

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-109

Complainant: No. 1274510591A

Judge: No. 1274510591B

ORDER

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct.

It is clear on the face of the complaint that the complainant is seeking to overturn the judge's ruling. Because the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 2, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 2, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS **CJC-06-109**

Instructions: Please use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. In your own words, describe specifically what the judge did that you believe is misconduct. You should provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint, but you do not need to cite the applicable canons of judicial conduct. Although you may attach additional pages, do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you feel will help us understand your complaint.

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date:

4/18/06

-Double Jeopardy-

EACH COURT DECISION is supposed to be based on an earlier decision, which is called "precedent" to show that your constitutional Rights have been violated, you point to good court decisions in earlier cases and describe how the facts in those cases are similar to the facts in your case. You should also show how the general principles of constitutional law presented in the earlier decisions apply to your situation.

All facts have been stated to Hon. Judge from past sentencing decisions made. My Constitutional rights 5th & 14th Amendments have been violated. I have filed a post conviction relief. Due to misconduct. ER. 8.4 MISCONDUCT

IT IS professional misconduct for a lawyer to
(A) violate or attempt to violate THE Rules of professional conduct knowingly assist or induce another to do so, or do so through the act of another
(C) ENGAGE IN CONDUCT involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation.

(E) Knowingly assist a Judge or Judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of applicable Rules of Judicial Conduct or other law

Fruit of Poisonous TREE DOCTRINE 14th AMEND.
direct result of immediate product of illegal conduct by a official. 371 U.S. 471. - 404 US 222.
Docket Code's. 189, 021, 115.

Being sentenced to sentence already served.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)