Mon 6/15/15
235	0%	Conduct User Training	80 hrs	1 wk	Tue 6/23/15	Tue 6/30/15
236	0%	TRAINING COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Tue 6/30/15	Tue 6/30/15
237	0%	MAINFRAME CLOSEOUT TASKS	9 hrs	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
238	0%	Turn Off Collection Agency	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
239	0%	Recall Credit Bureau Cases	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
240	0%	Turn Off Tax Intercept Program	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
241	0%	Run Final Mainframe Batch Jobs	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
242	0%	Execution and Final Validation of Mainframe Batch Jobs	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
243	0%	Develop Plan for Migrating Required Dispatch Reports	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
244	0%	Migrate Required Dispatch Reports	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
245	0%	Set ACIST Security to Read-Only	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
246	0%	Turn Off Batch Processing	1 hr	1 day	Wed 7/1/15	Thu 7/2/15
247	0%	MAINFRAME CLOSEOUT TASKS COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Thu 7/2/15	Thu 7/2/15
248	0%	DAY 1 GO LIVE	64 hrs	5.6 days	Thu 7/2/15	Wed 7/15/15
249	0%	Conversion Production Run	10 hrs	1 day	Thu 7/2/15	Fri 7/3/15
250	0%	Conversion Data Review	10 hrs	1 day	Fri 7/3/15	Sat 7/4/15
251	0%	Download for ACIST Historical Access	2 hrs	1 day	Sat 7/4/15	Sun 7/5/15
252	0%	Move Users to Production System	2 hrs	1 day	Mon 7/6/15	Tue 7/7/15
253	0%	Support Users in Production System	40 hrs	1 wk	Tue 7/7/15	Wed 7/15/15
254	0%	DAY 1 GO LIVE COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 7/15/15	Wed 7/15/15
255	0%	DAY 1 MOVE TO PRODUCTION COMPLETE	0 hrs	0 days	Wed 7/15/15	Wed 7/15/15
256	0%	EXECUTION DAY 1 COMPLETE	0 hrs	1 day	Wed 7/15/15	Thu 7/16/15




Project: Mesa Municipal Court CMS
Date: Tue 11/4/14

Task		Milestone		External Tasks	
Split		Summary		External Milestone	
Progress		Project Summary		Deadline	



Project: Mesa Municipal Court CMS
Date: Tue 11/4/14


Task		Milestone		External Tasks	
Split		Summary		External Milestone	
Progress		Project Summary		Deadline	



Project: Mesa Municipal Court CMS
Date: Tue 11/4/14

Task		Milestone		External Tasks	
Split		Summary		External Milestone	
Progress		Project Summary		Deadline	

ID	2015												2016							
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	
247																				
248																				
249																				
250																				
251																				
252																				
253																				
254																				
255																				
256																				



Project: Mesa Municipal Court CMS Date: Tue 11/4/14	Task		Milestone		External Tasks	
	Split		Summary		External Milestone	
	Progress		Project Summary		Deadline	