

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 06-043

---

Complainant: No. 1278710465A

Judge: No. 1278710465B

---

**ORDER**

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct.

The audio recording revealed no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The parties agreed to continue both injunctions until the related superior court case was resolved. The judge acted within his authority in deciding to keep the injunctions in place.

It is clear on the face of the complaint that the complainant is seeking to overturn the judge's ruling. Because the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 12, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 12, 2006.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-043

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

My COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE [ ] STARTED WITH A CIVIL HEARING [ ] PRIOR TO THIS HEARING, SEVERAL EVENTS OCCURRED CONCERNING A DISPUTE OVER AN EASEMENT WITH THE [ ] FAMILY, [ ] THE ISSUE IN CIVIL COURT THE [ ] WAS THE ALLEGATION(S) BY [ ] (21 YO DAUGHTER) THAT ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS I HAD SPOOKED THEIR HORSES BY PLAYING WITH MY DOGS WITHIN THE CONFINES OF MY OWN YARD. THOSE ALLEGATIONS WERE MADE IN GENERAL BY THE PARENTS AS WELL. THE PARTICULAR DAY IN QUESTION, [ ] CALLED THE [ ] SHERIFFS OFFICE TO REPORT WHAT SHE DESCRIBED AS A VIOLATION OF COURT ORDERS. UPON ARRIVAL OF THE [ ] SHERIFFS OFFICERS, [ ] SHOWED THE OFFICERS THE COURT ORDERS, AND SPOKE TO THEM BRIEFLY. THE OFFICERS THEN CAME AND SPOKE WITH ME ABOUT THE ALLEGATIONS. AFTER SPEAKING WITH ME, THE OFFICERS INVESTIGATED THE ALLEGATIONS AND FOUND THEM TO BE FALSE. [ ] AFTER HEARING THE OFFICERS FINDINGS BECAME VERY OUT OF CONTROL AGGITATED. SUBSEQUENTLY THE [ ] WENT TO JUDGE [ ] WITH THE SAME ALLEGATIONS. I WAS SUMMONED TO COURT BY JUDGE [ ] ARMED ONLY WITH THE TRUTH AND A COPY OF THE SHERIFFS OFFICE FINDINGS, I WAS SOMEHOW FOUND GUILTY OF VIOLATING HIS COURT ORDER. JUDGE [ ] DISCOUNTED THE SHERIFFS OFFICE FINDINGS. THE [ ] ALLEGED COLLUSION ON THE PART OF MYSELF AND THE SHERIFFS OFFICE. A LENGTHY INTERNAL INVESTIGATION WAS COMPLETED BY [ ] EXONERATING THE OFFICERS. AS WELL AS WITNESS STATEMENTS BY SEVERAL OTHERS VERIFYING

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

MY VERSION OF THE EVENTS OF THAT DAY. STATEMENTS MADE BY JUDGE [ ] AT THIS HEARING TEND TO SHOW JUDGE [ ] ENTERED THIS PROCEEDING WITH A PRE CONCEIVED VERDICT. THE [ ] HAVE SINCE FILED NUMEROUS COMPLAINTS THROUGH THE COURT AND COUNTY CODE ENFORCEMENT, HOLDING UP THIS WRONG DECISION AS A BANNER OF PROOF THAT I'M A BAD PERSON. JUDGE [ ] FOR SOME REASON, DECIDED TO RECUSE HIMSELF FROM HEARING ANY OF THE OTHER CASES. JUDGE [ ] NOT ONLY WRONGFULLY FOUND ME GUILTY, BUT SHOWED A STRONG DISBELIEF IN THE SHERIFFS OFFICE. JUDGE [ ] ALSO REFUSED TO RESPOND TO THE [ ] ALLEGATION OF ME BRANDISHING A FIREARM. JUDGE [ ] ALLOWED THE [ ] INJUNCTION AGAINST HARASSMENT WITH FALSE AND DAMAGING ALLEGATIONS, WHILE REFUSING TO ISSUE THE INJUNCTION AGAINST HARASSMENT AGAINST THE [ ] [ ] WAS CITED FOR CRIMINAL VIOLATION OF THE ORIGINAL ORDERS, AS WELL AS SHERIFF REPORTS OF THREATENING TO SHOOT ME. THOSE WERE BURIED FOR SOME REASON. I ALSO BELIEVE BY STATEMENT MADE BY JUDGE [ ] THAT HE HAS SPOKEN TO THE PRO-TEM JUDGE IN SUBSEQUENT CASES TO TAIN MY CREDIBILITY. I HAVE DOCUMENTATION OF THE FIRST HEARING (TRANSCRIPT) AS WELL AS THE [ ] INTERNAL INVESTIGATION. I HOPE TO SPEAK TO SOMEONE IN YOUR OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. THE WHOLE ORDEAL IS MUCH TOO ~~LONG~~ LEGNTHY TO WRITE OUT IN THIS FORM. MY ATTORNEY'S OPINIONS MAY BE OF INTREST ALSO. THANKYOU FOR YOUR TIME. [ ]