

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-146

Complainant: No. 1287410646A

Judge: No. 1287410646B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found insufficient evidence of misconduct on the part of the judge to justify further investigation.

The complainant alleged that the judge improperly entered an order of protection when the complainant failed to attend the hearing. The complainant then faxed a motion to the court to continue the hearing which the judge denied. The judge's decision does not constitute misconduct, and the complaint contains no evidence of any other misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: July 25, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 25, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-146

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: [redacted] Judge's name: [redacted] Date: 04-01-00

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Plaintiff [redacted] was granted an Order of Protection against me under false pretenses on [redacted] after telephoning my mother and admitting to Mocking my 3 yr bid, to prevent me from entering a shared residence to get my belongings + evidence of the crime. I was not served until [redacted] When I returned to the residence for my property, not expecting Plaintiff to be there. I requested a hrg on Order as I wanted to be able to get my property and clear my name/record. This matter has caused tremendous emotional turmoil and duress and I was unable to attend the hearing, I faxed a Motion to Continue, which the Court ignored, until the original mailed copy was rec'd. (I have documentation to prove the Court rec'd faxed copy). My Motion was denied, of course, and a Default Judgment was entered against me, as the Judge failed to allow my emotional duress as "good cause". When I filed an Appeal, the Judge issued an Order, Mocking my mental disability and retaliated against me for doing so. This is specifically stated in the Order. On [redacted] and [redacted] there appears to have been ex parte communication wherein the Court, on its own initiative, filed a separate action against me, in retaliation. Such conduct violates Canon 3(B)7 of the Code of Judicial Conduct (see attached). It appears further ex parte communications took place in case #2 between Judge + City Prosecutor, again, causing prejudice to my position and denying me due process of the law. Additionally, I was never served with summons. Another ex parte act. Plaintiff [redacted] also used his intimate + personal relationships with City [redacted] employees to influence the Court's handling of this matter. Phone records are attached, documenting calls from [redacted] "friend" that works for [redacted] City Government. + I am extremely fearful of Judge [redacted] and his abuse of power. In addition, [redacted] ^{each additional} [redacted] also holds a political office, of which he used/uses to influence the Court. (see Advisory Opinion 02-03 in pertinent part)