

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-070

Complainant: No. 1280710627A

Judge: No. 1280710627B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The complainant alleged that the hearing officer did not know the law and was rude toward him during his small claims hearing. The investigation did not substantiate the allegations.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 28, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 28, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-070

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: Judge's name: Date: 3/4/06

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Some time in the fall of 2004 my television antenna got hit by lightning and got a slight damage inside. As my system is one of the first ones made I called and they told me they could repair it for about \$100.02 including shipping. I had it packed by a professional packer and on I took it to shipping and said I like to get this package to he took the package, shook it put it on the scale and said; that will be \$ 17.50 and gave me a very small cashier receipt you can no longer read. after a long time I got the enclosed back from damaged beyond repair. When I contacted they told me that all they would do was to give me \$100.00 Take it or leave it. I then contacted a satellite repair shop, I was informed that a new receiver would cost about \$100.00 and that they had used ones I could buy for less than \$50.00. However, they would not work on my old system, I would have to replace the roof ~~parts~~ parts a dome that had all the components in it at a price of \$1,300.00 would not talk to me. I heard about Small Claims Court and whom decided by legal and moral law what was right and what was wrong, so I filed. The copies of all court doings is enclosed.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

CJC-06-070

At the first hearing, the Judge heard a few words from myself and the defendant and then he said to me: I am going to dismiss this case without prejudice. so i asked him: what does that mean? He said that means you can file again, I said, I do not understand, why must I file again? he said; you must first get your ducks in a row. That kind of legal terminology I do not understand so I kept digging and reading the papers over and over and I finally come to the conclusion that the ducks must be in what [redacted] call the Carmack Amendment, so I went to a Law Library to find out.

I gave the no. that [redacted] had on my papers, he went on the Computer and came up with a Federal law pertaining to retired navy officer. I then went to a person I knew that had a computer, she kept searching and finally came up with the correct no. 49 USC a14706 et seq. and came up with the copy of Carmack to the Rescue, ENCLOSED.

I filed my case again, I presented my case like I did before, I said; I also request that any decision made will be based on the terms and rules of the Carmack Amendment. I got a puzzle look and a arm movement saying, what are you talking about? Since the Carmack Amendment is a Law that been on the books for many years and it was mentioned in our case this time and it was mentioned last time, I figured that he would know it. Maybe I made a mistake when I asked him if he did not know it, but when I said that I would give him a copy and handed him one, if look could kill, I would not be here the veins on his forehead looked like they were going to burst. He said a few words to the defendant and then he said; [redacted] I am going to find in your favor, I am going to give you \$100.00. When I tried protest, he said; quit your yacking, I am not going to change anything, I do not make my decisions by what somebody say, I make them the way I feel. You are throug in this Court.

