

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-081

Complainant: No. 1281800023A

Judge: No. 1281800023B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. Although the complainant may have been in the court lobby at the time the hearing began, the court staff routinely announces that a case is starting when one party is missing, and in this instance, the complainant did not respond. There is no evidence of the hearing beginning early or any intentional exclusion of the complainant. The other issues raised in the complaint concern the facts of the case and are legal in nature. The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decision.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 27, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 27, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-06-081

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name [redacted] Judge's name [redacted] Date: 3/20/06

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I was at this Court House twice to get 2 Injunctions against harassment first time on [redacted] and again on [redacted] after speaking to the judge, he wanted to see the main person I was seeking the injunction for and his friend/neighbor [redacted] this hearing was set for [redacted]. I arrived the defendants did not so I stated my case and was granted these injunctions. Since then I have been trying to serve these and most recently [redacted] was served. [redacted] injunction had NOT been served due to the fact where ever the officer or process server would go they would be told "haven't seen him, etc, etc." In the meantime I got served by [redacted]. I request a hearing and a hearing was granted on [redacted]. Now, I arrived at approx 3:42^{I took a right} And I was the ONLY PERSON UP AT THE Counter when finally a Clerk came over checked my name in because I stated I was there for a 3:45 hearing she instructed me to go into the Courtroom and when I did, judge [redacted] told me that I am late and his rule still stands. I tried to explain to him, that I was NOT late. I looked right at the clock on the wall and recorded, + that clock it was NOT even 3:45 yet. So then had to have started this hearing early. But most importantly these reasons are lies. She cannot prove I did any of these acts except for the accusation so what she has as [redacted] which was actually [redacted]. I did not go into the Trunk of her car I believe the microwave would not have even fit in the Trunk of her car. And this is why it was so important for me to be able to tell my side of the story because I didn't try to win her over I was attempting to leave because there were 10-12 people trying to get me because of her and her lies. They thought I was stealing this microwave when in fact I'm the one (Attach additional sheets as needed) who brought it there in the first place. Its amazing that she left that part out of her story. And why if a neighbor chased me away, why was the so called "witness" in the Court Room? Because its all lies.

[redacted] CJC-06-081 [redacted]

also most importantly, [redacted] is using this injunction against harassment as leverage. Reason being, she went to the

[redacted] justice Court on [redacted] in [redacted] Court

had these false allegations filed with the Court knowing what was going to happen to me the very next day (what her son was planning to do to me) and knowing that I would not be able to go near there I could not find out or see that either she was wearing a piece of my stolen jewelry or see if my stolen property was in her home And notice they were moving. They have been moving (saying) since the day

I met [redacted] And as to these new charges of me "showing up at their new address," I did not even

know until [redacted] called me a mentioned that they were moving and then the phone went dead. Obviously someone heard what was said and made [redacted] hang up or [redacted] realized I did not know about the move and hang up the phone. That's very obvious. That's why I believe [redacted] is the one writing on the sidewalk, not telling his mother the truth. However, [redacted]

does not seek the truth either and that's why I believe she knows full well what her son is doing, and she covers for him.

Another important statement I need to make is shortly after I was served this injunction I spoke to [redacted] at length she in her car and me in my vehicle. At length. So if she is/was so afraid of me then why did she seek me out and speak with me? My point? [redacted] knows full well she's making up some if not all of these accusations that's where I believe the leverage comes in, so I cannot recover or try

[redacted] **CJC-06-081** [redacted]

to possibly recover my stolen items that [redacted] stole from me. That's the only reason as to why I was parking across the street to see where [redacted] came from or going in, to either approach the people who may possibly had purchase my stolen items from [redacted] or to tell the detective where my stolen items are. obvious that they are together in committing this crime!! [redacted] are extremely deceitful and deceptive.

Ultimately, I want [redacted] caught, for the aggravated assault and Domestic Violence acts upon me and I'll go to any length to see [redacted] apprehended to pay for his crime that have been on me to the extent of the law.

Again, its extremely obvious that [redacted] [redacted] were both behind these acts committed against me and my home and car. And Judge [redacted] allowed this to happen and to continue to happen against me with this injunction. What ever happened to "Innocent until proven guilty"? Is this not misconduct? I am the true victim here, not [redacted]