

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-053

Complainant: No. 1279410320

Judge: No. 1279410320

ORDER

The complainant alleged that the judge failed to follow the law and ruled improperly. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. Moreover, the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 28, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 28, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-053

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: [] Judge's name: [] Date: 2/10/06

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

THE CONDUCT OF THIS JUDGE WAS THAT HE IGNORED THE LAW THAT PROTECTS INNOCENT CITIZENS.
WE WERE SUMMONED INTO HIS COURT ON A FORCIBLE DETAINER OF NON PAYMENT OF RENT.
THE APPELLEE CAME INTO JUDGE [] COURT ROOM WITH REPRESENTATION, [] WE WERE NOT REPRESENTED AS WE FELT IT WAS UNNECESSARY FOR A SUMMONS SUCH AS THIS ONE, WE HAD PROOF OF PAYMENT OF RENT THAT WAS RETURNED BY THE APPELLEE UNOPENED. HAD WE KNOWN OF THIS REPRESENTATION, [] WE WOULD HAVE PREPARED OUR CASE BETTER WITH REPRESENTATION OF OUR OWN.
[] CONVINCED JUDGE [] THAT THE SUMMONS WAS FOR HOLD OVER. THE JUDGE IGNORED THE SUMMONS AND TOOK [] WORD FOR IT. [] TOLD THE JUDGE THAT HE HAD FILED THE HOLD OVER, WHICH WAS NEVER FILED, AND THE JUDGE AGAIN TOOK [] AT HIS WORD.
FROM THAT POINT ON THE JUDGE LET [] PRESENT HIS CASE TELLING ME I WOULD HAVE MY CHANCE WHEN I WOULD TRY TO DEFEND MY CASE.
THE JUDGE DISMISSED MY COUNTER CLAIM WHICH CONTAINED PROOF OF PAYMENT OF RENT & THE FACT THAT OUR LEASE HAD BEEN FRAUDULENT FROM THE VERY BEGINNING. THE APPELLEE HAD BROKEN THE LAW BY CHARGING 275% FOR SECURITY DEPOSIT, THE LAW CLEARLY STATES 150% IS ALLOWED. WHEN HE FINALLY LET ME SPEAK, HE & [] HAD AGREED ON A JUDGEMENT, & EVERYTHING I SAID WAS

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Supplemental Page no. _____

CJC-06-053

DISMISSED WITH THE JUDGE TELLING ME IT WAS FOR ANOTHER COURT OR IT WAS TOO LATE FOR ME TO ASK.

MY RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE LEASE & THEN AGAIN IN JUDGE [REDACTED] COURT ROOM.

THE APPELLEE'S LAWYER LIED & THE JUDGE ALLOWED IT.

THE JUDGE IGNORED THE SUMMONS & TOOK [REDACTED] WORD.

THE JUDGE DISMISSED MY PERFECTLY LEGAL COUNTER CLAIM FOR NONPAYMENT OF RENT. THE JUDGE DISMISSED MY LEGAL RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL ON A NONPAYMENT OF RENT SUMMONS.

IT BOILS DOWN TO THE FACT THAT THE JUDGE IGNORED THE DOCUMENTS & BROKE THE LAW BY DOING SO.

THE JUDGE IGNORED MY RIGHTS WHICH IS ALSO AGAINST THE LAW.

IF YOU NEED MORE ON THIS CASE, PLEASE DO NOT HESITATE TO LET ME KNOW & I WILL DO MY BEST TO PROVIDE YOU WITH WHATEVER IS IMPORTANT.