

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-168

Complainant: No. 1288810435A

Judge: No. 1288810435B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature and do not involve allegations of ethical misconduct.

Because the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: July 11, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 11, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

[Redacted]

motion: Complain complaint of Judicial
conduct violation of civil rights and mis conduct
of Bias Reasons in case: [Redacted] and in
case: [Redacted]

JUN 16 2006

Argumentative Facts

During The beginning of my case proceeding of a Release hearing held by
Honorable Judge [Redacted] held my Release hearing Judgement to
my Released was denied due to a nonextraditable warrent out
of [Redacted] He also denied me self Representation of self
I was Refused new counsel when Requested for the dismiss of the
attorney [Redacted] and by actions in the proceeding show a
bias arguemet.
The Judgement By The judge in my case for being denied Release
Solely on a nonextraditional Warrent out of [Redacted] Arguement fact
I was incarcerated in Jail in [Redacted] done prison Time and
Released to terms of not Returning to [Redacted] a warrent had no
burying on the fact of my case because of the circumstances
I was not allowed to explain my case fully and was denied I
did at the time own my own home and [Redacted] business to show
more then enough Reason why I should be allowed to be Released
and was denied in proses I lost my home and [Redacted] business
Time frame to my case and warrent was over 5 years old I have
not had any charges of arrest since 4 to 5 years and I was
a good contribution to society. By his own statement and deny me
to respond to even listen to my arguement Showed bias judgement
And through out my case since I feel in his Judgement has been
more of a grudge in judgement then a equal opportunity to my
self in Representation of my case

Facts I CJC-06-168

Being denied a new hearing. Due to the wrong by my defence Attorney who did not explain my rights to the hearing

A Bias plea showing favoritism to the state

Being pushed mentally and Bullied in to signing a Plea

Facts II

During the Time of my settlement conference hearing after the Realization of my violation of my civil rights in ally of my settlement conference. I was not able to Really understand due to the emotional trauma. I was forced to deal with my right to trial were violated by first being denied new council to Representation. My attorney appointed in case of [redacted] was the appointed attorney who Represented me. I Requested new Representation and was denied. I Requested a new Judge during my settlement conference hearing due to unfair judgement and a Bias judgement showing favoritism to state. Emotionally I was distraught in The feeling of being treated unfair and forced into proceeding with council and a judge that I requested new council and was Refused. I also was Refused self representation. The day before I received notice of my wife welfare and was lost in emotional distraught. I felt that if I did not sign that with my attorney and judge [redacted] would be on the state side and I would also receive a hung Jury. during the time of the settlement conference I felt threaten and bullied by the courts with no other way out. Then Judge [redacted] made a comment of I should not sign the plea if I was only signing it out of fear and or just getting out. the exact emotions and feeling I had at the time. This was one argument that me and my attorney had conversation about I felt that between the injustice that I already received I should sign and I signed. Another Reason why I signed was that I was Told by my attorney he would file a Rule 32 and I would be able to Reopen my

my case I explained all this to Judge [redacted] I also explained to him
 that if my civil rights were not violated and I truely understood my plea
 and rights I would have never signed the plea. I am only being charged
 with the violation of my past history and not based on what I've done to day. My
 plea shows a bias judgement giving state control of time frame and not giving
 any leadway to the judgement of a judge that if he felt 5 years would be a
 punishment to ~~severe~~ severe for the violation the control of the plea agreement and
 by the way the plea is written he could not give me fair judgement. By the action
 of the judge during the hearing he showed the state functions through out the
 hearing, during the time of my revocation hearing my civil rights were violated due
 to the appointed attorney [redacted] in the case not explaining my rights and prepare
 a defence on my behalf out of the 6-7 ~~months~~ month my attorney [redacted]
 came and saw me once on a short visit telling me he was handling my case and at
 my hearing. The rights to my hearing were never explained and by not being set
 up and ready. I had a unfair hearing due to more of my rights being violated
 like being able to have people brought in as a witness and having evidence like
 log in book brought in there were so many different way things could have
 been handled but even after I requested to have my hearing reset I was
 denied. even after I showed valid reason to have it done over I was denied
 I am forced and was forced to handle my case with my civil rights to both
 hearings violated feeling emotional abused and frustrated physically and emotionally
 threatened and I lost control being in the state I was in I lost it and what caused
 this is the treatment I received by my judge [redacted] and all the bias functions he
 has shown the state. If I was given a attorney who explained my rights to a
 trial and the true meaning of the plea and what it consist of and with out the
 being pressured by the judgement of [redacted] I would have never signed

CJC-06-168

in the case please being denied self representation or new council to represent me in my case all are true facts and violation of my civil rights and in request of a new judge and councils I was refused and he has been handling my case through out the proceedings and I ask for a new judge and still received no answers by the fact alone of denying me self representation and new council plus the denial of listening to reason of passing a judgement to my case shows bias and favoritism to the courts district attorney and even in the plea in which the deal was made it give full control of the bargain to the state which shows a bias actions in the plea it self and when this was pointed out by new representation Attorney assigned to my case, He requested that the plea be pulled cause of the same reason at points of bias plea and was denied

