

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-185

Complainant: No. 1267900448A

Judge: No. 1267900448B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 15, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 15, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.



09 JULY 2006 CJC-06-185

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Your name: [redacted] Judges Names: [redacted] Date: 09 JULY 2006

Statement

Sometime in the early to mid 1990s, I made formal complaints against a [redacted] Police Officer named [redacted] and one or more of his officers for what I believed to be police misconduct. At the time, I was living in [redacted] Arizona.

I now live some [redacted] miles away in [redacted] Arizona and am involved in civil litigation with a [redacted] who is named as a defendant in an action filed in [redacted] Superior Court. [redacted] is a neighbor who lives nearby.

Judge [redacted] has been using his position as a judge to harass me for almost [redacted] years. He issued an illegal search warrant against my business in the late [redacted]. In early [redacted] [redacted] went almost [redacted] miles, all the way to [redacted] to Judge [redacted] and obtained an Injunction Against Harassment against my wife and I using a defective Petition. I noticed Judge [redacted] and the case was transferred. Why did [redacted] go to [redacted] when the local court would have sufficed? Because she knew the allegations in the Petition were not sufficient to justify the issuance of the Injunction and she apparently knew of Judge [redacted] enmity towards me.

Now [redacted] has again returned to Judge [redacted] and he has issued a second injunction against harassment based upon the same set of facts as the first one, and this one was issued even before the first one expired. It is clear that something is going on between [redacted] and Judge [redacted] that he would issue a second Injunction based on allegations over one year old. He does not like me and has been getting even with me for filing a complaint against him when he was a cop.

At the very least, Judge [redacted] should recuse himself from anything to do with me. It's not like he's a local Judge; his jurisdiction is [redacted] hours away. His issuing of multiple Injunctions is obviously motivated by revenge and nothing else. It is apparent that Judge [redacted] is going to extend this petition indefinitely based on his hatred for me, with no regard for the actual facts of the matter.