

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-193

Complainant: No. 1078600448A

Judge: No. 1078600448B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 15, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 15, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-198

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

name:

Date:

7/15/06

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I came to court early, checked in then proceeded to the courtroom. After about 10 minutes I was told the courtroom was changed because the DPS officers requested Judge [redacted] instead of the hearing officer. This request should have been objected because the judge was an ex-cop and the fact that the officers requested him I was prejudiced before it even began. The judge allowed at least 20 minutes to the DPS officers to talk about another accident that had nothing to do with the case at hand. The judge interrupted me on several occasions when I tried to state my side. The judge did not reprimand the police for the fraudulent accident report, but told me I should not say anything against police (DPS). The judge also allowed three other DPS officers to sit in court even though they were not listed as witnesses. He let the ticket for unsafe vehicle stand, which he should not have, as why would I drive a car that is not safe?

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

CJC-06-193

This accident was caused by another woman, but because DPS stated my hood flew open. They ticketed me 6 months after the accident. I gave the judge a repair ticket for my car, that clearly states that the mechanics were doing work under the hood.

I feel this judge could not have been impartial as his background being a cop would side with DPS and he did.

Even though the police had false information on the original police report, the judge ~~did~~ did not mention that at all, even though the fact that the information on the woman that hit "me"

- (1) should have recused himself
- (2) why did DPS @ last minute request the judge + not the hearing officer

Sent some information along with complaint.

* Need to listen to tape of that day

- ① How come when it was time for the hearing, at the last minute, I was told to go to another courtroom because DPS officer requested it.
 - ② Why did the judge allow 3 other DPS officers to sit in the courtroom even though the judge asked if they were witnesses, they stated "no".
 - ③ How come the judge allowed the DPS officer to spend most of his time talking about another accident in which had nothing to do with the accident that happened in .
- Also one of the employees @ the court, stated to me that this judge had problems with another judge.