

# COURTS IN SANTA CRUZ COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2015-2017 SUMMARY



## LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Install calendar display system at superior court.
- Update web site to provide forms compliant with Language Access Plan.
- Install public address systems and address aging recording systems in superior court courtrooms.
- Improve local collections automation and file tracking.
- Implement EDMS and electronic citations in limited jurisdiction court environment.
- Prepare for statewide limited jurisdiction CMS implementation.

## CY 2012/13 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Updated various court technology items and installed Wi-Fi in Nogales court building.
- Improved court physical security screening and activated alarm system.
- Greatly expanded court forms available in Spanish; improved interpreter skills.
- Refreshed all Adult Probation workstations and installed APETS SOL.
- Expanded eCitation in limited jurisdiction courts.

## Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

<b>LJ CMS</b>	Desire more standard reports, better disposition reporting, process and code standardization; judges desire workload management; will be mid-cycle adopters.
<b>JOLTSaz/AZYAS</b>	Desire reduced data duplication and increased data integration; pioneer court for detention/visitation module; will be early adopter.
<b>LJ EDMS</b>	Desire to expand disconnected scanning; will be mid-cycle adopters.
<b>e-Filing/Std Forms</b>	Recognize savings in clerk labor and paper through simpler procedures and remote public access; will be mid-cycle adopter.
<b>Bench Automation</b>	Anticipating usefulness to judges and decrease in required paper; will be mid-cycle adopters.
<b>Architecture/Security</b>	Don't perform local development, but contemplating Access database construction; only Crystal in containment status.

<b>TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS</b>					
<b>Project</b>	<b>Year/ Status</b>	<b>Project Detail Provided</b>			<b>Comments</b>
		<b>Full<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Skeletal<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Mention<sup>3</sup></b>	
<b>Electronic Calendar Display</b>	FY17		X		Superior court
<b>PA Systems in Courtrooms</b>	FY15		X		Superior court and JP#1
<b>Improve JAVS Equipment</b>	>FY18		X		Superior court and JP#1
<b>AJACS Calendaring Improvements</b>	FY15		X		Superior court; statewide enhancement??
<b>Language Access Changes</b>	FY15		X		Superior court and JP#1
<b>County Website</b>	FY15		X		Incl. LEP items
<b>Court Orders &amp; Collections Improvement</b>	FY15		X		Superior court and JP#1; conceptual; reports
<b>Improve Case Processing</b>	FY15		X		Superior court and JP#1; reports focused
<b>LJ CMS</b>	FY18		X		Conversion activities

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.