

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 06-124

---

Complainant:	No. 1285510550A
Judge:	No. 1285510550B

---

**ORDER**

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that the issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct.

The complainant alleged, in part, that the judge awarded residential custody of her grandchildren to the father without considering the rights of the mother. She further alleged that the judge granted the mother preliminary permission to leave the state but did not explain the repercussions of moving out of state.

It is clear on the face of the complaint that the complainant is seeking to overturn the judge's ruling. Because the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 16, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 16, 2006.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-124

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: [ ] Judge's name: [ ] 5/4/06

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Judge [ ] made ruling in the custody case of [ ]  
[ ] for custody of [ ] only considering the rights of father with  
absolutely no consideration for:

- Rights of mother and their baby sister [ ] born in [ ]
- Right of grandparents, uncles, aunts, cousins, the extended family in [ ]  
(Father has one brother and a sister-in-law in [ ] no other family close; [ ]  
[ ] met them once when they were babies, [ ]
- Rights of [ ] their right to spend their days home with Mom instead  
of at daycare when their father is working; their exposure to a mother's female  
influence as they grow up to become mature young ladies.
- The preliminary ruling to allow the mother and step-father to take [ ]  
out of state when she new the mother and step-father's intention was to move  
back to [ ] (Mother & Stepfather were forced to move back to [ ]  
due to trouble my daughter's husband's son by his first marriage and problem  
with his house in [ ] not selling when it was on market for over 6 months.  
Father of the [ ] chose to stay in [ ] At this time, Judge [ ] did not  
explain or even mention the repercussions of the move out of state. The mother  
was given positive feedback from her attorney at that time "this is a good sign the  
judge is letting you leave the state." If she had known it would come to this, she  
would have never left [ ]
- When said father was living in [ ] with mother and children, he did not  
work for a good share of the time and mother had to maintain two jobs to meet  
support family and meet bills and yet, under Judge [ ] ruling, the father  
became the best parent to be allowed to have residential custody.
- Cruel joke this custody decision is playing out with manipulative father  
continually making new demands that drag my daughter into court again for more  
money and ridiculous allegations that Judge [ ] continues to entertain.
- The financial burden this judgment puts on both parties to continue long distance  
visitation when it could have been an easy solution to have father move back to  
[ ] to have visitation and access to children very easy. By father's own  
admission to my husband and me and my daughter "he didn't want to move to  
[ ] anyway." His motive is purely revenge.

- Mother tried to bring case back to court in [ ] when father claimed on recorded conversation that he could not afford daycare and needed Mother to keep kids for another month. Judge [ ] denied Mother's motion to reopen case. Father then tried to sue Mother for contempt of order. During said hearing, her current lawyer got the Father to admit to falsifying facts on his pre-hearing statement. Mother was not able to afford fees on plane tickets to keep the girls longer. Father agreed on recorded conversation that he would pay the extra fees connected with changing the plane ticket. He refused when the time came, so Mother could not return children at agreed upon time. Judge did not rule in Father's favor monetarily, but she stated on decision that "Mother acted in bad faith."

If you choose to dismiss all of these above points as the rantings of a [ ] what about the shuffling of those two children back and forth, time spend away from their little sister, which may prove to be harmful to any relationship they may have as they get older. Remember the saying "It takes a city to raise a child." The extended family is very important, the Father in [ ] cannot proved that crucial support.

I am begging for the Commission to take a look at this custody case and right the wrong that has been done to my daughter, Mother of [ ] and to the extended family.

[ ]

[ ]