

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-211

Complainant: No. 1292510480A

Judge: No. 1292510480B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judges.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 15, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on November 15, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-06-211

AUG 09 2006

Commissioner of Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington St. 229
Ply, Az 85007

Re: [REDACTED]

8 August 2006

My name is [REDACTED] Case

Number: [REDACTED]

Judge [REDACTED] is my trial judge.

On [REDACTED] I filed a
motion for bond reduction, to your

Judge [REDACTED] which to date has gone
unanswered.

On [REDACTED] I filed a
motion for reappointment of counsel,
which to date has gone unanswered.

On [REDACTED] I filed a
motion to remove Judge [REDACTED], for
cause, which to date has gone unanswered.

Re: Judge [redacted]

-Cont'd

Page 2

CJC-06-211

As the assigned trial judge, it is incumbent upon Judge [redacted] to see that my constitutional rights are not violated, but due to the Courts failure to hear my motions and to enter a timely judgement concerning them constitutes grave errors which should not go unreported.

Therefore I pray that this Commission take the appropriate actions to assist in mitigation of these errors.

Respectfully submitted,

[redacted]

CJC-06-211

AUG 09 2006

Commission of Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, St. 224
Phx, Az 85007

August 7, 2006

Re: [redacted]

On [redacted] at [redacted]
Case Number: [redacted] did appear
before [redacted] for initial
trial conference, during which time it
informed the court that it was not satisfied
with appointed counsel, due to being ineffective,
seemingly incompetent and not looking out
for my best interest. It also informed
the court that it had previously submitted
three written motions, and one being
reappointment of effective assistance
of counsel.
[redacted] responses
were that it was only going to be "appointed
counsel once".

Re:

[redacted]

cont'd

Page 2

CJC-06-211

[redacted]

request

to hear my motions and also request to reappoint competent and effective assistance of counsel greatly hinders my ability to be able to effectively defend myself against the state's allegations.

[redacted]

failure to

hear my motions, or at least order an attempt to locate my motions and to order a hearing date to address these motions proved to be both prejudicial as well as a lack of proper judicial oversight.

It also informed

[redacted]

that I was not represented by counsel at the initial arrest hearing or the "Camera Court" hearing, at which time

[redacted]

[redacted]

inferred that I was lying.

[redacted]

conduct as

well as demeanor lacked professionalism as well as proper judicial oversight; whereby my constitutional rights have been trampled upon with

[redacted]

Addressing

Re: [redacted]

Cont'd

Page 3

CJC-06-211

I pray that this Commission review
the [redacted] transcript and
take the appropriate action to help
mitigate the damage [redacted]
[redacted] lack of judicial oversight
has caused.

Respectfully submitted,

[redacted]