

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-162

Complainant: No. 1256210248A

Judge: No. 1256210248B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. Among other things, the complainant disagreed with the court's decision denying his request for out-of-state visitation with his child. This issue is solely appellate in nature and does not involve an allegation of ethical misconduct.

Because the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 28, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 28, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-162

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name [redacted] name [redacted] Date: JUL 8, 2006

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON THE DAY OF [redacted] HON [redacted]
[redacted] WAS VERY RUD TO [redacted]
MY COUNSELER HOW WHO A THERAPIST AND THIS
IS THE SECOND TIME IT HAS HAPPEND WELL
SHE SPOKEN TO [redacted] ONCE BEFORE
IN THE STATE OF [redacted] HER FIRST
ORDER DIDN'T STATE WHAT TO DO
IF A COUNSELER DIES DURING A CASE
AND ALSO HOW TO CONTACT IF A PARTY
HOW REPRESENT HIM SELF IN A CASE.
UNDER MEDICAL LAW FOR A PARTY TO
OBTAIN RECORD ONE MUST NOTIFY ALL PARTY
INVOLVED WITH THE CASE BECAUSE IT ON
GOING. NOW THE JUDGE A CASE OF MENTAL
HEALTH WHERE PETITIONER HAS BEEN
SEEN BACK IN 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006 AND
HAS BEEN RELEASE BUT [redacted]
KEEP USING MENTAL AS A WAY OUT
WHEN [redacted] GONE TO
MANY DIFFERENT HOPITELS HERE IN [redacted]
AND IN [redacted]. ON [redacted] A MOTION
TO STOP THESE SERVICE WAS FILED BUT DENY
SHE USES THE MENTAL HEALTH LAW
AS A COP OUT. MY DAUGHTER WAS
ASKED IF SHE WAS AFRAID TO LEAVE THE
STATE OF ARIZONA WELL [redacted]
WAS IN THE PRESENT OF [redacted] THERIAST

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

ON BACK SIDE

AND HER MOTHER [REDACTED], [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] CENTER SPIT COUNSELOR OF
THE DAUGHTER HER RESPONDS FROM WAS
DO NOT KNOW. MANY OF MOTION HAVE
BEEN FILE AS WELL AS APPEAL THAT
WAS AFFAIRMED. THIS JUDGE [REDACTED]
IS FIRM TO ONE PARTY BUT NEVER HERE
BOTH SIDE OF A CASE. NOW A HEARING
WAS REQUESTED BUT DENIES. UNDER THE FAIR
HEARING LAWS IN ANY MATTER YOU HAVE THE
RIGHT TO BRING A BEFORE THE. SINCE THE APPEAL
ON THIS CASE THIS JUDGE HAS SHOWN
ANY RESOLEN TO THIS CASE NOR
THE COST THAT [REDACTED] HAS
PUT OUT JUST IN FEE AND COUNSELING EXPENSES
MY COUNSELOR DIED WHILE THIS MATTER
WAS SENT FOR REVIEW AND COULD NOT BE
RESOLVED. NO LENGTHS HAVE BEEN TAKEN
TO REESTABLISH CONTACT WITH THE DAUGHTER
UNDER CIVIL LAWS A PARTY HAS THE RIGHT
TO CONTACT ANY PARTY INVOLVED WITH
THE CASE AND LAWERY INCLUDED.
NOW ITS TIME FOR THE SUPREME TO LOOK
INTO THIS CASE

[REDACTED]