

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 06-020

---

Complainant:  No. 1276510170A

Judge:  No. 1276510170B

---

### ORDER

An initial review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are groundless. There is no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complainant alleged that the judge would not answer jury questions, rushed the verdict, and had a gambling problem. After a judge reviews a jury's questions, the judge must rule whether or not the question violates the rules of evidence. If a question is inadmissible, the judge is not permitted to read it to the jury. A judge may urge a jury to reach a verdict. Other witnesses to the judge's demeanor during the trial did not observe any sign that the judge had a gambling problem or any other problem. The recording of the trial did not support the allegations in any way.

The complainant's allegations are unfounded. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a) and the matter is closed.

Dated: February 21, 2006.

For the Commission

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 21, 2006.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CJC-06-020

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

01/25/1

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I'm FILING THIS COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE   
 DUE TO HIS IMPROPER CONDUCT AND DIRECT  
DISREGARD FOR PROTECTING THE RIGHTS OF A DEFENDANT IN  
MAKING SURE MY RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL WAS PROTECTED.

EXHIBIT (1) JURY WOULD ASK QUESTIONS THAT JUDGE DID NOT WANT TO ANSWER  
WHILE ~~TRIAL~~ IN MIDDLE OF TRIAL.

EXHIBIT (2) JUDGE WAS IN QUESTION OF EVERY SWEARING IN THE  
PROSECUTOR ONLY KEY AND PRESENT WITNESS OFF.   
WHEN MY MOTHER SPOKE UP AND SAID HE DID NOT WITNESS ~~THE~~  
ME,  AND INTENS REALIZED AT <sup>THAT</sup> POINT WE DID  
NOT HEAR HER SWEAR HER IN EITHER. JUDGE  REFUSE  
TO GO BACK TO CHECK THE RECORDING. HE SAID WE CAN  
DO THAT ON OUR OWN TIME. THIS TO THIS DAY HAS NEVER  
BEEN FOLLOWED UP BY ATTORNEY    
OR ANYONE. I BELIEVE IT WAS TO PROTECT OFF.   
FROM PERJURY WHICH HE DID COMMIT.

EXHIBIT (3) WHEN JURY WAS DELIBERATING WANTED TO ASK ADDITIONAL  
QUESTIONS, JUDGE  REFUSED THEM TO DO SO AND SAID I QUOTE  
"YOU GUYS HAVE HAD ENOUGH TIME NO MORE QUESTIONS HURRY UP  
AND COME TO A DECISION," THIS STATEMENT CAN BE BACKED  
UP BY ONE OF THE JURYS FRAMEMBER <sup>BEING</sup>  ON THE   
FOR THE TOWN OF  I FEEL THAT THE MOST IMPORTANT  
PART OF THE JUDGES JOB, TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE ACCUSED TO  
A FAIR TRIAL. JUDGE  DOES NOT FULFILL THAT TASK.  
AND NEEDS TO EITHER <sup>STEP DOWN OR BE REMOVED FROM THE BENCH</sup>  
BY THE CITIZENS WHO'S RIGHTS HE HAS VIOLATED ALONG WITH THERE TRUS  
(Attach additional sheets as needed)

ATTACHED SHEET CJC-06-020

EXHIBIT 4, JUDGE [REDACTED] DURING TRIAL DELIBERATIONS  
WAS MORE CONCERNED ABOUT THE POINT SPREAD  
ON THE GAMES THAN THE TRIAL. IT OBVIOUS HE  
RUSHED THE TRIAL, TURNED TO ATTORNEYS; FOR THIS  
AFFECTED OR EVEN ADDITION HE MUST HAVE  
WITH GAMBLING. HE FLAT OUT WAS ASKING  
EVERYONE IF THEY KNEW THE POINT SPREADS ON  
THE GAMES. HIS APPREHENSIVE BEHAVIOR AND  
UNCAPABLE ABILITY TO NOT JUST PACING AND  
NORWOISNESS REFLECTED MAYBE GAMBLING IS  
MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE SWORN TO DUTIES.