

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-127

Complainant: No. 1110400246A

Judge: No. 1110400246B

ORDER

This complaint was reviewed. The complainant made the same complaint against the same judge in 1999 and that case was dismissed as being frivolous. There is no evidence of ethical misconduct.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 16, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 16, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-06-127

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: Judge's name: Date:

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

THIS COMPLAINT CONCERNS WILLFUL MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE;
 WILLFUL AND PERSISTENT FAILURE TO PERFORM DUTIES;
 VIOLATION OF THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT;
 VIOLATION OF THIS JUDGE'S SWORN OATH OF OFFICE;
 CONDUCT THAT BRINGS THE JUDICIARY INTO DISREPUTE.

1- I SUBMITTED THE FOLLOWING EVIDENCE TO THE JUDGE TO SUBSTANTIATE MY ALLEGATIONS:

2- TWO LETTERS BY THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE ALLEGING MISCONDUCT AND CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS OF MY TRIAL ATTORNEY AND THE PROSECUTOR. MY PROSECUTOR WAS AND THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES WERE FROM MY TRIAL IN SHOWING THAT THE STATE CREATED FALSE EVIDENCE AND ILLEGALLY TRIED ME BEFORE JUDGE

3- TWO LETTERS FROM THE WITNESSES WHO TESTIFIED AT MY TRIAL THAT THE PROSECUTOR FORCED THEM TO LIE. THESE WITNESSES AND WERE IN THE COURT ROOM AND WILLING TO TESTIFY AS TO WHAT THE PROSECUTOR TOLD THEM TO SAY AND HOW THEY WERE THREATENED INTO TESTIFYING.

4- EVIDENCE WAS SUBMITTED THAT THIS CRIME COULD NOT HAVE TAKEN PLACE IN ARIZONA. THIS EVIDENCE IS IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVITS AND LETTERS DATED PRIOR TO MY TRIAL.

5- EVIDENCE WAS SUBMITTED IN THE FORM

5. (CONTINUED)

OF [REDACTED] SHERIFFS EVIDENCE TRACKING FORMS WHICH SHOWED THAT TWO SHERIFFS OFFICERS WITHDREW FROM THE EVIDENCE LOCKERS POLAROID PHOTOS AND [REDACTED] JAIL VISITATION RECORDS WHICH SHOWED THESE OFFICERS VISITED ME ON AN UNRELATED MATTER I ALLEGED THAT I HAD HANDLED THE PHOTOGRAPHS THEY STATED THEY NEVER VISITED ME AND DID NOT HAVE THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT WEEK

6. I REQUESTED 5 DOCUMENTS FROM THE COURT WHICH WERE PART OF THE [REDACTED] TRIAL WHICH WOULD SHOW BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT I WAS NOT GUILTY. JUDGE [REDACTED] ORDERED THE STATE TO PRODUCE THESE DOCUMENTS. THE STATE REFUSED. AGAIN HE (JUDGE) ORDERED THE STATE TO PRODUCE THESE DOCUMENTS. THE STATE AGAIN REFUSED [REDACTED]

JUDGE [REDACTED] THEN STATED ON RECORD THAT HE WOULD HAVE HIS J.A. PULL AND FURNISH ME WITH THESE COPIES. AT THE NEXT STATUS CONFERENCE THE JUDGE DENIED THE PETITION AND REFUSED TO FURNISH ME WITH THESE COPIES.

7. THIS SAME JUDGE REFUSED TO INVESTIGATE OR ALLOW ME TO CALL IN WITNESSES CONCERNING THE TWO SHERIFFS LETTERS THIS SAME JUDGE WOULD NOT ALLOW ANY TESTIMONY FROM THE TWO WITNESSES WHO STATED THEY WERE FORCED BY THE STATE TO LIE.

THIS SAME JUDGE REFUSED TO INVESTIGATE OR EVEN QUESTION THE [] AFFIDAVITS AND LETTERS WHICH WERE NEWLY DISCOVERED INFORMATION

THIS SAME JUDGE WOULD NOT ALLOW ME TO TESTIFY UNDER OATH AS TO THE INFORMATION AND EVIDENCE I SUBMITTED SHOWING CRIMINAL ACTIVITY IN MY CASE

THIS SAME JUDGE WOULD NOT ENFORCE HIS TWO ORDERS TO THE STATE COLLECT THE DOCUMENTS THAT WOULD PROVE MY INNOCENCE IN THIS CASE AND AFTER GETTING THIS EVIDENCE THROUGH HIS JA READ IT AND SAW THAT THE STATE USE ILLEGAL MEANS UNCONSTITUTIONAL IN NATURE TO GAIN A CONVICTION, THIS SAME JUDGE REVIEWED THE EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE JAIL VISITS AND IGNORED THE OFFICER LIES.

My COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE IS:

- A- THAT HE WILLFULLY COVERED UP UNLAWFUL ACTION / ACTS DONE BY THE STATE TO GAIN A CONVICTION.
- B- THAT HE REVIEW THESE 5 DOCUMENTS AND MY RULE 32 AND THAT THE FACTS I PRESENTED WERE TRUE AND DENIED ME ACCESS TO THE COURTS BY REFUSING TO FURNISH EVIDENCE HE KNEW WOULD SET ME FREE AND CAUSE A POSSIBLE SCANDAL IN THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM DUE TO THE ILLEGAL MISCONDUCT OF THE STATE AND COVERED UP THESE ACTS KNOWING THEY WERE ILLEGAL.
- C- HE WILLFULLY REFUSED TO GRANT TESTIMONY INTO / ABOUT THE TRUTH OF THIS WRONGDOING AND WILLFULLY AIDED TO COVER UP A CRIMINAL ACT (FALSE IMPRISONMENT AND KIDNAPPING)
- D- THAT HE WILLFULLY REFUSED TO INVESTIGATE WRONG DOING OF A CRIMINAL NATURE KNOWN TO HIM AND THAT HE KNOWINGLY JOINED THE WRONG DOERS IN THIS COVERUP.

- E. THAT HE WILLFULLY COVERED UP THE CRIMINAL ACTION OF THE STATE IN GAINING A CONVICTION INCLUDING THE ACTIONS OF THE TRIAL JUDGE WHO ALSO WAS PART OF THIS ACTION.
- F. THAT BY HIS ACTIONS HE HAS WILLFULLY VIOLATED HIS SWORN OATH OF OFFICE, VIOLATED THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION AND THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONS DUE PROCESS AND ACCESS TO THE COURTS.
- G. HIS ACTIONS ARE NOT ONLY OF A CIVIL NATURE BUT A CRIMINAL NATURE AS WELL.
- H- HIS ACTIONS HAVE DISHONORED THE ENTIRE JUDICIAL SYSTEM
- I - HE HAS FAILED TO INVESTIGATE OR REPORT CRIMINAL WRONG DOINGS
- J- IN ADDITION HE ALSO KNEW OF PROBLEMS IN THE [REDACTED] CLERK'S OFFICE IN WHICH VITAL INFORMATION AND RECORDS THAT WERE SUBMITTED BY ME WERE WILLFULLY LOST NOT SENT TO THE COURT OR MYSELF AND KNEW OF THIS COVERUP AND REFUSED TO EXPOSE IT OR UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTION.

K- THIS SAME JUDGE REFUSED TO HONOR SWORN AFFIDAVITS AND GAVE UNLAWFUL RULINGS

L- THIS SAME JUDGE REFUSED TO INVESTIGATE JURISDICTION OF THE STATE OVER ME KNOWING THAT THE STATE TRIED ME WITHOUT JURISDICTION AS THE RECORD SHOWED.

M THIS SAME JUDGE WITH THE EVIDENCE BEFORE HIM SEEING THAT ALL THE EVIDENCE POINTS TO THAT IF THIS CRIME TOOK PLACE IT TOOK PLACE IN CALIFORNIA AND THAT ARIZONA DID NOT RETAIN JURISDICTION COVERED UP THIS ACT AND REFUSED A JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE HIS REASONING BEING THAT IF I WERE TAKEN OUT OF THIS JURISDICTION THAT I WOULD NOT ONLY FILE A LAWSUIT AGAINST ARIZONA BUT WOULD OPEN UP THE WRONG DOINGS OF THE COURTS ILLEGAL ACTIONS TO THE PUBLIC. DUE TO THIS HIS POLITICAL CAREER WOULD BE ENDED

N BY THIS JUDGE'S INACTION HE
HAS FAILED TO UPHOLD THE INTEGRITY
OF THE JUDICIARY, AIDED IN THE
FALSE IMPRISONMENT AND KIDNAPPING
OF MYSELF VIOLATED MY DUE
PROCESS RIGHTS RETALIATED AGAINST
ME BY DENYING ME ACCESS TO THE
RECORDS WHICH WOULD PROVE MY
INNOCENCE AND COVERED UP
THESE UNLAWFUL ACTION.