

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 06-027

---

Complainant:	No. 1277110620A
Judge:	No. 1277110620B

---

**ORDER**

The commission's review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that there was no misconduct on the part of the judge. Although the judge failed to provide timely notice of appeal rights to the complainant, when the error was noted, an attorney was appointed to represent the complainant and ensure that his appeal rights were protected. The other issues raised in the complaint were legal in nature. The commission is not a court, and cannot change a court's legal decisions.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: March 29, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 29, 2006.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-06-027

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: [ ] Judge's name: [ ] Date: 01-29-2006

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Case: [ ] Judge dropped Fine's  
ordered me to pay Court Appointed Attorney Fee's  
Ordered me to go to DV counseling "so that  
I would know how to protect myself in  
the future" Refused and misled  
Counsel - Client Right to Appeal process  
; Refused Any consideration of Defendant's  
Finance's as to be able to pay Medical  
Fees for DV ; the Veteran that was  
wrote the trial proceedings goes to  
the [ ] NAME, this Veteran  
has no Medicare or Medical Insurance  
on the funds to pay out ; jeopardizing  
the home being stable ;  
The Judge refused this Vets rights as Svc  
officer handling claims the <sup>Rep.</sup> ~~was~~ falsly made by  
Hantel [ ]  
The Judge displayed Prejudice Allowed mistaken  
questions ; ~~was~~ ~~the~~ discriminating questions made  
by the Prosecuting Attorney of this  
case.  
note: The Prosecuting Attorney [ ]  
, and this Veteran Also a ~~PVA~~ VET NOT  
Recognized by the Court or the Prosecuting Attor.  
or the Judge [ ]

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

[ ]