

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-188

Complainant: No. 1290910348A

Judge: No. 1290910348B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature. The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: July 25, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott _____
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 25, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

JULY 11, 2006

JUL 14 2006

CJC-06-188

TO: COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
ARIZONA STATE COURTS BUILDING
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET, RM 229
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

RE: I'M WRITTING YOU TO RESPECTFULLY
REQUEST THE ASSISTANCE OF THE COMMISSION
ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT TO INVESTIGATE THE
JUDGE PRESIDING OVER MY CASE, JUDGE

ON [REDACTED] I FILED A MOTION TO
CHANGE COUNSEL THROUGH INMATE LEGAL
SERVICES. WHEN I WENT TO COURT ON
[REDACTED] I MADE JUDGE [REDACTED]
AWARE OF MY MOTION TO CHANGE COUNSEL. I
OFFERED HIM A COPY OF THE MOTION BUT HE
REFUSED TO ACCEPT THE COPY. HE STATED
HE WOULD ADDRESS THE MOTION LATER WHEN

CJC-06-188

HE RECEIVE IT. I RECEIVED A LETTER FROM JUDGE [REDACTED] ON [REDACTED], THAT MY MOTION TO CHANGE COUNSEL WOULD BE HEARD ON [REDACTED]

ON [REDACTED] I WENT BACK TO COURT, JUDGE [REDACTED] READ MY REASONS ON THE MOTION AND DENIED MY MOTION STATING THAT MY ATTORNEY [REDACTED] WAS DOING A GOOD JOB. I REMINDED JUDGE [REDACTED] THAT I HAD ASKED [REDACTED] SEVERAL TIMES THROUGH, CERTIFIED LETTERS, VOICE MAIL AND IN PERSON FOR ALL THE DISCOVERY IN MY CASE. IN MY LETTERS TO [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I HAVEN'T RECEIVED ALL THE COPIES ON MY CASE.

1. ACCORDING TO 1963 SUPREME COURT DECISION BRADY V. MARYLAND PROSECUTOR SHOULD GIVE THE DEFENSE ALL THE DISCOVERY IN MY CASE.

2. ACCORDING TO ARIZONA RULES OF DISCOVERY, RULE 15, I AM ENTITLED TO HAVE A COPY OF ALL THE DISCOVERY IN MY CASE.

JUDGE [REDACTED] BASED HIS DECISION ON THE FACT THAT COPIES COST MONEY,

CJC-06-188

BUT THIS SHOULD BE SECONDARY. I AM INDIGENT AND I NEED TO HAVE ALL DOCUMENTS ON MY CASE TO BE PREPARED FOR TRIAL, OVER RIDES THE COST ISSUE.

SINCE [REDACTED] WAS ASSIGNED TO ME [REDACTED], SHE CAME TO VISIT ME THREE TIMES IN JAIL. SHE HASN'T COMPLETED ANY INVESTIGATIVE ON MY DEFENSE.

ON [REDACTED], I ATTENDED ANOTHER COURT IN WHICH THE JUDGE [REDACTED] VIOLATED MY RIGHT TO A SPEEDY TRIAL. I DID NOT WAIVE MY RIGHTS TO A SPEEDY TRIAL. I ASKED [REDACTED] TO FILE A SPECIAL ACTION FOR ME AND SHE REFUSES. STATING IT'S A WASTE OF TIME. [REDACTED] ISN'T LOOKING OUT FOR MY BEST INTEREST. I HAVE LOST FAITH IN HER AND SHE IS NOT DEFENDING ME. SHE HAS ACCUSED ME OF BEING GUILTY.

JUDGE [REDACTED] DECISION ON CONTINUING MY CASE VIOLATES MY RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS. I FEEL DISCRIMINATED BY JUDGE [REDACTED] THIS IS THE REASON I AM CONTACTING YOU.

SINCERELY

[REDACTED]