

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-200

Complainant: No. 1292010657A

Judge: No. 1292010657B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found insufficient evidence of judicial misconduct to justify further investigation.

The complainant alleged that her credit was ruined because a hearing officer ruled improperly and the judgment against her was reported to a credit bureau. The record shows that the complainant appeared for the small claims hearing, a judgment was entered against her for \$300, and she paid the judgment on the day of the hearing. There is no evidence that the hearing officer acted improperly, and the decision itself is outside the commission's jurisdiction to review.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: September 19, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 19, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

July 22, 2006

CJC-06-200

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

On [redacted] in the [redacted] Justice Court, I was told by [redacted] that I was to pay [redacted] an additional [redacted] over what I had paid her [redacted] thru her employer, [redacted]. He sent her the check but said she would not accept that amount. I went into the court to find I had to pay her the [redacted] more. I was not told there would be a Judgment until the Hearing Officer, [redacted] told me I needed to give her [redacted] ([redacted] still has the [redacted] check) and I thought since I had paid her that ended it.

I paid [redacted] the same as [redacted] had been paid by [redacted] since he was a [redacted] and that was [redacted] a visit. [redacted] is not a licensed [redacted] and [redacted] as a licensed [redacted] has put in a lot of hours in school and practice. I have enclosed the [redacted] and you will wonder why [redacted] told the court she should have [redacted] more an hour and the Hearing Office believed she should. I do not believe this in any way but I paid the [redacted]

I recently purchased a new car and found I did not qualify for the 0% financing because I had A BOLD, BLACK LETTERS JUDGMENT AGAINST ME FOR THE [redacted] I do not feel the decision was correct that I have to face this for the next 7 years (5) on my credit report. I am [redacted] years old, and I pay my bills every month and this has ruined my credit report. This has caused me embarassment with the car financing and now I have to pay more for my car and homeowners insurance because of the BAD CREDIT REPORT. I worked for [redacted] and [redacted] for [redacted] years to retire. This Hearing Officer has put a black mark on my life and I do not feel I deserve this. She could have told me to give her the [redacted] and there will not be a Judgment. You know, I am not very smart on how court decisions are made, but I feel this one is in error and I would like to see what can be done.

