

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-169

Complainant: No. 1289010562A

Judge: No. 1289010562B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature. If a judge makes an incorrect ruling or misinterprets the evidence, the correct remedy is to appeal to a court with appropriate jurisdiction. The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: July 25, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 25, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-06-169

Complaint Against A Judge

[redacted] conduct is not in question! His ruling was just and proper. The problems were committed after the hearing. The minute entry was incorrect that had failed to state an important finding from Judge [redacted]. That minute entry failed to be sent out with in the allotted time. This time has lapsed and an unable to file and appeal, on the incorrect minute entry. That the minute entry was not entered on the court docket nor filed into the courts records for the period of 4 years and 9 months. That special consideration should be in order. Due to the nature of the problems that this has caused.

- (1). That the State or the court conduct an investigation.
- (2). That the State or the court be held accountable for just and proper relief.
- (3) That the State Bar be held accountable for the improper action on a complaint issued against [redacted]
- (4) A violation of the Arizona Code of Conduct For Judicial Employees has been committed.
- (5) Harassment: I have been harassed by the AG's Office and Child Support Enforcement.

On the [redacted] I went to [redacted] requested to view court records pertaining to the case. I gone through the records, the minute entry and transcript were not in the records. I requested a supervisor, I ask if she could find the minute entry, she looked through the records, could not find it as well. She looked on the computer, she came back and said that it wasn't on the computer or the docket as well.

That the AAG has brought another case before the court on [redacted] stating that arraerages in the sum [redacted] plus pre-judgment interest is still owed.

That in [redacted] the court ruled that no arrearages are owed. That the minute entry from the [redacted] hearing is incorrect and needs to be corrected. The AG's Office had this information from the [redacted] hearing. I am alleging that [redacted] was an influencing factor in the outcome of this case. The outcome was unethical and has no

merit, that I have been wronged for not being a deadbeat dad. That I took my responsibility very seriously. That in [] a medical problem had disabled me. That my attorney failed to follow up on.

The State of Arizona has wrongfully assessed arrearages and interest on child support that has been paid. That the State has failed to request information from the State [] The State has not submitted any evidence to prove their allegations, just their printouts and the words that come from their mouth. It was proven that the person was caught committing fraud and perjury in court, That the State is unwilling to correct this wrong.

That [] has received the sum of [] from [] through [] That at anytime [] failed to receive any child support, she could file a complaint with court. The only items [] filed with the court, was her two changes of addresses. That the Circuit Court of [] has collected the sum of [] towards my child support. That these payments were all voluntary, that I was honoring the court order. The State of Arizona has not honored me, it condemned me. At the top of their printout under voluntary payments you will see nothing was accounted for.

The grand total of [] was never credited by the State of Arizona and Child Support Enforcement. That this requires an investigation into the operational practices to collect other information. That the burden of proof was not supplied to the court by the State. That [] stated that there was no judgment entered. That [] had admitted to receiving the money. That the State better get a hard copy of the transcript and read it.

I HAVE BEEN MARKED AS A DEADBEAT DAD

[]

Signature

20 June 2006

Date