

COURTS IN YAVAPAI COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2015-2017 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Expand digitization efforts; obtain additional scanners and monitors for clerks; reduce transfer of paper documents among justice partners; preserve historical documents by backscanning.
- Improve statistical reporting related to case processing through SSRS reports.
- Expand technology in the courtroom and chambers including videoconference equipment, tablets, and bench automation.
- Prepare for participation in statewide projects including limited jurisdiction CMS, AZTurboCourt e-filing, PC Refresh, and JOLTSaz.
- Increase mobile access to probation files and documents for field officers; provide probation access to AJACS CMS data.
- Expand disconnected scanning and paperless processes to additional limited jurisdiction courts.
- Provide Probation access to appropriate court case information.

CY 2012/13 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Completed Juvenile Justice Court Building.
- Installed courtroom calendar monitors in all three superior court buildings.
- Clerk began virtual printing of document leadsheets to save paper and toner; implemented FARE.
- Completed electronic records access agreements, e-filing of probation revocations, and juvenile delinquency case initiations.
- Adult Probation improved petitions distribution process and adopted JWI access to criminal history.
- Limited jurisdiction courts expanded payments from web and participation in disconnected scanning.
- Prescott courts refreshed PCs to Windows 7.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS	Apart from Prescott's immediate need, LJ courts not anxious to replace AZTEC; judges in smallest courts need portable bench automation solution for multi-purpose courtrooms; will be mid-cycle adopters.
JOLTSaz	Strong desire for full integration with AJACS; will be an early adopter.
LJ EDMS	Very high importance for productivity improvement and paperless processing; will be near-term adopters.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Importance varies court by court; anxious to improve customer service and accept payments on web; will be mid-cycle adopter.
Bench Automation	Superior Court pilot site for eBench; LJ courts interested but adoption timeline varies by court; generally will be mid-cycle adopters.

Architecture

No local development beyond Prescott; drug court MS-Access database, FoxIT scanning software in Chino Valley may pose business risk for e-filing.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
Integration Project	FY15		X		All courts & county criminal justice agencies
Electronic Fingerprints	FY15		X		Clerk of Court
e-Filing (AZTurboCourt)	FY13		X		Clerk of Court; Superior Court
Workflow/ Workview	FY15		X		Clerk of Court
Electronic Document Transmissions	FY15		X		LJ Courts & Jail
Bench Automation	FY18		X		All courts
LJ AJACS Rollout	FY18		X		LJ courts only
Disconnected Scanning	FY18		X		LJ courts
Tele/Videoconference Equipment for Judges	FY15		X		Superior Court / Prescott
Upgrade Presentation Carts for Courtrooms	FY15		X		Superior Court / Prescott
Internet/Intranet Calendar Access	FY14		X		Superior Court
Technology/PC Refresh	FY15		X		All ACAP courts
Disaster Recovery/COOP	FY15		X		Superior Court & Clerk
Upgrade FTR	FY15		X		Superior Court

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
ListServ for Attorneys	FY15		X		Clerk of Court
Enhance AJACS CourTools Reports	FY15		X		Superior Court & Clerk; CourTools
Card Key Access	FY15		X		Superior Court
Metrics Dashboard on Web	FY15		X		Superior Court
Boost Wi-Fi Capability	FY15		X		Superior Court
BackScanning & Historical Scanning	FY16		X		Clerk of Court; to Year 2000 & docket books
Reconfigure Network Closets	FY15		X		Superior Court
Social Networking	FY15		X		Clerk of Court
Security Cameras	FY15		X		Superior Court

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.