

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-006

Complainant:

No. 1275310593A

Judge:

No. 1275310593B

ORDER

An initial review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct.

The complainant claims that a superior court commissioner improperly ruled that an advertisement designed for DUI offenders to use in giving notice to the police was invalid. While these facts are essentially true, the Commission on Judicial Conduct is not a court of law and cannot change the commissioner's decision.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: February 6, 2006.

For the Commission

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 6, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

01/04/2006

JAN 11 2006

Director or
to whom it may Concern: CJC-06-005

Dear Mam or Sir.

I am Writing this letter to inquire
about the "Validity" of the Enclosed
"legal Advertisement" Per: [redacted]
Attorney @ law; the Sentencing Conduct
of Commissioner [redacted] Superior Court.

#1

On the front of the advertisement line
8 States "Place Card in Wallet"

#2


On line 9 states "Dont get caught without
ADVISES

#3

On Back 1st line states: "Give this Card
IMMEDIATELY to the police officer"

#4

On Back line 2 states "NOTICE TO POLICE"



att: Director
State bar of Arizona
4201 N 24th St suite 200
Phx. AZ. 85016

#6 I refuse to do any Eye or physical tests

#7 Etc

#8 Etc

#9 I demand to be released for an Independent test.

[redacted] legally "Advises" Card holder to Give this Card Immediately to a police officer (to notify) then to Invoke My 5th amendment Right to remain Silent.

On [redacted] 05 [redacted]

Ruled. that Quote that may be [redacted] "legal Opinion" of a Notice to Police Officer" but not the State, and that, by Giving this notice to Police is an Implied Notification to Be Released to obtain an Independent test. And that I had to ~~tell~~ the police officer I wanted to be released for an Independent test. not to Give like [redacted] advices on his Phone Book advertisement. I gave this Card Immediately to the police officer as describes: advised, then @ Invoked my 5th amendment right to remain Silent

So, I looked up the Definition of Notification in the Dictionary that defines Notification (2) to tell By Notice definition of tell (2) to Express with words, or Communication (3) or to notify to give notice. Hence a valid Notice to police was given?

So by My giving this Notice to a police officer, And Invoking my 5th amendment Right to remain Silent. did I give a legal notification, or is Illegally advising Millions of people per his advice to give, his yellow page advertisement to a law officer. Is this a Misrepresentation of legal Advice? and his Accountability?

Any and all information is greatly appreciated thank you,

Sincerely,

Subscribed & sworn to me this 4th
day of January 2005.