

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-141

Complainant: No. 1286800448A

Judge: No. 1286800448B

ORDER

A review of the complaint filed in this matter reveals that there was no misconduct on the part of the judge. The complainant refused to appear for his video arraignment, which was set within the correct time frame. At his next hearing, he began arguing with the judge, who properly appointed counsel to represent him. The other allegations are without merit.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 20, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 20, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Instructions: Please use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. In your own words, describe specifically what the judge did that you believe is misconduct. You should provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint, but you do not need to cite the applicable canons of judicial conduct. Although you may attach additional pages, do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you feel will help us understand your complaint.

Your name: [Redacted] Judge's name: [Redacted] Date: 5-21-16

Greetings Commission, I'll let you people on your panel ascertain the neglect, Malfeasance and Misfeasance along with the Judge's Malpractice, how that took place in this case. I'll only list the discrepancies and you can make your own decisions as to the unethical Malfeasance that took place. First off I contend that the Judge didn't even read nor was he familiar with anything about the case nor could he have made any educated decisions if there was any real probable cause for my arrest (not to mention my continued incarceration because he didn't even take the time to evaluate the truth or validity of the police report. He only went as far as to read four phony trumped up charges that allegedly took place without any proof or probable cause on behalf of the prosecution even. Then he even went as far as to biasly and prejudicially and wrongfully speculate on one charge of "Public Nuisance" he said that probably means that you urinated in public, without any reference even to what the police report said (simple proof).

He also went as far as to interrupt me while I was trying to discuss the charges and finally told me that I'd better be quiet or that had become in "Contempt of Court" if I wasn't. That's when I told him this is a Court of Law and I have a Right to speak on my behalf and proceeded on in my behalf since I wasn't represented by Counsel like I should of been along with not being read my "Miranda Rights" when arrested either. I then proceeded to explain and ask that the case be dismissed on the certain and

simple grounds that I wasn't even arraigned in the proper amount of time the 10 days that the state has to do this. According to Az. Rules & Procedure of Criminal Law (which the Judge violated on 4-10-16 and this Arraignment hearing or pre-trial was not held until 17 days after my initial appearance. That's when I got upset and told the Judge they got it because I know I was being real and that hearing that should of never even been held. And after the hearing was all said and done the Judge violated his official duties & responsibility according to Az. Rules on Judicial Conduct (Canon #3 B, paragraph #5 & #7 and also clearly stated in Canon #2 par. A) and I contend that the Judge should of only imposed a Contempt of Court "injunction" against me and not even filed a formal charge of a more seriousness of "Disorderly conduct" that's totally used for an outburst in a courtroom to warrant that serious of a charge, really. So there you have it Commission I know for a fact that the Judge [Redacted] is in violation of all of the Malpractice and Misconduct that I've mentioned here & on pg. preceding this.

And members of the Judicial Commission I'd now like to pray, simply that Judge [redacted] doesn't actually even know the law, because if he did know and understand the law then he would of never recently signed a No Trespassing injunction order against me without there being any ruling or finding against me yet in any Court proceedings that are pending only alleged allegations. And most of you being your self Judges that have presided over many trials probably know that all Criminal Law is based solely on "INTENT" only, nothing more. That that, what the alleged perpetrator's INTENTION WAS before, and during the actual Commission of any Crime, correct? Good then you can know simply read the law and know the truth without having to wonder how to interpret the Law of the U.S. Constitution and not read anything into it that actually isn't there if you truly understand the word Commission of INTENT, simple as that panel. Let me explain, the Judge by issuing a No Trespassing Injunction order on public property that sits right on Residential Community domain has now opened the window of opportunity for me, to bring a very large punitive damages lawsuit against the [redacted] and the ununiformed and uneducated Arresting Police Officer of the charges of "Defamation of Character" and Slander and Libel against himself by me for instituting and trying to say that I'm not worth or fit of good enough to be on a College Campus to pursue or partake in any educational or physically educational activity that the public College Campus may have to offer. I'm No Menace to society or anyone in it nor a danger to myself or anybody else. And if anyone would care to try and take away my Constitutional Rights that provide me these UNsaid and UNSPOKEN freedoms I won't let them try, but I guaranteed it probably won't be without a fight or even bloodshed. Which only boils down to disrespecting me and my already earned Rights, liberty, and freedoms clearly written and explained in our Country's wonderful and Great Constitution of the United States America.

Nuff said I hope panel. Sincerely [redacted]

I'm sure any Supreme Court or any Appellate Court in this Country won't hesitate to agree with me. I really got tired of explaining the Law to all of these educated idiot's in the little Courtrooms they call Justice. Here's one for ya, panel & Judges, If a police officer knew the Law he'd be a lawyer and not a police officer huh? No Joke. And if Superior Court Judges know the Law they wouldn't need any higher Court of Appeals to hear any wrongful Appeals that may come out of these Superior Courts or lower Courts for that matter. Am I correct? What a bunch of

If you don't even believe me just check Article 1 Section 3 of the AZ. Constitution which states then Road carefully the Vth, VIIth, VIIIth, IXth, Xth, XIth, XIIth, XIIIth, XIVth, XVth, XVIth, XVIIth, XVIIIth, XIXth, XXth, XXIth, XXIIth, XXIIIth, XXIVth, XXVth, XXVIth, XXVIIth, XXVIIIth, XXIXth, XXXth Amendment Rights to due process

(PS. Sorry about the Stationery I used, the System deives me my Vth and XIVth Amendment Rights to due process)

Bullshit the U.S. Justice system is. It's against the Law. Really Serious. I totally will do her hair, with her else.