

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-179

Complainant: No. 1290510284A

Judge: No. 1290510284B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. A judge may take up to 60 days to rule on a motion pursuant to Arizona statutes. The clock on motions does not begin to run until the time allowed for responding and replying has expired.

The judge has a duty to rule based on his or her evaluation of the facts and evidence presented at the trial. If the judge makes an error, the only remedy is through appeal. The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions.

This complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: July 18, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 18, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-179

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: _____

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

COMPLAINT IS BASED ON THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGE TOOK 59 DAYS TO MAKE FIRST RULING AND SUBSEQUENT TESTIMONY BY BOTH PARTIES VERBALLY AND IN WRITING, PETITION FOR A NEW TRIAL WAS SUBMITTED AND AS I WROTE THIS IT HAS BEEN ANOTHER 58 DAYS. AN APPOINTED JUDGE PAID FOR BY TAX DOLLARS SHOULD BE COMPETENT ENOUGH TO RULE BY EVIDENCE AND TESTIMONY IN A TIMELY MANNER NOT LEAVING CASE TO THE VERY END THEN RULING (59 DAYS LATER). IT IS HUMAN NATURE TO FORGET THINGS OVER TIME, THEREFORE I AM SURE MOST JUDGES CLEAR THEIR SLATE AS SOON AS THEY CAN TO HAVE THINGS FRESH ON THEIR MINDS. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT ALL LAWYERS ARE BUMPED HERE NOW BECAUSE OF NOT ONLY MY CASE BUT ALL CASES TAKING FULL AMOUNT OF TIME ALLOWED BEFORE PAYCHECK IS PAID (60 DAYS), AND FOR RULINGS LEAVING BOTH SIDES WANDERING. MY EX-WIFE'S ATTORNEY DIDN'T EVEN KNOW WHAT TO WRITE SINCE HER ORDER WAS SO VAGUE SAYING "WHAT WE AGREED ON IN COURT"! THAT'S WHY WE WERE THERE, WE DIDN'T AGREE! A LARGE SUM OF MONEY WAS SPENT ON A NEW ROOM ADDITION TO THE HOUSE IN QUESTION, IN WRITING MY EX AGREED I DESERVED 1/2 OF THE ROOM ADDITION YET THE JUDGE RULES DIFFERENTLY BECAUSE SHE REFERS TO 2 MONTH OLD NOTES SHE DROPPED DOWN, SAYING I SAID THERE WAS BONDAGE, WHEN WE ALL DID AND WE BOTH PAID OUR WAY DURING OUR MARRIAGE. I WOULD GO ON FOREVER BUT I REALLY DO FEEL SHE IS INCOMPETENT TO HOLD THAT POSITION AND THAT HAS COST ME OVER 7000⁰⁰ IN ATTORNEY FEES SO FAR FOR A RULING THAT WAS UNFAIR AND UNJUST!

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

NOTE — I HAVE BEEN WITHOUT ALL MY PERSONAL PROPERTY SINCE JAN 2005
COURT DRAYS NO JUDGES, NO COURT APPOINTMENTS ETC... EX WILL NOT GIVE IT TO ME