

COURTS IN PINAL COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2015-2017 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Increase interactive services available to court customers via the Internet.
- Continue Lean Six Sigma practices, increase efficiency of case processing by using technology and workflows.
- Seeking to validate and correct data inaccuracies, enhance AJACS content, and explore alternative CMS solutions.
- Provide judicial officers and staff access to court documents and data via mobile devices.
- Pursue access options for users without ACAP machines but who have need for AJACS access.
- Prepare for statewide limited jurisdiction CMS testing and implementation; continue identifying enhancements over time.
- Actively address enterprise architecture issues, hardware refresh items, and non-standard applications.

CY 2012/13 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Created repository of over 200 SSRS reports for local users; retired numerous local application.
- Identified and began addressing data correction needs; audited outstanding arrest warrants, and formulated desired AJACS enhancements.
- Relocated courts' webserver to the AOC to address network congestion issues; added public Wi-Fi; established multiple network trusts to facilitate data transfers among justice partners.
- Constructed a listing of available AJACS forms complete with merge codes.
- Implemented mobile file tracking to reduce delays associated with locating paper files.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS	Concerns for sufficient resources and training; will be early adopters.
JOLTSaz	Concerns for system stability and ease of use; expecting Probation direct access to AJACS; will be mid-cycle adopter.
LJ EDMS	Concern for security and public access considerations; will be mid-cycle adopters.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Clerk preparing for e-filing/integration; will be early adopter.
Bench Automation	Excited about digital case interaction for judges but expecting two-way functionality; will be mid-cycle adopters.
Architecture/Security	Local development continues, but some bolt-on applications disappearing as SSRS capabilities improve; numerous projects to address architecture issues; BizTalk remains county integration standard

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
ACAP PC Refresh	FY15	X			Statewide project
OnBase Upgrade	FY15		X		Clerk; Version 13 SP2
AJACS LJ Implementations	>FY18		X		Limited jurisdiction courts
GJ AJACS Upgrade	>FY18		X		Superior court; Clerk of Court
Local Web Enhancements	>FY18		X		Clerk of Court
Server Refresh	FY15		X		Clerk of Court
Meeting Ent. Arch. Targets	FY16		X		Clerk of Court
Judicial Reporting	FY15		X		Superior Court; Clerk of Court
Reduce and Prevent Data Errors	FY15		X		Superior Court; Clerk of Court; AJACS
Digital Signatures	FY15		X		Superior Court; Clerk of Court
Expand QMatic	FY14		X		Clerk of Court
e-Filing Integration	FY16		X		Superior Court; Clerk of Court
AJACS Calendar Outlook Integration	FY15		X		Superior Court; Clerk of Court
Court Financials Accuracy in AJACS	FY15		X		Clerk of Court
AJACS Access for Non-Court Users	FY14		X		Superior Court; Clerk of Court; Probation

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
Improve Courtroom Technology	FY16		X		Superior Court; Clerk of Court
Mobile Access to Court Documents	FY15		X		Superior Court; Clerk of Court

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.